TOBACCO REGION REVITALIZATION COMMISSION
701 East Franklin Street, Suite 501
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Full Commission Meeting
Thursday, June 6, 2019
10:30 o'clock a.m.
Institute for Advanced Learning and Research
150 Slayton Avenue
Danville, Virginia 24540

## **APPEARANCES:**

- The Honorable Terry G. Kilgore, Chairman
- 3 Ms. Gayle F. Barts
- 4 Mr. Ed Blevins

- 5 The Honorable Kathy J. Byron
- 6 The Honorable Charles W. Carrico, Sr.
- 7 The Honorable A. Benton Chafin, Jr.
- 8 Ms. Gretchen Clark
- 9 Ms. Becky Coleman (By phone)
- 10 Mr. Joel Cunningham, Jr.
- Dr. Alexis I. Ehrhardt
- 12 Ms. Julie Hensley
- 13 Ms. Jennings
- 14 The Honorable Daniel W. Marshall, III
- 15 Mr. Robert Mills, Jr.
- 16 The Honorable James W. (Will) Morefield
- 17 The Honorable Edward Owens
- Ms. Cassidy Rasnick
- 19 Ms. Sandy Ratliff
- 20 Mr. Cecil E. Shell
- 21 Mr. Buddy Shelton
- 22 Mr. Robert Spiers
- The Honorable William M. Stanley, Jr.
- 24 Mr. Richard L. Sutherland
- 25 The Honorable Thomas C. Wright

1	
2	COMMISSION STAFF:
3	Mr. Evan Feinman, Executive Director
4	Mr. Andy Sorrell, Deputy Director
5	Ms. Stephanie Kim, Director of Finance
6	Ms. Sarah K. Capps, Grants Program Administrator
7	Southside Virginia
8	Ms. Michele Faircloth, Grants Assistant -
9	Southside Virginia
10	Ms. Sara Williams, Grants Program Administrator -
11	Southwest Virginia
12	Ms. Jessica Stamper, Grants Assistant -
13	Southwest Virginia
14	
15	COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION:
16	Ms. Elizabeth B. Myers, Assistant Attorney General
17	Richmond, Virginia 23219
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	June 6, 2019
2	
3	DELEGATE KILGORE: Good morning, everyone, I'm
4	going to call our Full Commission meeting to order, and I'll ask
5	Evan to call roll.
6	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Rasnick.
7	MS. RASNICK: Here.
8	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Barts.
9	MS. BARTS: Here.
10	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Blevins.
11	MR. BLEVINS: Here.
12	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Byron.
13	DELEGATE BYRON: Here.
14	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Carrico.
15	SENATOR CARRICO: Here.
16	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Chafin.
17	SENATOR CHAFIN: Here.
18	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Clark.
19	MS. CLARK: Here.
20	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Coleman.
21	MS. COLEMAN: Here.
22	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Cunningham.
23	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Here.
24	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Edmunds.
25	DELEGATE EDMUNDS: (No response).

1	MR. FEINMAN: Dr. Ehrhardt.
2	DR. EHRHARDT: Here.
3	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Harris.
4	MR. HARRIS: (No response).
5	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Jennings.
6	MS. JENNINGS: Here.
7	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Kilgore.
8	DELEGATE KILGORE: Here.
9	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Marshall.
10	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Here.
11	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Mills.
12	MR. MILLS: Here.
13	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Morefield.
14	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Here.
15	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Owens.
16	MR. OWENS: Here.
17	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Ratliff.
18	MS. RATLIFF: Here.
19	MR. FEINMAN: Secretary Ring.
20	SECRETARY RING: (No response.)
21	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Shell.
22	MR. SHELL: Here.
23	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Shelton.
24	MR. SHELTON: Here.
25	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Spiers.

1	MR. SPIERS: Here.
2	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Stanley.
3	SENATOR STANLEY: Here.
4	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Sutherland.
5	MR. SUTHERLAND: Here.
6	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Wright.
7	DELEGATE WRIGHT: Here.
8	MR. FEINMAN: You have a quorum, Mr. Chairman.
9	DELEGATE KILGORE: Do I have a motion we approve
10	the minutes of January 8, 2019? I have a motion and a second.
11	All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No response).
12	The minutes are approved.
13	The first item on the agenda is our budget. There
14	have been some transfers made. The first item on the agenda is
15	the Executive Committee, and we discussed the budget, and
16	there were some transfers that we made and discussed the
17	corpus invasion. If you look on the screen and page 3 in your
18	book, and you'll see the budget, and you'll see that figure,
19	\$19,779,250, and that's the budget we'd ask for your approval
20	on.
21	You look at the Tobacco Opportunity Fund and Special
22	Projects, Agriculture, Education, Southside, and Southwest, and
23	Megasite was \$500,000, General Account \$500,000.
24	The first item we need approval of, we need to do the

transfer of unobligated balances reserve funds, \$6,476. Do I

1	nave a motion to do the transfer \$6,476? All those in favor, say
2	aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No response). That motion carries.
3	On the budget, and the 15 percent corpus invasion,
4	we'll have to have a two-thirds majority approval, and we'll go
5	through that in a minute, but we'll need to adopt the budget first.
6	Any questions or comments on the budget by
7	members of the Commission?
8	MR. OWENS: Mr. Chairman, my concern about the
9	budget, I know, in the Executive Committee Meeting on the
10	budget, but it looked like here, and then you're talking about
11	investing \$25 million, so you get a better return?
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes, that's the bottom figure.
13	MR. OWENS: Well, you have to have a two-thirds
14	majority for that and trying to get 15 percent, is that right?
15	DELEGAE KILGORE: Yes. The reason we're trying to
16	get a better return is that we want to grow the monies.
17	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Chairman and members of the
18	Committee, the reason we suggest a 15 percent corpus invasion
19	as opposed to a 4.4 corpus invasion, the programs in accordance
20	with our strategic plan has a relatively low rate of return, and the
21	programs that you see before you, they're budgeted with the
22	sustainability plan. In the strategic plan that this body adopted,
23	and I believe and having adopted that strategic plan. But we are
24	obliged to create a strategic plan and to stick to it. We're
25	allowed to do a larger corpus invasion and the idea of all that and

if we took some of this money, we might be obligated to or take additional revenues and give them to something like a foundation or a couple of foundations.

You're talking eight percent compared to one or two percent, but the idea is if we want to sustain our goals but we'll be working with people concerning the investments to try to get a better return. Leaving money on the table doesn't help us. The idea is we'll set back \$25 million. And over the course of the summer, the Staff and I will be reaching out to a variety of potential partners and we'll put before you in September the investment plan for that funding, but I think we should regard that as separate, the programs.

But specifically, Mr. Owens, we'll address your concerns. But the funds before you and the uses of the funds is what we have to use this year, and we're going to stick to the financial sustainability guidelines that we set up. When you look at the carry-forward balances and the committees. One of the reasons we have one of the differences is for the specific committees this year, and last year we had a healthy TROF, so we didn't need to make a deposit in the TROF account. But this year, we've been transferring from our Prospect Incentive Fund into the TROF to cover those expenses toward the end of the fiscal year. But to put \$3 million back into TROF and we're putting before you the best budget we could concerning the needs and the carry-forward balances.

I	ii, within that column, and you want to move money
2	around, we'll do the best we can, but, ultimately, it's all your
3	decision.
4	MR. OWENS: In moving this money, are these
5	restricted or unrestricted funds, how does that work?
6	MR. FEINMAN: I can give you an overview and then
7	go deeper into the numbers. And we can go deeper into the law
8	and we can talk about bonds. The money to be moved are
9	unrestricted funds. There are some constraints in what you can
0	and cannot do. But on the treasury side, and we're concerned
1	about how we can get a better return on our restricted bonds.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: I know it's a reduction in money
13	for Southside and Southwest and especially Southwest, and
14	we're trying to get through this process. This is an opportunity
15	hopefully to make some more money on an interest rate and
16	hopefully we'll see some better budgets in the next few years.
7	MR. OWENS: I agree we can always use more money
8	but the way this budget was set up, did the Staff talk to any of
19	the committees here, are they for it or finding out what the
20	needs are?
21	MR. FEINMAN: Either way, this proposed budget has
22	been dialogued with the committee chairs throughout. The
23	Executive Committee met and the Executive Committee, you
24	know what the Executive Committee is composed of and the way
25	we do it is we have recommendations on the budget or on a

specific set of grants in setting up the budget. But I think this is the way to go, so we can generate more income. But this was discussed.

MR. OWENS: I'm on the Executive Committee. I understood you were going to have another conversation before we presented this. I can't support this budget as it is. I think it's a lopsided way to divide the money up.

DELEGATE KILGORE: You can make a motion and we have a way to move things around and I'm not sure how you want to move it around, but if you want to make a motion on it.

DELEGATE WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I did vote for this budget at the last Executive Committee meeting, but I was under the impression also we were going to have further discussions. I wasn't consulted and, of course, not that I have to be, or advised, this cut for Southside Economic Development, and those funds.

I saw yesterday that Pittsylvania County had an opportunity to work on some industries to come there and the presentation we have this morning. But it seems like we're running out of money prematurely, and I'm not saying wasted, but I think a meeting as such as far as economic development and training and education and other things, we need to be sure that there's money there for those things. You could save money 20 years from now, but some things you need now.

