

Appearances: 1 Edward Blevins, Chair 2 3 Honorable Delegate Leslie Adams, Vice Chair 4 Gretchen Clark 5 June Hensley 6 Honorable Deputy Secretary Beth Green 7 T. Jordan Miles 8 Honorable Delegate William "Will" Wampler 9 Sarah Wilson 10 11 Staff: 12 Honorable James Campos, Acting Executive Director 13 and Deputy Secretary of Commerce and Trade Andrew Sorrell, Deputy Director 14 Stephanie Kim, Finance Director 15 Vicki Humphreys, Grants Director 16 Sarah Capps, Southern Regional Director Sara Williams, Southwest Regional Director 17 Jordan Butler, Public Relations Director & TROF Program Manager 18 Emily Van Pelt, Grants Assistant 19 Joyce Knight, Meeting Coordinator 20 Hannah Franke-Fuller, Special Assistant 21 22 23 24 25

1	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: I want to welcome everyone and call to order
2	the meeting of the Strategic Planning Commission. At this time
3	I'll ask for the call of the roll, please.
4	MR. CAMPOS: Acting Executive Director James Campos.
5 6	(IN ATTENDANCE.)
7	Edward Blevins. Delegate Kathy Bryon. Delegate Leslie
8	Adams. Gretchen Clark. Julie Hensley. Deputy Secretary Beth
9	Green. T. Jordan Miles. Delegate William Wampler.
10	MR. CAMPOS: Chair, we have a quorum.
11	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Thank you. The minutes have been
12	published on the website. At this time we'll ask for a motion
13 14	to approve.
15	MR. MILES: So, moved, Mr. Chairman.
16	DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: I have a motion. All in favor say aye.
18	(ALL AFFIRM.)
19	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Opposed?
20	(NONE.)
21	
22	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Is there any public comment for
23	this particular planning committee?
24	(NO RESPONSE.)
25	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Hearing none we'll defer to Andy
	3

1 || for an update on our upcoming Strategic Plan.

12

2 MR. SORRELL: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just 3 wanted to give you a brief update on where we stood with the 4 Strategic Plan since we last talked about it in January. We 5 don't have a revised draft for you to review it or anything like 6 that today. But as you recall, we had a discussion at our 7 January meeting. And, of course, that was James' first meeting. 8 9 And since that time we've really been working with James to get 10 him up to speed in the role, understanding the Commission's 11 existing plan where a draft has been.

And then also how we can incorporate some of the ideas, as 13 we'll talk about in Full Commission with our budget related to 14 I think that the Governor's Economic energy and other things. 15 16 Development Plan is also something that's working its way --17 it's now wrapping itself up to be completed. And so we'll be 18 able to really show and tie our plan into what the Governor's 19 pillars are for Economic Development. When I reviewed that with 20 James I'd say five of the six aligned very closely with what the 21 Commission does for Economic Development and Workforce 22 23 Development and things of that nature. And so that's just sort 24 of a longer way of saying that I expect that by our fall meeting 25 in Southern Virginia we'll have a draft, hopefully, that --

1	well, it won't be me, but it'll be somebody. Somebody will
2	provide you with a James will be providing you with a draft
3	of the Strategic Plan that will pretty closely align with the
4	Administration as well as the funding goals that James brings to
5	the table and with interest in the Energy Program. But also a
6	
7	refocus on some of the projects as well with an innovation
8	funding.
9	James, you might want to address some of those ideas, if
10	you'd like.
11	MR. CAMPOS: Sure. Thank you, Andrew. Yeah, some of the
12	things that we're going to be presenting and bringing forward, I
13	think, we have discussed with several of you already. But
14	
15	we're looking forward to presenting these initiatives. We think
16	it's going to be a means in which we can further make the
17	Commission more viable in the years to come and also to provide
18	opportunities of growth and opportunities. So we really do look
19	forward to addressing those and talking with other Commission
20	members and kind of gathering their thoughts from now until the
21	
22	fall.
23	I think it was mentioned by Delegate Marshall that I'm
24	going to be doing a speaking tour going around and meeting
25	different folks, meeting them and going to their communities and
	5

1 briefing some of them about the Commission. But getting there
2 and making the Commission a bit more retail in that sense. And
3 really getting to know and understand so we can better fine tune
4 the Strategic Plan going forward. So I'm looking forward to
5 that and thought I would just drop those few words.
6

Thank you, James. Mr. Chairman, I think that MR. SORRELL: 7 too by having a Strategic Plan ready for adoption this fall 8 9 we'll be able to incorporate the feedback that James receives 10 from these roundtable discussions from our partners that utilize 11 And want to be able to provide solid feedback to us the Plan. 12 if our Plan is not meeting the needs of our footprint then why 13 do we have a plan? So it's important that that Plan closely 14 aligns with not only programs and what we do but also what our 15 16 partners are looking for us to do.

17 And so it'll also by having it ready to go this fall it'll 18 be for two years, of course, also will align with the Governor's 19 plan for his Economic Development Plan as well. And so that was 20 really just a more general update. As we have talked in the 21 past, you know, Delegate Marshall had some interest in ensuring 22 23 that we had a Regional Committee that was similar to how we had 24 a Special Projects Committee in the past. But I think there's 25 ways that we can address some of those more regional projects

when they do arrive. They don't arrive all the time, but when
they do, that needs to happen. There needs to be a place for
them that we address in the Strategic Plan.