1	I just don't want to see Southside run out of economic
2	development funds, and I don't think our allocation should be
3	cut, and I know several times we've been on a waiting list, and
4	each time if we resist that, then it seems to me that, and what
5	we're doing now is, so when we take an allocation away from
6	Southside, and I don't think we should do it. And that's my
7	comments on it.
8	DELEGATE KILGORE: What are the unobligated
9	balances right now at Southside and Southwest?
10	MR. FEINMAN: One point one, and you can see the
11	current unobligated balances, \$1.2 million Southwest to carry
12	forward. Twenty-five million two hundred and thirty-two
13	thousand, Southside Economic Development. To the extent the
14	new budget would be cut to those committees only to the
15	amount we added to the committee balances last year. Those
16	committees had a very healthy balance and able to do many
17	projects that we're aware of or that we see coming down the
18	pike.
19	If you look at the carry-forward in Agribusiness, 1.2
20	carry-forward nearly \$3 million. So, and Education, we're about
21	to approve that. A little spending in R&D.
22	DELEGATE WRIGHT: In response to that, I would say
23	I would encourage Southwest and Southside Economic
24	Development Committee be frugal with the money. In other
25	words, don't waste it and then be penalized also for not using it.

Some projects come along and they have to be acted on, and 1 that could send the wrong message. And I think it is in cutting 2 back on Economic Development funds. 3 One of the core priorities of this Commission has been 4 Economic Development and the indemnification of the Tobacco 5 farmers and the restoration of Tobacco-dependent communities 6 was the main goals for the Commission and that was finished in 7 2009, I believe. I appreciate your explanation, but people on the 8 Executive Committee that were not consulted, maybe they 9 should raise their hand and maybe people were consulted or they 10 weren't. 11 DELEGATE BYRON: Continuing on the discussion, I 12 had some concerns in the Executive Committee about Economic 13 Development because a lot of these balances or unobligated or a 14 good portion of it and those areas that have larger quotas than 15 others. Some of the smaller areas are really going to be affected 16 by having to build up over a much longer period of time to get 17 back to the point where there's anything worthwhile for them to 18 apply for. 19 The other thing is I had a question about the 20 education and an unobligated balance, I believe it was \$9 million, 21 and then the new budget puts in additional money. 22 MR. FEINMAN: That unobligated balance does not 23 take into account the education portion that just ended. 24 DELEGATE BYRON: So, the \$12.9 is obligated? 25

1	MR. FEINMAN: Some of it. The R&D spending
2	DELEGATE BYRON: It might be helpful to look at
3	what's obligated, not just by date, because obligated is obligated
4	Sometimes it's hard to understand when these figures are here
5	when you're trying to make a decision.
6	MR. FEINMAN: I appreciate that. Technically, the
7	Education funding would be, it remains not obligated until this
8	body acts on the Education Committee's report. The Staff does
9	not have a monopoly on good ideas. And if members have an
10	idea about how to make the money getting spread around in a
11	way or better reflects the Commission's priorities, we're happy to
12	listen and implement.
13	But referring specifically to the Southside localities
14	that don't have a large allocation, and that's what the Budget
15	Committee is for. One of the reasons we tried to hold the Specia
16	Projects funding is, that is the safety valve, if you will, for those
17	Southside localities and the way the Southside formula dedicates
18	those funds.
19	DELEGATE KILGORE: I'm willing to entertain a
20	motion, it doesn't matter to me, we can come up with a way to
21	do it.
22	MR. OWENS: Mr. Chairman, what happens if we don't
23	pass the budget?
24	DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a budget today to
25	operate July 1 <sup>st</sup> .

1	DELEGATE MARSHALL: If we pass the budget today,
2	then we could amend it.
3	MR. FEINMAN: Any Commissioner, the Commission
4	can amend or make transfers and, in fact, we've been in
5	situations in which a large project came up and we needed to
6	make a change and a priority and maybe try to figure out a way
7	to get the resources available to meet a change.
8	DELEGATE KILGORE: We can do that if you all want
9	to, or come up with a plan, and I wouldn't be against it, or
10	Southside, I wouldn't be against it. If you can come up with a
11	way to fund it.
12	MR. OWENS: But you want to pass this today.
13	DELEGATE KILGORE: We've got to pass something
14	today to keep us from coming back immediately.
15	MR. OWENS: I thought I could make a motion,
16	amend this budget today, and move a million dollars out of TROF
17	back into Southside Economic Development.
18	THE COURT REPORTER: Can you please use the
19	microphone.
20	MR. FEINMAN: My submission is that the \$3 million in
21	TROF would probably not get us through this year as it stands.
22	TROF is the only grant program, is done on a rolling basis as
23	opposed to submitting applications. It's designed to make our
24	region more competitive economically than the rest of Virginia by
25	having an existing business extension or business attraction that

1	we may offer otherwise.
2	Secondarily, I believe that, Mr. Owens, you may lose if
3	you put the same amount in Southwest and Southside.
4	DELEGATE KILGORE: I'll just say I don't have a
5	problem doing what you're asking because I think it serves two
6	purposes. We can come back and put more money into TROF if
7	we can find the sources for that. And if you want to make a
8	motion, I think your motion was 600,000 in Southside and
9	\$400,000 in Southwest, or five hundred, that way, we might get
10	Delegate Wright on that.
11	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Is there a limit on that?
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes, up to 15 percent.
13	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Is there a limit on how many
14	times?
15	DELEGATE KILGORE: Once a year.
16	MR. FEINMAN: But I also remind you we've adopted
17	the strategic plan and we have to consider the sustainability, 4.4
18	percent. That's similarly a constraint on the Commission.
19	The reason the balances are low is because the
20	Commission says yes more frequently than no and to grant
21	requests.
22	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: I think the general consensus
23	about the Committee members is that some of the members
24	weren't consulted.
25	DELEGATE KILGORE: Maybe we all bear some

responsibility, and I think we did say we'd talk more about it and 1 we can talk right now. 2 Now, the first motion you made for --3 MR. OWENS: I'll withdraw that. 4 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Did you say later or did the 5 Executive Director say that, and if approved today, this money 6 would be set aside until September? 7 DELEGATE KILGORE: No, you're talking about the 8 investment money here? Yes, that'll give us until September to 9 come up with a plan for the investment. We may be able to find 10 more money for TROF. 11 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Just to clarify any confusion 12 and adopting the original budget at the original four percent and 13 the corpus invasion and then you're trying to look out for the 14 investment and negotiate some type of investment deal and then 15 come back in September and approve that? 16 MS. KIM: The corpus invasion is done by fiscal year 17 June 30<sup>th</sup>. In order to get the corpus invasion for this year and 18 to fund next year's budget, you need to do it now. None of the 19 money for investments would go out without coming back to the 20 Full Commission for you to vote on. 21 DELEGATE KILGORE: It's not going anywhere. 22 MS. KIM: That's right. It stays invested along with all 23 of our money. So the -- will come back to the Board at the next 24 Full Commission meeting for the Executive Committee. 25

1	MR. FEINMAN: Yes. The Full Board, the opinion of
2	the body and maybe a more robust conversation on the budget
3	needs to be done. This is the fifth year we've done this and we
4	did it the same way this year as we have in years past. Email
5	the budget to the Executive Committee well in advance of the
6	meeting and in the Executive Committee talking about the
7	budget. The Executive Committee made a motion to adopt a
8	budget, and this Full Commission has a safety valve for any
9	committee action and come before the Full Commission. I'm
10	certainly happy to hear any positive comments. What I'm
11	hearing is more direct outreach, and if that's the will, that's what
12	we can do moving forward. But this budget process is not a
13	deviation from the way we've been doing it since I've been here.
14	MS. HENSLEY: I understood there's been a motion to
15	fund the TROF, and then it was stated more money might be
16	needed for the TROF?
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes.
18	MS. HENSLEY: And that could be found in
19	MR. FEINMAN: Yes
20	MS. HENSLEY: What's the source?
21	MS. KIM: There's line items, special projects, or
22	education.
23	MR. FEINMAN: We can do that.
24	MS. KIM: Returns are refunded.
25	MS. CLARK: So, the \$25 million to be transferred or

1	reinvested, is that a number or could that be reduced?
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes.
3	MS. KIM: I think a return would limit the
4	administrative budget, and the four percent is in the bylaws, the
5	remainder.
6	DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes, that's where it would be.
7	DELEGATE WRIGHT: In reference to the motion
8	earlier and the amendment, one million or that the million dollars
9	would be appropriated to Southside and Southwest according to
10	the formula.
11	MR. OWENS: Well, I make a motion that we move a
12	million dollars from the TROF funds and move it to Economic
13	Development, using the same formula, \$2.5 million.
14	DELEGATE KILGORE: That would be seventy thirty.
15	Do we have a second on that? We do have a second. Any more
16	discussion? All those in favor, say aye. Any more discussion?
17	All those in favor moving a million from TROF to Regional
18	Economic Development, 70 percent Southside, 30 percent
19	Southwest, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? No. We have one no.
20	DELEGATE WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, one thing I want
21	to be sure of going forward, the Agribusiness Committee, as well
22	and in these discussions, I think that
23	THE COURT REPORTER: Would you please use the
24	microphone.
25	DELEGATE WRIGHT: And if there's money there for

1	different proposals, I support the farming community, and if
2	money is there for various proposals, I support that.
3	DELEGATE KILGORE: I think Agri has approximately
4	\$1.2 for next year, and probably in the future we will have and
5	will have to revisit that to try to make more investments in Agri,
6	and it's something that's very needed, very important.
7	MR. SPIERS: As chairman of that Committee, I go
8	with the comment made earlier. We have projects. We know
9	the funds are limited. I am of the opinion that if we have
10	worthwhile projects, the money will be there.
11	MR. OWENS: I'd second that.
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: Any more discussion on the
13	motion? This was a robust discussion.
14	MS. MYERS: It's three-fourths of the Full Commission
15	membership. I suggest you read that motion.
16	DELEGATE KILFORE: All right, I'm going to make that
17	motion. I move to adopt the following resolution:
18	Whereas, the Code of Virginia provides for a portion of
19	the corpus of the Tobacco Indemnification and Community
20	Revitalization Endowment (the "Endowment") to be paid annually
21	to the Tobacco Indemnification and Community Revitalization
22	Fund (the "Fund") upon request of the Tobacco Region
23	Revitalization Commission (the "Commission"), and
24	Whereas, the Endowment was funded from proceeds
25	of certain tax-free Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,

1	Series 2005, the use of which is subject to certain restrictions
2	("Restrictions"), and was further funded with additional proceeds
3	of certain taxable Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,
4	Series 2007, the use of which is NOT subject to Restrictions, and
5	Whereas, the Treasurer of Virginia has determined
6	that on February 28, 2019, for the purposes herein, the value of
7	the corpus of the Endowment was \$233,877,949.10; now,
8	therefore, be it
9	Resolved, that the Commission hereby requests that
10	for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2019,
11	One, the Treasury Board pay from the Endowment to
12	the Fund a sum equal to 15 percent of the Endowment value as
13	of February 28, 2019,
14	Two, that the said payment be made not later than
15	June 30, 2019, and
16	Three, that the amount so paid NOT be subject to
17	Restrictions.
18	We'll get our 15 percent corpus invasion and allow us
19	to fund our budget 4.4 percent.
20	DELEGATE MARSHALL: That's the motion?
21	DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes.
22	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Second.
23	MR. OWENS: Three-fourths or three-fourths of the
24	Full Commission?
25	MS. MYERS: Full Commission.

1	DELEGATE KILGORE: Full Commission. That's the roll
2	call vote. All those in favor of that, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
3	That passes.
4	Now, back to the motion. It has to be a roll call.
5	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Rasnick.
6	MS. RASNICK: Aye.
7	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Barts.
8	MS. BARTS: Aye.
9	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Blevins.
10	MR. BLEVINS: Aye.
11	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Byron.
12	DELEGATE BYRON: Aye.
13	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Carrico.
14	SENATOR CARRICO: Aye.
15	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Chafin.
16	SENATOR CHAFIN: Aye.
17	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Clark.
18	MS. CLARK: Aye.
19	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Coleman.
20	MS. COLEMAN: Aye.
21	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Cunningham.
22	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Aye.
23	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Edmunds.
24	DELEGATE EDMUNDS: No response.
25	MR. FEINMAN: Dr. Ehrhardt.

1	DR. EHRHARDT: Aye.
2	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Harris.
3	MR. HARRIS: (No response).
4	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Hensley.
5	MS. HENSLEY: Aye.
6	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Kilgore.
7	DELEGATE KILGORE: Aye.
8	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Jennings.
9	MS. JENNINGS: Aye.
10	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Marshall.
11	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Aye.
12	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Mills.
13	MR. MILLS: Aye.
14	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Morefield.
15	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Aye.
16	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Owens.
17	MR. OWENS: Aye.
18	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Ratliff.
19	MS. RATLIFF: Aye.
20	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Ruff.
21	SENATOR RUFF: (No response).
22	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Shell.
23	MR. SHELL: Aye.
24	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Shelton.
25	MR. SHELTON: Aye.

1	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Spiers.
2	MR. SPIERS: Aye.
3	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Stanley.
4	SENATOR STANLEY: Aye.
5	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Sutherland.
6	MR. SUTHERLAND: Aye.
7	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Wright.
8	DELEGATE WRIGHT: Aye.
9	MR. FEINMAN: The motion is carried, Mr. Chairman.
10	DELEGATE KILGORE: We already made amendments
11	to the budget, so it'll be a motion to adopt the budget as it is.
12	The same number, \$19,779,250. Do I have a motion?
13	MR. OWENS: So moved.
14	DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second.
15	Any further discussion? All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes).
16	Opposed?
17	DELEGATE WRIGHT: No.
18	DELEGATE KILGORE: The motion carries.
19	Now, moving forward, I think that's all for the
20	Executive Committee at this time, and we'll go into the Business
21	Support.
22	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	We have met a couple of times, and at this point, I'm going to
24	turn it over to Andy.
25	MR. SORRELL: Yes, we met this morning and

1	recommended adopting guidelines for the Small Business
2	Entrepreneurship, and just to give you a quick overview of that,
3	actually it's Small Business Entrepreneurship Support Program.
4	That would be working with the GENEDGE people, and they will
5	work with us and provide guidance and leadership and help us
6	with commercialization. This will be an opportunity for a number
7	of eligible small businesses under the guidance of GENEDGE.
8	The applicants will be evaluated based on their impact and other
9	points and once we get applications and go through that process.
10	And I'll be happy to try to answer any questions.
11	DELEGATE MARSHALL: I'm going to make a motion
12	that the Commission accept the Business Support Committee's
13	recommendation to adopt the Small Business Entrepreneurship
14	Support Program guidelines as amended, described by Staff and
15	found on pages 5 through 9 of your Commission book as
16	submitted and as a pilot program for Fiscal Year '20. That's the
17	motion.
18	MR. MILLS: Second.
19	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Any comments or questions?
20	Delegate Kilgore stepped out, so I'll take over. All
21	those in favor of the motion, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No
22	response).
23	Anything else, Andy, on the Business Support?
24	MR. SORRELL: No, sir.
25	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Then let's go to the Education

1	report.
2	SENATOR CHAFIN: The Education Committee met on
3	May 29 <sup>th</sup> in Roanoke, and one of the discussions had to do with
4	the Talent Attraction Program, which is designed to attract
5	people in the Tobacco Region.
6	THE COURT REPORTER: Could you please speak up
7	with the mike, please.
8	SENATOR CHAFIN: And we thoroughly discussed that,
9	then we also discussed the Virginia Department of Health
10	Student Loan Repayment Program, and we looked at the Tobacco
11	Region professional sources in the area of primary care and
12	mental health care. I'd like to have a motion to approve those
13	policies.
14	I move that the Commission accept the Education
15	Committee's recommendation to adopt the Talent Attract
16	Program (TAP) guidelines as described by Staff, and it's found on
17	pages 10 through 11 of your Commission book.
18	DELEGATE MARSHALL: We have a motion and a
19	second to accept the TAP Program. Any questions?
20	DR. EHRHARDT: My question is, will the recipients,
21	will they be notified 18 months after the application? But the
22	question is, the rationale for that, and I'm wondering if we
23	shouldn't extend it so folks that come to the Region and have
24	them stay. But really, you know, people that come to this
25	Region and stay or is it five years, or what is the rationale behind

that?

MR. FEINMAN: Dr. Ehrhardt, the challenge we had is this. For all of our programs and the design, in a perfect world, the design would be in the perfect world, as I said, would be incentives, not rewarding behavior that's already happened, to incentivize people. The longer lead time you give, the more likely someone is already set, and those that avail themselves of this opportunity, and I know there are similar programs around the country we looked at. This isn't any way a pilot and we'll certainly be refining it as we go along, but it's my sincere hope that in two or three years, that we'll have strong data showing that this is effective and we can prevail upon the members here who are members of the General Assembly create a program in the Tobacco Region.

We believe this is an excellent program, and the Education Committee supported it, and we've had others supported this idea. Considering the health professions and other professions where we've had trouble attracting people to fill jobs in the Footprint.

So, what we're really saying, people come to the community to fill jobs. Some of the people come out of school that have all this debt and we want to try to attract those kind of people, especially in these hard-to-fill jobs. If we can help you, we will, and have them become part of our community.

So, a two-year commitment through the Department

of Health Professions Program, and that's a program that already exists, and we're going to try to extend the reaches of that program into our area or targeting professions that might not be health professions, and some of those professions are hard to fill and keep professionals or people in the technical endeavors, some occupational therapists, schools, and some of these occupations, whether they be technical or not, are just hard to find people to fill those jobs. Getting people in those jobs. This 

is a way for us to fill those gaps.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: The question is if someone meets all the criteria as far as jobs and they don't have college debt and they have gone out and gotten these jobs and paid all their education, but they're coming to the table with some debt, do they still get a check?

MR. FEINMAN: Well, they'd have to be in a certain situation to be done 18 months out. Secondarily, the question of incentive. If someone has college debt, we know the payment of the debt would change the way that they or change the economics. If they don't have debt, it's very hard for us to distinguish between who gets an extra thousand dollars or if that would change their behavior. While we recognize there may be some folks who, and they may be fortunate not to have debt or a lot of debt. Be that as it may, we know it can affect the financial plans of folks with debt.