4

20

MR. CAMPOS: James Campos. I would be remiss by correcting 5 myself. I mentioned a speaking tour. It's really going to be 6 more of a listening tour. So I wanted to make that correction. 7 And also, as Andrew mentioned, some of the new initiatives we're 8 9 doing. One is an Agricultural Innovation Fund. Another one is 10 an Energy Fund. And we'll go into that more in depth. But 11 those are the two things that we're really looking forward along 12 with the creation of a foundation. 13

MR. SORRELL: Yes. A foundation will be something that will provide some flexibility to the Commission and something that will be brought towards to the Commission for a greater discussion at a later time. Probably, this fall. And, of course, that will be addressed in the Strategic Plan. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Thank you both. I couldn't agree more with the approach that we're taking on developing this Strategic Plan. I think it will be very beneficial to the Commission and to the people in the footprint. So, I think we're taking -- we're taking the right approach.

1	Is there any other business at this time?
2	MR. SORRELL: No, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Hearing no other business, is there any
4	public comment?
5	(NO RESPONSE.)
6 7	CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Hearing none, we'll call the
8	meeting adjourned.
9	MR. SORRELL: Okay. And the Full Commission meeting starts
10	back at 12:15. So enjoy your lunch.
11	(MEETING ADJOURNED.)
12	(MEETING ADOOORNED.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	8

1	VIRGINIA TOBACCO REGION REVITALIZATION COMMISSION
2	2023 SPRING FULL COMMISSION TWO-DAY MEETING SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA HIGHER EDUCATION CENTER
3	ABINGDON, VA
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	FULL COMMISSION MAY 18, 2023
13	12:15 P.M.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MARSHA SELF, CERT
22	Appalachian Court Reporting P.O. Box 833
23	Abingdon, Virginia 24212 ID 54-1495140
24	(276) 676-2244
25	
	9

1 Appearances:

2	Honorable Senator Frank Ruff, Chair
2	Honorable Will Morefield, Vice Chair
3	Honorable Delegate Leslie Adams Edward Blevins
4	Honorable Delegate Kathy Byron
	Gretchen Clark
5	Amanda Cox
6	Honorable Deputy Secretary Charles Kennington
0	Joel Cunningham, Jr.
7	Coley Drinkwater Watt Foster, Jr.
0	Honorable Deputy Secretary Beth Green
8	June Hensley
9	Jay Jennings (remotely)
	Honorable Delegate Terry Kilgore
10	Honorable Delegate Danny Marshall
11	T. Jordan Miles, III Honorable William Pace
11	Sandy Ratliff
12	Walter "Buddy" Shelton, Jr.
	Honorable Delegate William "Will" Wampler
13	Sarah Wilson
14	
	Absent
15	Honorable Senator John Edwards
16	Richard Hite
10	Honorable Senator Louise Lucas
17	Honorable Joseph Morrissey
10	Gary Walker
18	
19	Staff:
	Honorable James Campos, Acting Executive Director
20	and Deputy Secretary of Commerce and Trade
21	Andrew Sorrell, Deputy Director
21	Stephanie Kim, Finance Director
22	Vicki Humphreys, Grants Director Sarah Capps, Southern Regional Director
~	Sara Williams, Southwest Regional Director
23	Jordan Butler, Public Relations Director & TROF Program Manager
24	Emily Van Pelt, Grants Assistant
	Joyce Knight, Meeting Coordinator
25	Hannah Franke-Fuller, Special Assistant

CHAIRMAN RUFF: James, would you call the roll, please? 1 2 MR. CAMPOS: Yes, Chairman. James Campos, Acting Executive 3 Director. 4 (IN ATTENDANCE.) 5 Senator Frank Ruff. Delegate Will Morefield. Honorable 6 Leslie Adams. Edward Blevins. Delegate Kathy Byron. Gretchen 7 Clark. Amanda Fox. Cox. (Laughs.) As I was saying. Deputy 8 9 Secretary Charles Kennington. Joel Cunningham. Coley 10 Drinkwater. Watt Foster. Julie Hensley. Jay Jennings. 11 Delegate Terry Kilgore. Deputy Secretary Green. Delegate Danny 12 Marshall. Secretary Caren Merrick. T. Jordan Miles. Honorable 13 William Pace. Sandy Ratliff. Walter "Buddy" Shelton. Delegate 14 Sarah Wilson. Will Wampler. 15 16 MR. CAMPOS: Chair, we have a quorum. 17 CHAIRMAN RUFF: James, if you'd try Hite again and see if 18 he will acknowledge he's there. 19 MR. CAMPOS: Mr. Hite, are you there, sir? One more time. 20 Mr. Hite, are you there, sir? And then Joel Cunningham just 21 walked in. 22 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm here. Here. 23 24 MR. MOREFIELD: All right. Mr. Chairman, I have a motion. 25 Chairman Ruff, I move approval that Commissioners Merrick, Hite, 11

1	Walker, and Jennings, requested to participate remotely in this
2	meeting in conformance with the Commission's adopted electronic
3	policy. And the voices of the remotely participating members
4	can be heard by all persons at the primary meeting location.
5	DELEGATE KILGORE: Second.
6	
7	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. All in favor
8	say, aye.
9	(ALL AFFIRM.)
10	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. All right. Very good. All right,
11	you all have read, I'm sure, word-for-word all the minutes from
12	January the 5 th and March 21 st .
13	
14	MR. SORRELL: I'll say that March 21 st ones aren't
15	completed, so it'll just the January 5 th ones.
16	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Are there any additions or changes to those
17	meetings? If not, will somebody make a motion?
18	DELEGATE KILGORE: So moved to be approved.
19	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded that they be
20	approved. All in favor say, aye.
21	
22	(ALL AFFIRM.)
23	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Welcome back, public. You all were too
24	quiet earlier, so we can give you one more shot at this for
25	saying something. All right. Gearing up. Let's move forward.
	12

Adam Watkins is here with the Economic Development for Southwest and Southside Virginia and with the VEDP. And, Adam, we're going to turn it over to you and give us some great wisdom.

5

MR. WATKINS: Sounds great. Thank you very much. It's 6 really a pleasure to be here. So thank you for inviting me to 7 come speak on this report that VEDP developed over the last 8 9 year. I want to thank Delegate Kilgore for developing the bill 10 that ended up tasking us with conducting this report. I'll kind 11 of go through the slides but I'll introduce myself first. My 12 name is Adam Watkins. I'm the Assistant Vice President at the 13 Virginia Economic Development Partnership on the Economic 14 Competitiveness Team. What my team really does is really look 15 16 at what areas is Virginia competitive. We're trying to attract 17 and grow our businesses in the state. And over the last year in 18 a lot of different forms we've really taken a deep look at rural 19 Virginia. In particular, in the Southwest and Southside, 20 especially. My team also developed a study for the inland 21 ports, which the results came out kind of in favor of exploring 22 23 further developing an inland port in Mount Rogers region as 24 well. But this study -- if you'd go to the next slide. Thank 25 you.

1	So, the study asked us to look at barriers and
2	opportunities for economic development and infrastructure
3	development in Southwest and Southside Virginia. So it was a
4	kind of broad idea of what are the different challenges because
5	there are many topic areas that we could have taken this in to
6 7	really understand economic development in ways that Southwest
8	and Southside Virginia can really leverage some of the great
9	
	things that are going on and really take a deeper look at some
10	of the challenges and think through new ways to tackle them.
11	We took Southwest and Southside Virginia and focused on GO
12 13	Virginia Regions One and Three, so predominately it covers the
13	tobacco region. And that was kind of our area of focus. But
15	something that we want to make clear in this study is that a lot
16	of the findings really are more broadly applicable to rural
17	Virginia. A lot of the challenges that we see in Southwest and
18	Southside, we did a lot of data analysis comparing both this
19	region of the study, rural Virginia, and then comparing it to
20	region of the study, fully virginia, and then comparing it to
21	what we're seeing in trans and metro. In urban Virginia there's
22	a lot of parallels between Southwest and Southside Virginia and
23	then the rest of the rural areas. So I just want to make that
24	clear. There's a lot of broad applicability of these findings.
25	Something else I wanted to say is that this isn't really

just VEDP or that is coming up with these ideas or coming up 1 2 with these priorities. One thing my team did and our External 3 Affairs Team is we really engaged folks in Southwest and 4 Southside Virginia. We came out to all of the regions. We 5 engaged with the delegation as well. We met with local economic 6 developers, regional folks, the higher eds, many other partners 7 in these reasons to really understand that -- and this is the 8 9 report is really a reflection of the challenges that they've 10 seen and the priorities that they see for economic developments 11 over the next 10 to 20 years. What we ended up doing with that 12 information is take a deep dive into the data and really justify 13 and say, Okay, this is the challenge that communities are 14 This is verifiable. It's true. We are seeing a expressing. 15 16 housing shortage. We are seeing challenges with capacity 17 building and that's impacting our ability in communities to win 18 projects, right. 19

So, that's something that we try to do with this report is supplement all kinds of priorities and ideas that are coming out of the communities and the great work here and really leverage some data as well to wrap our heads around. Okay, this is why things are moving slowly or in some directions. But or this is why this is a priority and this is how we can tackle those

1 || issues.

2 So if you go to the next slide. 3 The report really hits on five key areas for this region. 4 And, again, these are very broadly applicable to rural Virginia 5 as a whole. But the first thing we wanted to highlight is 6 Capacity Building. And something that we noted is there's a lot 7 fewer local economic developers and regional economic developers 8 9 in this region than we see in other parts of the state. This is 10 true for rural Virginia, but will we see the stark difference 11 when we get to kind of more of the metro areas where there's 12 always like two, three, or more economic developers per county 13 or per city. And there are communities in Southwest and 14 Southside that don't have a dedicated economic developer. That 15 16 hat is being worn by the county commissioner or kind of the city 17 manager. That they have a lot of other responsibilities as 18 well. So capacity is a huge challenge. And the communities 19 that we do see really strong dedicated people we see a lot of 20 progress and a lot of great things are moving and happening. 21 The other area, the next area, is Workforce is a major one. 22 23 And this kind of broadly encompasses, not only developing skills 24 and attracting talent, but some of the other adjacent areas, so 25 housing capacity. So where does that talent go if they want to

1	move here, right. Do you have workforce housing for any new
2	projects that might be coming down the pipeline. Also,
3	childcare and elderly care. Are there kind of wrap around
4	services and support services so that people don't have to make
5	the choice to sacrifice between going to a really good paying
6 7	job that they might have to travel a little bit farther to and
8	then figure out how to coordinate childcare and care for other
° 9	
10	family members, right. So, workforce, it's a broader category
11	but there are a lot of different challenges in there that we
12	wanted to touch on.
13	The next one is oh, let's go back another. The first
14	line. Go farther up.
15	So Sites and Buildings. If you don't have a site for a
16	project to land or for a company to expand then they won't be
17	able to move here, right. So that's a challenge that we feel
18	really acutely at VEDP and we really prioritized developing and
19	expanding our business sites funding. I know the Tobacco
20	Commission has done a lot to develop sites and buildings. And
21	so this is something that we think we should continue to
22 23	prioritize.
23 24	
25	The fourth one is Infrastructure. So expanding access to
-	our roadways. Really developing and strengthening kind of our

our roadways. Really developing and strengthening kind of our

1 highway network and roadway network. And we also highlighted
2 that complimentary study on the inland port as well.