We also know that given the income level in the

Footprint, it's more likely to be low income, more likely need to 1 have to take debt. 2 DELEGATE BYRON: On the Education Committee, we 3 talked about the TAP Program. My question is, are they required 4 to exhaust all of their funding sources before they turn over 5 college debt? Some colleges want you to use any other federal 6 program or whatever funding might be available to you. They 7 don't see that as a criteria. 8 MR. FEINMAN: Well, some will do some loan 9 forgiveness work after post-graduation. All we ask is that they 10 demonstrate that they actually have the debt. If they mislead us 11 about that, for instance, if the institution was paid off or whether 12 they have loan debt or not, but if they represent to us they have 13 loan debt and they don't, I don't know if I can say much about 14 that. 15 DELEGATE BYRON: So, as a follow-up on that, all this 16 money wouldn't be approved or given out until after they 17 graduated? 18 MR. FEINMAN: For this first year, graduation having 19 occurred, for years in the future though, while they were in 20 school and if they come to our region, we can help them address 21 the debt. 2.2. MR. OWENS: We can help them address their 23 undergraduate debt. 24 In reviewing this question, and some people don't 25

come back after three years, if it takes three years. 1 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you please use the 2 microphone. 3 MR. OWENS: After 18 months, does it have to be that 4 long? 5 MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Owens, this is one that we have 6 wrestled with internally, the challenges, the fact that the longer 7 we extend it out, the shorter it is, the more chance we miss 8 somebody like who would be a great addition to the Footprint. 9 The longer we extend it out, the less efficient it will be. More 10 people will wind up who were going to move back anyway, so 11 that's the balance we struggled with. If we're going to get 12 anybody that wants to come back anyway, but the question is, 13 will money add to that? So, if somebody has already coming 14 back, the hope that that reduction in behavior is the setting of 15 the 18 months we hope is the right number. That's based on 16 what other programs around the country are doing, and certainly 17 it's the will of the Commission. You can amend that. 18 What I would suggest is that you take applications 19 over the summer and we could prepare a report, who we have 20 and who we don't, and have an Education Committee meeting to 21 discuss our first round of applicants. 22 MR. OWENS: Relevant to debt, correct, that's what 23 you're trying to offset the debt. Most people don't have school 24

debt paid off.

1	MS. KIM: We wanted to prevent people, the bottom
2	line is the program is really geared toward recent graduates. I
3	think that's the goal to prevent somebody that's already there,
4	has been working there three to five years.
5	MS. BARTS: Would this be or maybe a teacher who's
6	been teaching for five years in the area, and then they decide to
7	go, want to go back and get a Master's degree, could they be
8	included, would that person qualify?
9	MR. FEINMAN: Yes.
10	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Any further questions about
11	the TAP Program?
12	UNIDENTIFIED: Does this replace all of the
13	scholarship programs?
14	MR. FEINMAN: It does, the four year, so what we've
15	been doing as a reminder, we were making loans to students
16	going into the four-year program in the hopes they would come
17	back to us, the loans would be forgiven.
18	But what we found is that we were spread too thinly,
19	giving a lot of people a little bit of money doesn't change
20	anybody's behavior, economic behavior.
21	Number two, there were some problems, and we,
22	ourselves, through our partners in the Higher Ed. Center, making
23	a ton of loans. Then we had to do a lot of work like following up
24	on a lot of people. And tons of folks who were coming back, one
25	or the other's sad stories, like a terminal illness or problems like

that, want to pay it, but just have a lot of problems. We had to 1 deal with all of those. 2 We have a whole universe of people that can make 3 loans. And, in fact, those loans, they enjoy a number of factors 4 that we don't have. But if we just said forgive the loan, but in 5 essence, though, it's a retargeting of the loan forgiveness that 6 we were making. 7 Any further questions? We have a motion on the 8 table, and that motion was to adopt the TAP Program, and we 9 have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? All those 10 in favor, oh, you have a question? 11 DELEGATE WRIGHT: My only concern is, and I've said 12 this earlier and we're talking about this program and health 13 program, I can go along with it as long as it's in the Footprint. 14 But I think it should be only people who are in the Footprint now. 15 The idea of going and getting other people and to get our own 16 citizens. And I don't want people to be losing jobs, and we've 17 got people here. And then we go get people outside. I just 18 wanted to make that clear. I think we should look after the 19 people here and try to target them. I'm against the concept of 20 paying student loan debt. 21 People outside the Footprint, I think it should go to 22 people within the Footprint. 23 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Are the recipients of this 24

required to live in the Footprint?

1	MR. FEINMAN: Yes.
2	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Before and after?
3	MR. FEINMAN: They're required to live and work
4	here, but the folks from here get the priority. I would suggest
5	that if we're hiring folks and folks that are here already, if they
6	can do the job, yes, but in my view, if somebody moves here and
7	makes a career here, they are from here, and they're
8	contributing to the Footprint in a big way. And we want to use
9	people from here and we want folks to move here.
10	But the folks here would get first priority in the Health
11	Profession Program and other occupations to folks that grew up
12	here and live here. If we can't find a math teacher or somebody
13	like that and somebody from some other place in the
14	Commonwealth or anywhere comes here and wants to fill that
15	job, they qualify.
16	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: I don't disagree with your
17	concept and I'm supportive of this and I've heard more
18	conversation about this program throughout the community than
19	I have other programs. I'm hoping everyone will approve this.
20	We do need to provide incentives for people here.
21	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Can you give us a timeline,
22	what's the next step?
23	MR. FEINMAN: The next step is we will encourage
24	applications, and that's going to be opening up and students that
25	graduate and then we'd have to have proof of the debt and proof

of employment and residents in the region and a letter outlining 1 a way in which there are any restrictions that we might ask and 2 how we can be engaged or how they will engage in the work and 3 engaged in the community. There's no hard and fast rule about 4 how they do that. They could coach Little League, PTA, or they 5 could run for office or join the Rotary, but be engaged in the 6 community. You get some benefit from them then and, number 7 two, people that come in just go to work and come home are less 8 likely to stay at the end of a certain time than those that make a 9 personal connection and get involved in the community, and 10 that's what we want, also, besides just work. 11 The Staff will then sit around the table and evaluate 12 the application and will make awards according to the guidelines 13 set forth by the Committee. The reason we segregate health 14 professions from nonhealth professions is that the VDH is already 15 doing that. They run out of money every year and they can't 16 support us bringing in more health professionals. But ours is an 17 incentive to help support health professionals. 18 DELEGATE MARSHALL: I'm glad we got this 19 verification up and running. 20 DELEGATE BYRON: Would you repeat the motion. 21 SENATOR CHAFIN: The motion is that the 22 Commission accept the Education Committee's recommendation 23 to adopt the Talent Attract Program (TAP) guidelines as 24 described by Staff and as found on pages 10 through 11 of your 25

1	Commission book.
2	DELEGATE MARSHALL: We have a motion and a
3	second.
4	DELEGATE BYRON: I have a question. Are we going
5	to do a funding motion separate, or is that part of this?
6	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Yes. Any further questions?
7	DELEGATE WRIGHT: One further comment, just to be
8	clear. My feelings are the same. I know in Southwest they used
9	to say you had to be from a farm family. In Southside, you had
10	to be from the Footprint. So, my objection is that we're getting
11	away from what we originally were doing and more or less saying
12	and I just don't agree with this.
13	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Any further discussion? Are
14	we ready to vote? All those voting in favor of the motion, say
15	aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
16	DELEGATE WRIGHT: No.
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: You've got other motions,
18	Senator Chafin?
19	SENATOR CHAFIN: Yes. I move that the Commission
20	accept the Education Committee's recommendation to fund the
21	Talent Attract Program, TAP, in the amount of \$3 million.
22	UNIDENTIFIED: Second.
23	DELEGATE KILGORE: Any discussion on that? All
24	those in favor of that motion, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
25	UNIDENTIFIED: No.

1	SENATOR CHAFIN: I move that the Commission
2	accept the Education Committee's recommendation to fund the
3	Virginia Department of Health's Student Loan Repayment
4	Program for Healthcare Professions in the amount of \$2 million
5	conditioned upon:
6	One, that Tobacco Commission funds used as last
7	dollar awards only to those living and working in the Tobacco
8	Commission Footprint and that no preference be given to
9	applicants that are non
10	DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second.
11	Any discussion?
12	DELEGATE BYRON: Normally, I don't give a lot of
13	attention to the newspaper and some of what they present, but
14	recently there was coverage of what I consider a complicated
15	issue and we had a big discussion in the Education Committee.
16	And the paper took it out of context and referred to the
17	population that was being lost in the Southwest area due to
18	people dying or not coming back and members had concern
19	about others coming in and referring to physicians coming back
20	to the Region. I believe Senator Ruff, physicians from being
21	eligible for this program.
22	MR. FEINMAN: I believe he said the least priority be
23	given to those
24	MS. KIM: Because he wanted to be able to fund, like
25	physician assistants.