3 And, finally, Incentives and Costs of Doing Business. This 4 region is unique in Virginia because it's right on the border of 5 Tennessee and North Carolina, right. And we are constantly 6 competing with them for products. And this is very easy for a 7 business to say, based on this cost calculation, I will move one 8 9 county over and be in North Carolina versus Virginia. And they 10 have other states are outcompeting us with incentives and taxes 11 and speed of permitting. So we want to highlight those areas 12 and there are ways that we don't necessarily need to always 13 overhaul our tax system. Although that is a potential option. 14 But there are other incentives that they are using that we could 15 16 leverage as well to be more cost competitive. And the same with 17 permitting as well.

So if you go on to the next slide.

18

19

I just want to highlight something in the study that we did, we looked at in terms of the capacity, and we looked at counties and cities that have different numbers of economic developers. So on the far left of that is your rural communities, broadly. And we grouped them all together in this particular one because the trends weren't really different

1	between Southwest and Southside in the general rural areas.
2	There's kind of a mix of folks that have or mix of
3	counties and cities that have no economic developers or just
4	one, two, and three. But what we really see is once you go from
5	having no economic developer to even one economic developer you
6	almost double your chances of getting a project within a two-
7	
8	year span. And by the time you have a pretty fully staffed
9	organization, economic development organization, at the local
10	level your chances of winning a project in a rural area is just
11	as good as we're seeing it in metro areas. So I wanted to
12 13	highlight that. The people that are on the ground that are
13	doing this work it's really important for them to have the
15	capacity.
16	And it's not just having staff, too. It's having that
17	training and that expertise. The kind of funds to be able to do
18	a strategic plan or to redevelop your marketing, right. There's
19	a lot of things that go into it that makes a really strong kind
20	
21	of local economic development organization and regional as well.
22	If you'll go to the next slide.
23	The other area that we noted is capacity as an issue is
24	getting federal grants. Federal grants is not money that we
25	have to allocate in our state budget. It's money that's already

1 allocated by the federal government that we can compete for and 2 we can win. But the people that have to apply for those, 3 develop the grants, track and monitor those, are usually our 4 locals, our PDCs, and folks like that, that really, again, are 5 constrained with capacity.

And so if we can really bolster capacity or do things at the state level to really prioritize and support efforts to capture federal funding that can really make a difference and add more resources into our communities. And this is something that VEDP is starting to prioritize.

My team is really diving into understanding, hey, what are all the federal funding machines that are most important to our communities. We are developing a kind of list and resources to help guide communities on how to apply for these and how to prioritize those. So that's something that we're already taking from this study and doing ourselves.

The next slide.

12

19

20

25

21 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Adam, before you go to the next slide. 22 MR. WATKINS: Yes.

23 CHAIRMAN RUFF: My eyes aren't that good. Can you tell us 24 what the colors are?

MR. WATKINS: Yes. So we broke it down by different

1	federal organizations. So, Appalachian Regional Commission is
2	the dark blue at the bottom, the U.S. Department of Agriculture,
3	the Economic Development Agency, and the Health and Human
4	Services, Department of Transportation, Federal Communications
5	Commission, that primarily does the broadband pieces, right.
6	
7	There's another a few others in there as well. And so what
8	this is showing it's a per capita win of these grants. So
9	Virginia, compared to Tennessee, is about \$400 per capita that
10	we've seen over the past several years that have been awarded to
11	rural Tennessee versus \$300 per capita in rural Virginia. So
12	it's a pretty big difference. If we're thinking about
13	
14	population and size of our rural areas it makes a huge
15	difference in the end.
16	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you.
17	MR. WATKINS: Yeah. So, Workforce, we tackled it and we
18	broke it down into two chunks, so really it's kind of developing
19	the skills of the people that are here. This was an area where
20	we don't really want to do a lot of new things. We really want
21	
22	to enforce a lot of the great work that's being done, right. So
23	GO TEC is a program that is really taking off and we wanted to
24	highlight that. And that should expand, right.
25	We should prioritize getting our K-12 students involved in

the industries here and realizing that accessing those 1 2 opportunities, right. That we have great internship programs 3 that are being developed at the state level that we should 4 continue to double down on and expand. G3 is another program to 5 get folks getting credentials, right. So anything that the 6 state can do to really kind of promote these programs to support 7 their growth. 8

9 Another thing we wanted to highlight is stuff that the 10 Tobacco Commission and others are doing is really funding our 11 higher education centers, our community colleges for responsive 12 workforce needs, right. We have -- and this is true across the 13 state, but very much so in rural Virginia is we're seeing a 14 shortage of workers, right. And what the companies really want 15 16 is responsive training programs to fill kind of 10 spots or 30 17 spots as they grow their business and adapt to new technology, 18 right.

So being very nimble with our funding and very responsive to funding needs is something that's important. VEDP already does this. We have that program but it's only for companies kind of new and coming in. It's not for companies that are not looking to take in tax incentives and a deal. So that's the gap that the Tobacco Commission and other organizations can fill.

19

And then on the town attraction side, right, and growing that town base, right. Because there's a lot of people in the region that needs to be unlocked as well. So housing is probably the number one priority there as making sure that we have affordable and workforce housing for people close to the areas where they work. Something that has been a challenge is that developers aren't coming here.

9 One thing we note is that housing values are lower and that 10 is part of the reason that's driving that, right. If you're a 11 developer you're going to be more inclined to build in Richmond 12 where you can get more bang for your buck in the end than here. 13 And so it's just a marketing deficiency that there have been 14 some really good ideas about how to solve them for and fix that. 15 16 A couple communities -- I know Wise is doing this. I know 17 Danville and Pittsylvania are thinking about doing this and 18 really developing and kind of doing what we do for site 19 development for industry, but for housing. So kind of doing 20 that free development infrastructure, laying, leveling the site, 21 bringing in water and utilities for when that developer needs to 22 23 come and they can build quickly, right. So that's one issue to 24 solve for. And having additional funding for that that should 25 be a huge priority. The same for childcare and healthcare.

1 || It's the same issue where there's a marketing deficiency.

If you're going to build childcare and healthcare centers you're going to probably, as a capitalist, you're going to do it in a metro area where you can make more money where there's a center of gravity of more people. So we need to work with them and help funds and make a case for people to come to rural areas and expand that, right.

9 So there, for example, the difference that we saw is 10 there's about 40 percent of seats available for pre-K to -- or, 11 yeah, children from zero to 5, right. So about 40 percent of 12 the population to be served in Southwest and Southside, and 60 13 percent of the population to be served in metro areas, right. 14 It's a huge difference. It's still not great for metro areas, 16 but the challenge is more acute here.

And then the same is community amenities. I think that the region is doing a great job of developing and selling it's community amenities. But really continuing to leverage opportunity zones, enterprise zones, and other tools to really attract investments and developing public and private partnerships to help enhancing our communities is important to continue to do.

25

Go to the next slide.

1	I won't touch on this too much because I think site
2	development is very familiar to folks. But it's a huge priority
3	and what we want to note is that like there are a couple of
4	great sites in this area, but there few of them, right. We want
5 6	to continue to grow the products that we have available. But
7	it's not just always just the mega sites. As well we want to
8	and this is one that VEDP doesn't have the funding to do but
9	really invest in some of those smaller sites, 20 acres, 25
10	acres, right, that businesses will expand on.
11	And then invest in shell buildings as well. Something that
12	we heard from conversations is that there's a lot of investment
13 14	in shell buildings in the '80s and '90s. They kind of sat
14	vacant for a while but then once the economy picked up in the
16	past five years all those buildings have been swallowed up. And
17	we don't really have a funding source to replenish that, right.
18	And if you're a business you're thinking speed to market.
19	Having a building ready for you is huge.
20	Go to the next slide.
21	This is piloting Infrastructure now. So site development
22 23	is one piece of that. And then infrastructure we're thinking
23	
25	about kind of our roads, our rail networks, our airport
	networks, all those different things. This is an area where the

1	capacity issue comes in again, right. We are not winning as
2	many federal grants for Department of Transportation grants
3	for our infrastructure as we need. This is something that takes
4	a lot of work to apply to. It takes a lot of effort to get
5	folks on board and to work with consultants and experts to
6 7	develop the plans for that. But it takes time and it takes
8	people. So I wanted to bring that back and showcase this issue
9	with an infrastructural lens as well.
10	The next slide.
11	So the reason why infrastructure is a priority and why I
12	think, Delegate Kilgore, you specifically mentioned it in the
13 14	bill itself is it's the number three reason companies site
14	provided and want to locate at a place. They want to be really
16	connected to road to rail infrastructure. They want to be close
17	to suppliers. They want to have access to the export markets if
18	they need those things. So it's a very important location
19	factor for a company.
20	And so we did a quick analysis to understand how much is
21	
22	this actually affecting decisions, right. And places that are
23	closer the counties that have kind of a major four-lane
24	highway are two times as likely to get a project these are
25	rural counties. Two times as more likely to get a project than

counties that are not -- that don't have access to a four-lane highway, all right. So we're seeing that, actually, in the data and how the companies are making decisions. And that's another reason why we wanted to bring back the point of, like, hey, if we have folks prioritizing this and developing this in communities it will help kind of unlock more funds for that as well.

9 The last piece to highlight here is the reason why we're 10 recommending the inland port and hope kind of complimenting the 11 other report that came out is that if you're, basically, in 12 Martinsville on farther kind of towards the end of the state, if 13 you're a trucker you're probably going to go to North Carolina 14 or South Carolina to ship your goods out of the country because 15 16 it's 10 to 40 minutes, depending on where you're at, closer for 17 you or faster for you to drive there, right. Time is money. So 18 having it in the port or further developing our infrastructure 19 networks in the southern part of the state towards to connect 20 those regions to the port should be a major priority as well. 21 Go to the next slide. 22

This is more about the cost of doing business side.
Something that I want is to note here, there's a lot of ways to reduce costs, right. But companies are mostly looking at their

1	bottom line. It's not necessarily whether it's taxes or tax
2	cuts. There is some nuance to it, but like if they are saving
3	money by locating in Virginia over North Carolina then they will
4	locate in Virginia. And something that we see in that all of
5	
6	our other neighboring states do that we don't is really targeted
7	tax credits for rural areas. And sometimes it's also targeted
8	at specific industries like manufacturing that are real
9	priorities.
10	So I wanted to highlight kind of all the states and what
11	they're doing to do this. And this is something that Virginia
12	doesn't have is, essentially, a job tax credit. So if you
13	employee a certain number of people you'll get a tax credit
14	
15	based on a certain percentage of that of their wage for the
16	companies. It's a huge windfall for them. Not a significant
17	cost relative to the budget of the state. This is something
18	that VEDP has prioritized and been kind of promoting with the
19 20	General Assembly for a few years now. But they tier it. And
20 21	this is what's important is that Georgia, for example, their tax
22	credit it's, basically, like three times as much. If a company
23	chooses to locate in a rural area versus kind of a major metro
24	area they'll have a three times higher tax credit for doing so.
25	So, these are the things that we can do to really direct