DELEGATE BYRON: There is a major difference between the two programs, because the Department of Health, which is a different agency, and they were going to be handling this program and we were providing the match. So, there was a lot of questions, a new program, like new rules and something I was unfamiliar with, that just caused some concern not doing it in a pilot-type program.

I think it's important that people understand why there's some trepidation by jumping into something new. It sounded a lot like it was going to be utilizing people from out of the Region and want to make sure we are marketing people that are going to our schools here, that are getting experience in health care professions. That was mentioned in the paper and some things weren't noted in the paper that should have been, and why some people are leaving Southwest because of very bad anti-coal policies and that's why we're seeing such a decline in some regions in some of the population.

I just wanted to state for the record some of my concerns and not meant to harm anything but just bringing your attention to maybe there's a better way for us to work for a solution for some of these needs. We haven't heard in some of our workforce discussions, I don't recall getting data specific for some of the needs that are coming back to us. We do have a Workforce Program and we've been working on that but haven't discussed it in great length. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	DELEGATE WRIGHT: I wanted to make sure that I
2	had a no vote on the last motion.
3	DELEGATE KILGORE: I thought you might be.
4	DELEGATE BYRON: I would like to make a motion
5	that we support the original Department of Health to give equal
6	priority to physician's assistants, equal to physicians.
7	MR. FEINMAN: We can go back and amend that prior
8	motion.
9	THE COURT REPORTER: Would you please speak up
10	and use the mike.
11	DELEGATE KILGORE: Then how would it read
12	SENATOR CHAFIN: Mr. Chairman, the second
13	condition was the positon that lower preference be given to
14	applicants that are
15	DELEGATE MARSHALL: We discussed the priorities
16	DELEGATE BYRON: My recollection of our meeting
17	was a discussion that was just a need for nurse practitioners and
18	some of the others were much higher level and the fact that
19	physicians coming back to our region to try to pay off debt in the
20	amount of the money would be more attractive to some of the
21	other needs in there. My comment was I felt that we should or if
22	we're going to go that direction put money in the program, but I
23	think there's other ways we can attract physicians and people in
24	those professions.
25	MP OWENS: Don't hospitals already do that at the

Tuition Assistance Program or Reimbursement Program? I think 1 most hospitals have a program like that. 2 DELEGATE BYRON: To my knowledge, the hospital, if 3 it's a federal program, maybe somebody from Roanoke could 4 answer that a little better than me. My understanding, and I 5 know Sara knows about this, and we discussed the resident 6 program. There may be some hospitals that are giving some of 7 their persons money, but programs from the Federal Government 8 are not our region and that's why some of them are leaving 9 because they cannot do the Resident Program like down here. 10 I don't know all about the Resident Program, but 11 paying off some of that debt is going to be enough to attract 12 those people. So, I think Senator Ruff, putting the emphasis on 13 people like nurse practitioners and the other health care areas 14 that we need to concentrate on. 15 MS. MYERS: I would say, Mr. Owens, hospitals are 16 not eligible, even if they are providing matching, they're not 17 eligible for this particular program. Because if you think about 18 qualified health centers, the ones that couldn't afford, I think that 19 was the intent. 20 DELEGATE KILGORE: Senator Chafin made a motion 21 to take that language out for doctors and dentists. We have a 22 motion and a second. Any further discussion? 23

24

25

MR. OWENS: I believe there was a motion to amend.

DELEGATE KILGORE: The motion was to amend. All

1	those in favor of the amendment, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
2	(Nos). All right, that motion carries.
3	Now, the motion before us, all in favor of the motion,
4	say aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
5	DELEGATE WRIGHT: No.
6	UNIDENTIFIED: No.
7	DELEGATE KILGORE: Two nos.
8	SENATOR CHAFIN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the
9	Commission accept the Education Committee's recommendation
10	to authorize the Executive Director to enter into a memorandum
11	of understanding with the Virginia Department of Health to
12	administer the program for health care.
13	MR. OWENS: Second.
14	DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second.
15	Any discussion? All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
16	(No response). The motion carries.
17	SENATOR CHAFIN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the
18	Commission accept the Education Committee's recommendation
19	that the Commission approve \$2,923,500 for the following
20	Workforce Financial Aid Grants, as described on pages 37
21	through 48 of your Commission book.
22	Grant Number 3542 in the amount of \$315,000; 3523
23	in the amount of \$315,000; 3543 in the amount of \$126,000;
24	3541 in the amount of \$315,000; 3520 in the amount of
25	\$120,000; 3508 in the amount of \$315,000; 3538 in the amount

1	or \$315,000; 3536 in the amount or \$315,000; 3540 in the
2	amount of \$315,000; 3537 in the amount of \$157,500, last 3539
3	in the amount of \$315,000. And the total is \$2,923,500.
4	DELEGATE KILGORE: Is there a second? Any
5	discussion? All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No
6	response).
7	We need to get the word out that we're trying to help
8	these colleges.
9	SENATOR CHAFIN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the
10	Commission accept the Education Committee's recommendation
11	that the Commission approve \$2,239,629.67 for the following
12	Competitive Education Grants, as described on pages 49 through
13	60 of your Commission book.
14	DELEGATE KILGORE: What figure did you have?
15	SENATOR CHAFIN: I'm accepting Grant 3515 for my
16	motion. So, the numbers are 3513, 49 through 60 of your book.
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: What figures do you have?
18	SENATOR CHAFIN: I'm accepting 3513. So, the
19	numbers are 3513 in the amount of \$84,814.47; 3518,
20	\$200,000; Number 3517, a loan in the amount of \$59,934.13;
21	3510, \$195,700; 3512 in the amount of \$600,000; Number 3497
22	in the amount of \$26,559.98; Number 3514, \$505,929; Number
23	3511 in the amount of \$226,355; Number 3509 in the amount of
24	\$165,337.09; Number 3516 in the amount of \$175,000. Totaling
25	\$2,239,629.67.

1	DELEGATE KILGORE: Does anyone want any of those
2	to be removed from the block?
3	DELEGATE BYRON: Wasn't 3513 one of yours?
4	SENATOR CHAFIN: Mr. Chairman, 3515 is out of the
5	block.
6	DELEGATE KILGORE: So, you're moving to making a
7	motion to approve everything except 3515 from the block, is that
8	correct?
9	SENATOR CHAFIN: Yes, that's correct.
10	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right, I have a motion and a
11	second. Any other discussion? All those in favor of the block of
12	the Education Projects, say aye, with the exception of 3515, say
13	aye. (Ayes). Opposed? That motion carries. One abstention.
14	SENATOR CHAFIN: Mr. Chairman, in regard to
15	Number 3515, there was a request for \$325,000. The
16	Committee had a request, I believe there are people here or they
17	are from Radford, they haven't attended Tobacco Commission
18	meetings, but may be a little gun shy. They returned today to be
19	heard.
20	DELEGATE KILGORE: Anyone here from Radford?
21	Quickly. I believe this is for a Counseling Program.
22	SENATOR CHAFIN: The Higher Ed Center in
23	Southwest Virginia.
24	DELEGATE KILGORE: Dave isn't shy.
25	MR. MATLOCK: I'm David Matlock, Executive Director

of Southwest Virginia Higher Ed. Center. And with me today is the Director of the Corporate Foundation Relations at Radford, Virginia.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Senator Chafin. Kind of a rookie mistake. We were here last week, and we apologize and thank you for the time today.

Just a quick summary, and this is a program designed to create a Master's degree for 22 students and three cohorts. This involves school counseling. And in light of the Governor's recent legislation, it's become a high priority for schools. It's almost like a workforce enhancement. This will be three years, and I think initially there was some misinformation, maybe it was on our end. We planned to have it every three years. And part of the question and the question came up during the initial three-year phase.

This program is designed for people that are currently working, and it's an incentive to enhance their skills and the closest state school that can provide the credential. The goal is to provide a Master's in school counseling already. This is needed to jumpstart, and I don't know how else to make it more delicate, and there is a critical need for this. It'll take three years to get 22 people on board. This is going to be an incentive-based program, and there are some questions on sustainability, and this is a priority at Radford and we're working on some funding sources for teaching of school counseling.

Thirty-one of the thirty-two folks that came to the information 2 session will need to continue to work running this program. 3 Thirty-one of thirty-two. Finances are always a problem when it 4 comes to higher education. Region 7 school salaries are some of 5 the lowest in the state and to ask them to borrow a lot of money, 6 we feel would be problematic to get the program going. Long-7 term, we should be able to get external funding, and we've 8 approached others to help with this education, and we hope that 9 this will be the start of several programs in this area. I'll leave it 10 to Terry to describe the specifics of the program. 11 There is a general policy concern, which is that in 12 general we've restricted our payments to reduce tuition and I 13 have a real concern we support tuition reduction directly for the 14 students for a four-year program and open the door to Emory 15 and Henry, Averett, Longwood, and UVa-Wise. We'd like to see a 16 program start, but this is not an area that we traditionally fund. 17 Additionally, the \$60,000 was the gap for year one, very 18 expensive. Our thinking was we'd help cover that gap, but the 19 sustainability concern is there in taking it out further 20 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, you did a good job, but the big 21 concern we had with the, and that had to do with the scholarship 22 at specific schools and that's something that historically we 23 stayed away from. We saw this program as already being 24 advertised, and we had applications in July. 25

David's group has already committed some resources.

MR. DAVIS: Those are valid points, but this is not a 1 traditional four-year program. This is a unique three-year 2 program, not a general business degree, not a general science 3 degree. This is a highly specialized degree. And we've just got 4 to get going. So, it's a little different. It's an unusual situation. 5 And I understand you usually don't fund this, but it's important 6 to stress this is a very different thing. 7 SENATOR CARRICO: I move that we approve 8 \$325,000 over that three-year period to fund this program at 9 Radford University. 10 MS. RATLIFF: Second. 11 MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Chairman, I just want to remind 12 you, and I just received a text, it's just not the amount of 13 money, but it's in the program guidelines about competitive 14 education, and that's a noneligible expense, and this would be a 15 departure from our program, from our original goals. 16 UNIDENTIFIED: Two points. 17 DELEGATE KILGORE: Any comments? 18 UNIDENTIFIED: Mr. Chairman, two points. We talked 19 about the Talent Attraction Program, and that sounds like a great 20 fit. On the repayment side for something like this, and my other 21 concern is that if my math is correct, an investment of about 22 \$14,000 per student, for us, which is significantly higher, and, 23 again, this is a graduate-level program, a technical program, 24 almost ten times the investment that we make on the other 25

1	programs.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: Any other comments? Then we
3	have a motion.
4	Senator Chafin.
5	SENATOR CHAFIN: When we talk to the school
6	superintendents of the Region, and that's an important decision
7	to consider, this was of the utmost highest priority, maybe we
8	ought to have a differentiation on our policy. And we've had
9	earlier discussions. This is really along those same lines that
10	we've discussed before. And we thank you for your time.
11	DELEGATE KILGORE: Let's speak to the deviation
12	from the guidelines.
13	SENATOR CHAFIN: I don't think we heard that from
14	what we heard today. The fact of the matter is that the
15	legislature, and they require that these teachers
16	THE COURT REPORTER: Could you please use the
17	microphone.
18	SENATOR CHAFIN: It's unfunded at this time, and
19	you've got these folks out here, and we can see throughout the
20	country that you've got to have these folks out here and
21	whatever is going on in the schools and we need these folks,
22	especially with the potential violence that seems to be around all
23	of us. And I think it's great and we need these counselors. And
24	I think we ought to help these folks and I think that's really the
25	mission of the Commission and things come up all the time as

one of its missions.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Mr. Chairman, a little history. Back at that shooting last year where there were 17 students involved, and I was the speaker of the House and we formed something and we talked about school safety and things came up last year like 20 different bills. One of the bills that was referred to was about school counselors. One of the things you heard all over the state was school counselors should not be school counselors, test advisors.

In the bill that we passed, and what it said was 80 percent of the time that the school counselor is the school counselor. And I don't believe there was a mandate that said you had to hire a certain amount of school counselors. It just said that the school counselor, in order to be a school counselor.

DELEGATE KILGORE: All right. Any more discussion on the motion on this?

MR. OWENS: What is the number?

MR. FEINMAN: There is enough money in the budget and every dollar that we do not spend today carries forward to next year's budget. I want to remind you we have limited funds, and we're supposed to spend the funds in the most efficient way possible. And that comports with our guidelines and it's moving the barn door very wide because we will have other schools wanting a certain program. I'm confident that our partners, Radford and others will be seeking, like Emory and Henry and

1	UVa-Wise will have similar needs, as well.
2	SENATOR CHAFIN: In Education, we had 11 requests,
3	10 were fully funded.
4	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right. Are members
5	prepared to vote? All those in favor of the motion, Senator
6	Carrico's motion of \$325,000, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
7	(Nos). The nos, please raise your hand.
8	Well, we're going to have to have a roll call.
9	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Rasnick.
10	MS. RASNICK: No.
11	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Barts.
12	MS. BARTS: No.
13	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Blevins.
14	MR. BLEVINS: Yes.
15	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Byron.
16	DELEGATE BYRON: Yes.
17	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Carrico.
18	SENATOR CARRICO: Yes.
19	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Chafin.
20	SENATOR CHAFIN: Yes.
21	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Clark.
22	MS. CLARK: Yes.
23	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Coleman.
24	MS. COLEMAN: No.
25	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Cunningham.

1	MR. CUNNINGHAM: No.
2	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Edmunds.
3	DELEGATE EDMUNDS: (No response).
4	MR. FEINMAN: Dr. Ehrhardt.
5	DR. EHRHARDT: No.
6	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Harris.
7	MR. HARRIS: (No response).
8	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Hensley.
9	MS. HENSLEY: Yes.
10	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Kilgore.
11	DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes.
12	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Jennings.
13	MS. JENNINGS: Yes.
14	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Marshall.
15	DELEGATE MARSHALL: No.
16	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Mills.
17	MR. MILLS:
18	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Morefield.
19	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Yes.
20	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Owens.
21	MR. OWENS: Yes.
22	MR. FEINMAN: Ms. Ratliff.
23	MS. RATLIFF: Yes.
24	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Shell.
25	MR. SHELL: Yes.

MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Shelton.
MR. SHELTON: No.
MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Speirs.
MR. SPIERS: No.
MR. FEINMAN: Senator Stanley.
SENATOR STANLEY: No.
MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Sutherland.
MR. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
MR FEINMAN: Delegate Wright.
DELEGATE WRIGHT: No.
MR. FEINMAN: The motion carries.
DELEGATE KILGORE: All right. Senator Chafin, any
more on Education?
SENATOR CHAFIN: We had some extension requests.
I move that the Commission accept the Education Committee's
recommendation to approve a revised scope and grant a sixth
year extension to September 23, 2021 for Project Number 3099,
Southern Virginia Higher Ed. Center, Southern Virginia Center for
Manufacturing Excellence, as described on page 61 of the
Commission book, with release of funding for the New Robotics
Program, contingent upon a line item detailed budget for the
proposed new expenses being approved by the Commission's
Executive Director once the final details are known.
DELEGATE KILGORE: All right, I've got a motion and
a second. All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No

1	response).
2	SENATOR CHAFIN: Mr. Chairman, we also voted on
3	an extension and release of budget freeze New College Institute
4	I move that the Commission accept the Education Committee's
5	recommendation to release of the budget freeze and grant a
6	sixth year extension through September 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2021 for Project
7	Number 3098, New College Institute Center for Manufacturing
8	Excellence, Phase II, as described on pages 61 and 62 of the
9	Commission book, with the expectation that the New College
10	Institute continue to work with Staff for advance approval of any
11	changes in details for the use of grant funds. And I so move.
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: I've got a motion and a second
13	Any discussion? All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed?
14	(No response). That motion carries.
15	Is that it for Education?
16	SENATOR CHAFIN: Yes.
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: Next is the R&D Committee,
18	Delegate Byron.
19	DELEGATE BYRON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I
20	draw your attention to pages starting on 64. The R&D
21	Committee had two very lengthy separate meetings going over
22	all our Broadband applications. We spoke about that very
23	extensively.
24	I move that the Commission accept the R&D
25	Committee's recommendations that we approve \$7,909,964 for

1	the following Last Mile Broadband grants, as described on pages
2	65 through 75 of your Commission book.
3	Request Number 3535 for Carroll County IDA,
4	\$200,000; 3531 Cumberland Plateau Company, in the amount of
5	\$544,137; 3522, the IDA of Dickenson County, \$65,000; 3530
6	Grayson County, \$325,000; 3527, the IDA of Russell County in
7	the amount of \$1.9 million; 3519, Scott County Telephone
8	Cooperative in the amount of \$1,500,000; 3525, Tazewell County
9	IDA, for \$150,000. Those are the Southwest requests.
10	Then we have Southside, 3532, Appomattox County,
11	\$600,000; 3521, Bedford County, \$670,500; 3524, Campbell
12	County, \$156,313; 3529, Franklin County, \$650,000; 3534,
13	Halifax County, \$334,396; 3547, Lunenburg County, \$439,069;
14	3533, Pittsylvania County, \$375,549.
15	MR. FEINMAN: We had a couple where we worked out
16	some things. A pool of those amounts, plus any provision.
17	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right. We have a motion
18	and a second. Anyone want anything pulled out of the block?
19	DELEGATE BYRON: I would like to also say that with
20	the approval of all these for the last two rounds, we had \$19
21	million in total requests, with a \$31 million match, bringing our
22	investment to \$500 million in investment and providing access
23	over 38,000 premises in Southside and Southwest.
24	DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a motion and a
25	second. All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No

response). That motion carries. 1 DELEGATE BYRON: We had a couple of extensions. I 2 move the Commission accept the R&D Committee's 3 recommendation to approve a fifth year extension to May 21, 4 2020 for Project 2980, Region 2000 Research Institute, Fifth 5 Generation Nanoseptic Surfaces, as described on pages 75 and 6 76 of the Commission book; with approval of the revised 7 proposed budget contingent on grant funds for personnel being 8 limited to only new employees, excluding payments to the 9 company principals, and excluding interest payments on the 10 building loans, which can be counted as match. I so move. 11 DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second. 12 All those in favor of that motion, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? 13 (No response). That motion carries. 14 DELEGATE BYRON: I also have another extension. I 15 move that the Commission accept the R&D Committee's 16 recommendation to approve an extension through May 2021 for 17 Project 2982, Southwest Virginia Higher Ed. Center Foundation, 18 WireTough Phase 2, as described on page 76 of the Commission 19 book; with approval of the second year contingent upon the 20 achievement of sales milestones to be agreed upon by 21 Commission Staff and WireTough leadership. And I so move. 22 DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a motion and a 23 second. All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No 24 response). That motion carries. 25