1	investment into rural areas to really kind of fix that kind of
2	market issue that they've made a company consider rural Virginia
3	Southwest, Southside over kind of an area that is more in the
4	metro areas. Because there's so many people and talent here
5	
6	that can be unlocked. And getting them to recognize that the
7	opportunity is there and just nudging them in that direction is
8	something that the state should be doing. That's kind of the
9	overview.
10	The next few slides are just kind of a summary of those
11	
	recommendations. The recommendations are we targeted them
12	
13	towards the General Assembly to think through. But a lot of
1.4	these issues are ones that other organizations and VEDP, like I

these issues are ones that other organizations and VEDP, like I 14 said earlier, we are trying to prioritize as well. And this is 15 16 an effort that not only needs to be done at the city level, it's 17 already being done in a lot of places at the regional and local 18 levels in Southwest and Southside Virginia. And we want to 19 continue that momentum, continue building on those opportunities 20 and think through and be a good partner at VEDP with our 21 communities in Southwest and Southside to really push our 22 23 economic development opportunities forward.

So, I'll take any questions. Happy to. So this was a summary of the presentation. Obviously, we went to it broadly

1	and looked at a lot of areas. If folks want to dive deeper into
2	any of those you can read the report. You can also reach out to
3	me or Jason on who we are, our CEO at VEDP, or any other of us
4	to kind of understand more and give more information. We'd be
5	happy to present and kind of do any other detail work with you
6	all and the communities that you serve. So, thank you very
7 8	much.
8	
9	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Adam, before you answer any questions. Are
10	these slides available to the Tobacco Commission?
11	MR. BUTLER: Yes. Yeah, absolutely. And we'll email them
12 13	to any I also brought paper copies, but not enough. So if
13	folks want paper copies I can share that. But they also can be
15	made available as well to share.
16	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Andy, can I count on you to send out to
17	every member that presentation?
18	MR. SORRELL: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.
20	MR. WATKINS: Thank you.
21	MR. WAIRINS. IMAIR you.
22	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Daniel, you had some questions?
23	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Yeah. Sir, last year in the General
24	Assembly, we passed House Bill 1842. It's a bill about the
25	Virginia Business Ready Sites Acquisition Fund and Program. It

1	creates Virginia Business Ready Sites Acquisition Fund and
2	Program for the purpose of acquiring sites, for the purpose of
3	creating and maintaining a portfolio of project-ready sites to
4	promote economic development in the Commonwealth. So this is a
5	state-wide bill. But I'm just home cooking here in my
6 7	Commission. So I might be putting you on the spot.
8	MR. WATKINS: That's all right.
9	DELEGATE MARSHALL: So how does this affect the Tobacco
10	Commission? Because what I understand about this bill that we
11	passed is that the state is going to use funds, state funds, to
12	
13	go out and find ready sites and acquire those. And then so
14	let's just take that part first. Then we're go to step two and
15	step three.
16	MR. WATKINS: Yeah. And that's where I think the primary
17	target, depending on the amount of funds, there's only so many
18	sites we can acquire. And they're trying to optimize and think
19	through, okay, what is the sites that will yield kind of the
20	biggest opportunity in kind of the medium term. Probably, like
21 22	good sites sometimes are ready to go. Others need a lot of
22	work. And so that's where the kind of thinking behind that will
24	be. And I don't know from talks I've heard is we would
25	acquire more than probably one or two sites depending on the
	acquire more chan proparty one of two sites depending on the

1	funding	size.

2	But the other piece of that is we are looking across
3	statewide, right? So while we might not acquire a site,
4	particularly, in this ttobacco commission region, right. It
5	might be rural Virginia, but it might not be in the tobacco
6	
7	commission region. We are still looking statewide in assessing
8	the quality of sites that we don't know about, right. That
9	communities might not know about but have potential that there
10	might be a set of parcels that are just kind of need to be
11	pieced together from different owners that would be really high
12	potential.
13	
14	And with that research, we want to go out and work with
15	partners to say, hey, these are really good sites. We would
16	want to invest in those. We have not acquired those, but we
17	want to still partner with you with this new knowledge. And
18	that would be kind of with the Tobacco Commission in leveraging
19	funds that you all have and that you're willing to commit to
20	
21	developing these sites. So we are assessing and saying like
22	this is a potentially good site for projects in the long run.
23	DELEGATE MARSHALL: A follow-up question then. Who
24	actually will own the site? Will the State of Virginia own the
25	site or will the locality own the site? And then part of that

1 is -- let's take that first. Who will actually control the
2 site?

3	MR. WATKINS: Yeah. That might be putting me a bit more on
4	the spot than I'm able to answer. But my assumption is I think
5	
6	that's still being because the funds haven't been committed
7	and I think part of that will the language, correct me if I'm
8	wrong, is we'll kind of determine how that site will be owned.
9	Whether it'll be I don't believe it's going to completely be
10	owned by VEDP, but it might be kind of in a collaborative
11	fashion, being like reviewed by I think there's been
12	discussions about having folks from the General Assembly on to
13	
14	be part of that.
15	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Yeah. MEI.
16	MR. WATKINS: Yeah, MEI Commission. So that's kind of what
17	I heard, but I don't know if that's kind of been finalized yet,
18	correct? So you're closer to it than I am right now at this
19	point.
20	
21	DELEGATE MARSHALL: All right. And so I assume that the
22	tax base will stay locally?
23	MR. BUTLER: I think that is the goal, right. Like, the
24	tax base will stay locally. The site itself will eventually be
25	sold to the company, right, that ends up occupying it or

1	multiple companies that end up occupying that site, right. And
2	so we expect, especially, with partnerships with the localities
3	as they develop, right. It's not going to be all state funds
4	that do it. The locality will we want to have them task it
5	in the gate, right, as well. And so we hope that that will be a
6	
7	good windfall for the locality when the project comes and when
8	that's won.
9	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Thank you.
10	MR. WATKINS: Yeah, absolutely.
11	MR. PACE: I have a question.
12	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Any other questions except not about
13	Virginia Beach.
14	MR. PACE: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to go
15	
16	back to Page 3 of the slide.
17	MR. WATKINS: Sure.
18	MR. PACE: There's something I really noted there. Right
19	there, "Rural localities at least two fulltime economic
20 21	development staff." I remember at one presentation when Jason
21 22	presented VEDP had a map of every locality of every county seat
22	and how many staff were on their Economic Development Team,
24	
24	right. And there's some in the tobacco footprint that have
23	none.

I think off the top of my head though, and that locality I'm thinking of has an interstate highway system that goes through it. So I guess my question is, is VEDP doing anything to try and reach out to these localities and tell them that it's probably a good idea to have an economic development team, not like a regional one like Southwest Virginia Alliance, but a local one based on this.

9 MR. WATKINS: I mean, our regionals do play a big part in 10 trying to supplement. And we have regional organizations across 11 the state, right. But I think that is something that we do need 12 to communicate. And something that we've been talking about and 13 would like to partner on and do a better job at communicating 14 this with kind of local Board of Supervisors and other officials 15 16 because that is a weakness. And I think there is a big 17 disconnect in a lot of communities between the elected officials 18 that decide where the funds go and how they're prioritized and 19 what they actually need to do to be successful economic 20 development. 21

But we work with all of our localities regardless of whether or not they're a dedicated economic developer or not. We have a point of contact. In that case it's probably the county administrator. And sometimes they have the assistant

1	staff that are more focused on it. But, yeah, we figure it's a
2	huge priority and something that we would like to do better at.
3	And we hired Abigail Wescott as the director of public relations
4	recently, so we've created a whole dedicated position to that.
5	And she actually comes from the Southwest. She has experience
6	in the region, so she's been a great partner and agrees with all
7	
8	these priorities and recognizes the need to do that. So we're
9	looking forward to our team is looking forward to working
10	with her to try to think through how we better communicate with
11	our local elected officials on that front.
12 13	MR. PACE: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN RUFF: To follow up on that. And ask are you
15	are you saying that she will have a responsibility to actually
16	train people in localities? Because I think that is the issue.
17	MR. WATKINS: Yeah.
18	CHAIRMAN RUFF: If the locality does not have it in their
19 20	budget then they may put somebody in that position who has no
20 21	skills at that particular job. And so how do you get from zero
22	to one, two, to three?
23	MR. WATKINS: And so, she was on board a few months ago.
24	And this, again, is a new position so we're still trying to
25	define the role. But I think that's I don't know if
	36

1	dedicated training will be part of that. But I think we would
2	like to see that in the long run depending on how we can devote
3	resources to that. That again, why we recommended it was
4	Recommendation A. It's the top one is that funds for that for
5	training for folks in any way that we want to do it, right. It
6	can live with the VEDP. It can live with the Tobacco
7	
8	Commission. It can live with the Virginia Rural Center, right.
9	It can be in a lot of areas. But this is something that we need
10	an issue that we need to tackle. And Abigail is interested
11	in doing that. We haven't kind of come together and figured out
12	exactly what such an effort from the VEDP side will look like.
13	
14	But that is something that we want to start exploring and
15	prioritizing, yes.
16	CHAIRMAN RUFF: I would encourage you to work with James
17	towards that goal.
18	MR. BUTLER: Yeah.
19	DELEGATE WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Will.
21	CHAINMAN ROFF. WIII.
22	DELEGATE WAMPLER: Thank you. Adam, and staff, can we go
23	down to the next slide, Page 4?
24	I was looking at this. My question to you would be, you
25	know, VEDP was able to gather this data and put it together.

But do we take any step further and figure out or identify why Virginia in comparison to some of our competitive states is at a lower ratio of economic development funds? Do we drill down at all and figure out why that is?

6 MR. WATKINS: Are you talking about EDA, specifically, or 7 all these in general?

8 DELEGATE WAMPLER: Not necessarily. I mean, I understand 9 VEDP may draw down the EDA funds for some of those others that 10 are out there. But I was just asking, you know, what was the 11 factor that resulted in us having less economic development 12 funds, you know, that that chart indicates?