1	DELEGATE BYRON: The only other thing, and we
2	don't need a vote on this, the application process for Phase 2
3	continuation, this is for a R&D company, and only two of them
4	that are eligible, and we'll be reviewing the Staff's
5	recommendation at the September meeting. And that completes
6	my report.
7	DELEGATE KILGORE: Thank you. Next, we go to
8	Southside Economic Development. Delegate Wright.
9	DELEGATE WRIGHT: I'd ask you to turn your
10	attention to page 78. The first one is Pittsylvania County Project
11	G4, 3551, in the amount of \$2 million, Southside Economic
12	Development funds from the available Pittsylvania County
13	allocation, to allow Pittsylvania County and the RIFA to use those
14	proceeds to make a \$2 million loan to the confidential prospect in
15	Project G4, under the terms and conditions to be negotiated by
16	the County and the prospect and approved by the TRRC
17	Executive Director, with loan principal repayments being directed
18	back to the Pittsylvania County allocation, and interest payments
19	directed to the Tobacco Commission's General Fund.
20	The Staff didn't make a recommendation, but they
21	suggested that there's little risk with this project. The Southside
22	Economic Development Committee accepted this proposal. I
23	move the Commission accept the recommendation of the
24	Southside Economic Development Committee on Project 3551.
25	MR. OWENS: Second.

1	DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second.
2	Any comment? All right. All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes).
3	Opposed? (No response). That motion passes.
4	DELEGATE WRIGHT: Next, Mr. Chairman, is a request for
5	an extension, 3035. And I move the Commission accept the
6	Southside Economic Development Committee's recommendation
7	to grant an extension request through May 21, 2021 for Project
8	Number 3035 (Acquisition and Development of a New Industrial
9	Park, Lunenburg County, as described on page 79 of the
10	Commission book, conditioned upon: Disbursement of the
11	remaining \$483,000 contingent upon approval of a revised
12	budget by Staff; the County to provide a status update to the
13	TRRC on the project in May, 2020; and the project sunsets after
14	May 21, 2021. The Southside Economic Development Committee
15	approved this request. I so move.
16	DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a motion and a second
17	to approve the extension. All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes).
18	Opposed? (No response). Thank you.
19	That's all of our business. Thank you.
20	Southwest.
21	SENATOR CARRICO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If
22	you look on pages 97 through 115 of your Commission book,
23	there's information dealing with the Tazewell County IDA, Back of
24	the Dragon Welcome Center. The Committee agreed that we
25	refer this project to the Virginia Resources Authority for loan

1	consideration in the amount of \$650,000, Number 3548. And I
2	so move.
3	SENATOR CHAFIN: Second.
4	DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second.
5	Any more discussion? All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes).
6	Opposed? (No response). That motion carries.
7	SENATOR CARRICO: The only other piece of business
8	that we had was for the extension for 2117, through May 31 of
9	'20, for Daniel Boone Interpretive Center Exhibits, Scott County
10	EDA, as described on page 98 of your Commission book. We
11	accept that extension and approve it.
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second.
13	Any further discussion? All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes).
14	Opposed? (No response).
15	SENATOR CARRICO: That's our report.
16	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right. Thank you. Special
17	Projects, Delegate Marshall.
18	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Special Projects.
19	DELEGATE KILGORE: I remember the days when we
20	could get through with a meeting in 38 minutes.
21	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Page 117 of your book,
22	please. We had three extensions for Special Projects. The first
23	one is Megasite Grant Extension, Wildwood Commerce Park,
24	Number 3009. So, I move that the Commission accept the
25	Special Projects Committee's recommendation for Project

Number 3009, Wildwood Commerce Park, Wastewater Capacity 1 II, Blue Ridge Crossroads EDA, to grant an extension through 2 May 21, 2020, to allow for proper startup testing and closeout 3 activities, as described on page 117 of your Commission book. I 4 so move. 5 MR. OWENS: Second. 6 DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a motion and a 7 second. All in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No response). 8 That motion carries. 9 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Number two is Megasite Grant 10 Extension, MaMAC Number 3010. I move that the Commission 11 accept the Special Projects Committee's recommendation for 12 Project Number 3010, MaMAC/Otterdam Road Phase 2, 13 Greensville County, to grant an extension through May 21, 2020, 14 as described on page 117 of your Commission book. And I so 15 move. 16 DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second. 17 All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No response). 18 One abstention. 19 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Number 3, Extension, Prince 20 Edward County, Industrial Access Road Number 3089. I move 21 that the Commission accept the Special Projects Committee's 22 recommendation for Project Number 3089, Industrial Access 23

Road, Prince Edward County, to grant an extension through May

21, 2020, as described on pages 117 and 118 of your

24

1	Commission book. And I so move.
2	MR. BLEVINS: Second.
3	DELEGATE KILGORE: We have a motion and a
4	second. All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No
5	response). That motion passes.
6	Now, moving on to the TROF. The TROF Committee
7	had a meeting yesterday, and we had a discussion about
8	amending the TROF policy, and there's a couple of amendments
9	that we'll bring before you at a later date.
10	I move that the Commission accept the TROF
11	Committee's recommendation to amend the Tobacco Region
12	Opportunity Fund Policy as follows:
13	Number one. TROF loans shall only be provided when
14	salaries for promised jobs are above the annual prevailing
15	average wage for the applicant locality. The reason we did that
16	for loans is that it's already the policy of the TROF Committee
17	that grants have to be above the prevailing wage. This means
18	that loans and grants are both above the annual prevailing
19	average wage to be qualified by TROF loans.
20	Number two. In addition to the incentive estimate
21	provided by the TROF estimator model for TROF applications,
22	Staff shall also provide the TROF Committee with an approval or
23	denial recommendation based upon factors, such as, but not
24	limited to:
25	Evidence of competition for the project outside the

1	state;
2	Evidence of a funding gap; and
3	Evidence of community need.
4	The reason we're looking at this one is because a lot
5	of these projects, they're probably going to happen with the way
6	the economy is going now and without TROF investment and it
7	gives Staff some leeway and the Commission leeway to use our
8	resources for the bigger opportunities and the ones that are
9	going to have this money and when we're competing with other
10	states, et cetera. It gives Staff the opportunity to notify us,
11	members of the TROF Committee, and if there's any issues so we
12	can meet to discuss that. And there will be some dialogue there.
13	Those are the two amendments that we have to the
14	TROF policy, and I would so move.
15	MR. OWENS: Second.
16	DELEGATE KILGORE: Any discussion. All those in
17	favor of the amendment to the TROF policies, say aye. (Ayes).
18	Opposed? (No response). Those are adopted.
19	Now, we have an extension request. I move that the
20	Commission accept the TROF Committee's recommendation to
21	grant a fifth year extension through September 30, 2019 for
22	Grant Number 2995, Monogram Foods in Henry County. Grant
23	Number 2995. It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor
24	of that extension, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No response).
25	That passes.

1	Finally, Grant Number 3507, Polycap, LLC. There's a
2	motion that the Commission accept the TROF Committee's
3	recommendation to utilize the original incentive estimate for
4	Project Number 3507, Polycap, LLC, Russell County IDA,
5	provided to the applicant in July, 2018, which was for a grant in
6	the amount of \$140,000 and a loan in the amount of \$140,000
7	for a total incentive of \$280,000. Such incentive estimate is
8	based upon the project providing at least 44 new jobs with an
9	annual average salary of \$29,474 and a capital investment of at
10	least \$7,900,000 over a three-year performance period. I so
11	move.
12	SENATOR CHAFIN: Second.
13	DELEGATE KILGORE: I have a motion and a second.
14	All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No response).
15	That motion passes.
16	Skipping down to EMPower.
17	MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Chairman, when you put it on the
18	agenda, I thought there was going to be a short meeting. I'll try
19	to go through this rather quickly. And if it looks like we're going
20	to get into a lengthy discussion, we can wait until the September
21	meeting to fully address it.
22	I'll draw your attention to the \$4.5 million set aside
23	that we approved more than a year ago for the EMPower Project
24	in Southwest Virginia. Two million from Southwest Economic
25	Development and \$2.5 from our Prospect Incentive Fund.

1	The hope was that this project would improve our
2	technology and do this in Southwest and get a technology
3	system that would allow us to attract high tech players. And
4	during the presentation, a number of names were called out, and
5	other secretaries in government and leadership and in the
6	Commonwealth, among others.
7	A year later, no matching funds have been raised, and
8	it remains unclear what monies would be spent. It sort of looks
9	like we'd be funding this project and there's no matching funds.
10	That's the key, bottom line, there's no matching funds available
11	at this time.
12	I remain grateful that we moved on this and this was
13	submitted to our region and we were ready to go. It's my advice
14	to the Deputy Director that the Commission de-obligate those
15	funds and return them to their individual pots.
16	The Staff is ready and willing to work out some more
17	ambitious proposals for the economy in Southwest Virginia if
18	that's the direction we go in. I've had conversations with various
19	people. As far as the EMPower Project is concerned, no money
20	has materialized.
21	DELEGATE MARSHALL: I have two questions. How
22	much have we spent or are we thinking about.
23	MR. FEINMAN: \$4.5 million. That came from
24	Southwest and \$2.5.
25	DELEGATE MARSHALL: That would go back to, yes,

1	originally. What I would suggest and
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: I would suggest that no money
3	has gone out the door. We just take the de-obligated funding
4	and put it where it came from.
5	DELEGATE MARSHALL: The other thing is we're
6	stretching our budget to put it back and that'll fix some of the
7	problems.
8	DELEGATE KILGORE: If we want to and it would give
9	us a bigger discussion on how to work with Staff and how to
10	direct these dollars to Southwest and Southside. It's a longer
11	discussion we can have and everybody needs to think about it
12	between now and September.
13	MR. FEINMAN: This is the first time I've come back in
14	the middle and said we would call it quits on a project.
15	DELEGATE KILGORE: No money has gone out the
16	door.
17	MS. HENSLEY: Well, say in Southwest, projects that
18	didn't apply because of the money, and maybe they could be
19	worked up and the Commission to look at them in September.
20	Have you de-obligated these other funds today?
21	MR FEINMAN: The funds have been tied up, but we
22	can work on that.
23	MS. HENSLEY: Those funds have been tied up for
24	over a year.
5	DELEGATE MARCHALL: We can go into that at the

September meeting and get some recommendations in the meantime.

just want to touch on the fact that the anniversary of D-Day and there's a big celebration in Bedford, and the folks from Bedford suffered big losses and I want to say that we owe a lot of gratitude to the community of Bedford and to all our World II veterans and other D-Day veterans. This is what they did for us on a day 75 years ago, and it's hard to imagine the sacrifice that those people did and I know they fought the Germans for the next two days after they landed. And I believe that was the turning point in the war, and we have a lot of gratitude for our veterans and all World War II veterans on this day.

Any other business or any public comment? One thing I want to say is that we need to get the word out and we've done a lot of good for our community. I encourage you all to get the word out in press releases or whatever you need, to get the word out about our grants for our communities.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: I think we have a guest today. Eva.

MS. DOSS: I'm Eva Doss with The Launch Place and the Southside Business Technology Center 2005. In 2012, with a \$10 million grant from a foundation, it transitioned into The Launch Place. I'm here to talk about The Launch Place services. We used Tobacco funds for various services, and I'm very excited

to be here this morning. I've been working with Andy on some of the work initiatives. So, I'm happy to be here.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: The reason she's here today, she has some ideas and what they can do, and we welcome you.

MS. DOSS: I'd like to thank the Tobacco Commission and Delegate Marshall. And we have many services that we can provide and, more recently, and we've provided to Staff certain selection criteria for investments competition. The Launch Place is a business consulting organization for all stages of business, and we can provide services to the Region. We can provide market research, financial modeling.

As I said, the organization was created in 2005. Back at that time, our primary mission was to provide consulting services and companies that are struggling and we could do this internationally and getting into other markets.

Good morning, everyone, Eva Doss with The Launch Place. Thank you for inviting me here this morning. I just wanted to tell the Commission that we work internationally and in other markets in our organization and this has evolved into a consulting workforce.

In 2012, we received a million dollars from the Regional Foundation to provide additional support services. We also have consulting services for startup companies and we have opened up other offices. And we provide those services. That includes even small businesses. We also work with incubators,

1	startup companies in Virginia and elsewhere, such as VIR and
2	others. The Tobacco Commission has shared their criteria for
3	support, and we appreciate that. We've had over 700
4	applications throughout.
5	The Launch Place provides superior business
6	consulting services for all stages of businesses, from helping
7	entrepreneurs in the early stage of a great idea to business
8	growth and expansion by offering a menu of services, such as:
9	Business Plan Development - A written description of
10	your business' future. Components include the Executive
11	Summary, which is very important in stating concisely what you
12	want to do and what you need from investors, a description of
13	your industry, product design, operations and management plan,
14	financial data and various analyses, which are described in more
15	detail below.
16	Competitor Analysis - The strengths and weaknesses
17	of your competitors within your market, strategies that will give
18	you a competitive advantage, barriers for your competitors to
19	overcome and that can be exploited within the product
20	development cycle.
21	Market Research and Analysis - The ability to
22	demonstrate that you are familiar with all aspects of the market

so that the target market can be defined and your company can

Financial Modeling - An analysis of your financial

be positioned to garner its share of sales.

23

24

1	assets or portfolio of your business.
2	Industry Analysis - Similar to a Market Analysis, while
3	involving market, economic and political factors influencing the
4	way the industry develops, such as the impact of suppliers,
5	conditions of competitors and likelihood of new competitors.
6	Feasibility Studies - For new product development,
7	various questions are explored, such as: Is it technically
8	feasible? Is it feasible within the estimated cost? Will it generate
9	a profit, which may require doing a cost benefit analysis?
10	Organizational Analysis - An in-depth review of your
11	business functions and logistics, such as, but not limited to:
12	Management team responsibilities, tasks assigned to each
13	division of your company and capital and expense requirements
14	related to your business operations.
15	Mergers and Acquisition - Assistance in these
16	processes to help you maintain or create shareholder value,
17	enhance revenue, and save costs.
18	And many others.
19	If your business needs assistance, we can help. Our
20	business consulting services are heavily subsidized and fees are
21	based on the company's business development stage and the
22	scope of each individual project. Initial consultations are free.
23	So, if your business is in need of some assistance, please contact
24	us.
25	Thank you for having me.

MR. SORRELL: We also have some comments from 1 Don James. He's with GENEDGE, and we'll talk about the 2 commercialization process briefly. 3 MR. JAMES: Mr. Chairman and Commission, I thank 4 you all very much for allowing us to address you this afternoon. 5 We appreciate our relationship with the Tobacco Commission and 6 look forward to working with you. 7 We're known as GENEDGE and our whole mission is to 8 help our constituents and all our folks in the State of Virginia. 9 We're interested in innovation. We are part of a network so we 10 can bring all of these resources to help you. We cover the entire 11 state and we have various representatives on call to help you as 12 needed. We look forward to helping all the companies that we 13 can render aid to. We look forward to helping all our 14 manufacturers and various industries. We also network, and that 15 includes people like Virginia Tech, the Tobacco Commission, we 16 can bring all those resources to bear. We can also use our third 17 parties to help our clients. A hundred and seventy-five 18 companies responded to a recent survey, and we have resources 19 to bear to help. 20 I'd like to direct your attention to the slides on the 21 screen. As far as commercialization programs at some of your 22 grantees and we work in the same method of small business 23 entrepreneurs. We also try to incentivize businesses by bringing

all the resources we have to bear to help them. We like to work

24

with our grantees and particularly the new ones to help them
enter into a path of entrepreneurship and then
commercialization. Looking at the current state of a company
and looking at it after we do an assessment and including the
leadership of a company. So, this assessment we do is very

6 important.

In this case, 18 drivers, and they are an internal part of the business, internal drivers for the business, and that includes the leadership as you can see on the chart. This can certainly help in giving us an evaluation and fill out any gaps that need be. We need and want to look at the biggest opportunities available, whether it be sales or marketing. And so after this evaluation, we'll know exactly where to start. We'll do a complete staff review.

We also do a spot analysis of external activities and, also, as well, looking at internal problems. We certainly want an understanding by all involved of where we want to go and how we're going to get there. And we have to be very knowledgeable of the particular markets and we have to look at trends and new market changes and what direction that leads us. A thorough market analysis must be completed.

Then after we do all that, it ends up in a report to the Tobacco Commission on what is found and most importantly where we plan to go. There's also a commercialization business page and what the financial aspects are.

1	We also have to look at the mission. That's a
2	thorough process because that can change along with the vision
3	of the company. There is what we call a commercialization path
4	or actually it's called an action plan and, of course, what does the
5	success of all this look like in trying to be realistic. This is an
6	indication of some of the companies we've worked with so far
7	and those that have had success with us.
8	I want to thank you for letting me speak to you.
9	MR. FEINMAN: Thank you very much. I know
10	GENEDGE is really working hard for us, and we appreciate that
11	and hopefully bringing some of our grantees success in the Small
12	Business Entrepreneurship Program.
13	DELEGATE KILGORE: Is there any public comment?
14	All right, thank you all for coming. We're adjourned.
15	
16	
17	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	<b>CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER</b>
3	
4	I, Medford W. Howard, Registered Professional
5	Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large, do
6	hereby certify that I was the Court Reporter who took down and
7	transcribed the proceedings of the <b>Tobacco Region</b>
8	Revitalization Commission, Full Commission Meeting, when
9	held on Thursday, June 6, 2019, at 10:30 o'clock a.m., at the
10	Institute for Advanced Learning and Research, 150 Slayton
11	Avenue, Danville, Virginia 24540.
12	I further certify this is a true and accurate transcript,
13	to the best of my ability to <u>hear and understand</u> the proceedings.
14	Given under my hand this day of July, 2019.
15	
16	
17	Medford W. Howard
18	CCR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	