MR. WATKINS: And this is something that we're still 14 It's not something that's always like readily exploring. 15 16 available when we do the research. And something that we want 17 to continue doing is diving into other states, maybe and talking 18 What we noted, especially, about Tennessee and North to folks. 19 Carolina and this is why we kind of landed on capacity building 20 is the hypothesis for that. And capacity at the local and 21 regional level. 22

First of all, people did touch on that. They're like, yes, we want to apply for grants but we don't always have the ability to do so or the ability to even manage them if we win the grant,

1	right. So that's one piece of that is it was expressed as a
2	priority challenge from the communities here. What we've seen
3	in North Carolina and Tennessee, the reason why we think that
4	they are doing better is that they are prioritizing. They have
5	programs set up to fund training and marketing. And, I think,
6 7	it's North Carolina, they also have their economic development
8	agency has a dedicated position to focus on federal funding. So
9	
10	that to us is kind of the rationale and likely reason for why
10	that is. So that's why our team, in particular, has dedicated a
11	couple of people to start exploring this issue further. Really
12	we're starting and trying to make sure we understand the
13	universal grants that are most important and get that
15	information out to people. But we want to continue diving into
16	this analysis and understand a bit more of like why aren't we
17	getting there? Why aren't we unlocking this funding? How do we
18	motivate our partners in the states and focus on these things?
19	DELEGATE WAMPLER: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can I make a
20	comment?
21	
22	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Yes.
23	DELEGATE WAMPLER: You know, this is one of those areas
24	where we look at a chart, we can see it, and it doesn't look
25	that drastic. But if you take Tennessee where, a hundred

1	million down from what they're receiving every year for, you
2	know, economic development and I don't think it takes, an
3	extensive study by the Commission or by VEDP and figure out that
4	if you don't put resources behind these grants being developed
5	and grant writing and, specifically, seeking out the
6 7	opportunities that have come from the federal government we're
8	never going to get there.
9	
	So, it's the conditions looking at a strategic plan going
10	forward. If we can put together conditioned resources to focus
11	in the tobacco footprint on the maximization of these grant
12	sources.
13	No have DDC and DDD through the office of Confere Mining
14	We have ARC and EDA through the Office of Surface Mining.
15	We have a tremendous amount of money for any coal communities in
16	assistance of coal communities. And so, I think, you know, as
17	we're looking forward we have commissioned staff that reviews
18	grants and helps us make, educated decisions on the grant
19	proposals that come before us. But maybe we're missing an
20	
21	opportunity by putting together a team of professionals within
22	the Commission that can help build these projects.
23	So, a county with one economic developer comes and says, I
24	think we've got some action happening, but we need to maximize
25	this project. Right now the I guess the fact of the

1	situation is, well, go figure it out and I hope you can get some
2	federal funds. Where maybe we should make it, okay, we've got
3	an idea identified or a prospect identified. How can the
4	Commission put resources on top of the local resources that are
5 6	already available to build out the project?
7	And I think, you know, while we have a portfolio of great
8	projects in the Tobacco Commission we can see a much greater
9	return and a much more thoughtful project, you know, development
10	process if we put some resources to it. So maybe we can think
11	about that coming forward because there's a lot of money out
12	there to go get. Particularly, in the Infrastructure Act we
13 14	just passed a year-and-a-half ago. So that's my two cents.
14	Thank you.
16	MS. GREEN: I just have a quick question. Do you have a
17	breakdown of USDA grants that have been applied for and with
18	what programs?
19	
20	MR. WATKINS: We can probably get that for you. We don't
21	have it readily available. But, yeah, we can get that.
22	MS. GREEN: I was curious about that. You know, with
23	community facilities we could help tons, you know, of these
24	counties. And also with single family housing. All of those
25	different reconnects, broadbands.

1	MR. WATKINS: Yeah. They're one of I would say one of
2	the bigger pieces of this, this puzzle.
3	MS. GREEN: Right. They could do a lot for them.
4	MR. WATKINS: Yeah, absolutely. Yeah. We could follow up
5 6	with I can talk to my team and we can follow up with that
7	information.
8	MS. GREEN: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN RUFF: While you're on that slide just to put it
10	in perspective. If you look at North Carolina, what is their
11	total investment what is the state investment in economic
12	development?
13	MR. BUTLER: That I don't know off the top of my head. I
14 15	know that they're they find at least their EDO kind of
15	roughly on par as us. But there's obviously the funds there
17	
18	they have like dedicated funding for rural development. And I
19	know it's in the tune of, I think, five million. As well they
20	fund also their version of the rural center to the tune of about
21	five million as well. It's a mix of like public and private
22	funds. But I know that, in fact, their budget is about five
23	million. So they're putting dedicated funds and resources into
24	rural North Carolina in ways that we currently are not.
25	I mean, there's VEDP programs and there is the Tobacco

1 Commission and there are others that benefit rural Virginia.
2 But North Carolina is definitely innovating in ways that we
3 should look to as an opportunity to say, okay, how can we do
4 this or how can we do this even better?
5

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you.

6

MS. RATLIFF: Question. To go back to what Will was talking about. Is one of the issues that the PDCs do not have a staff or resources to go after more of these funds or B, there's not a collaboration with DHCD, PDCs. And if we were to do something working with the Tobacco Commission, we ought to collaborate with all of them just to see that we're all singing from the same hymn book.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah. We did hear that, like, obviously the PDCS are supposed to be the kind of main player in developing these funds. But there are capacity issues with them as well. That was a theme that we heard. But I agree with that collaboration piece.

And I think the reason for this report, it's not to say, "Hey, these are some new ideas and things that we need to be doing." The hope that we get out of this is that everyone kind of takes the things that we know as important to Southwest and Southside, Virginia and starts rowing our boats in the same direction. And continue these conversations around collaboration, right. We've shared this information with DHE, with NOVA. This is something that we hope to continue and these

talking points is to continue to put focus on them. And I think 1 that collaboration, not duplicating efforts, is right. Figuring 2 out, okay, what are our strengths and how do we, like, how can 3 4 GO Virginia support our PDCs better and vice versa. And how can the Tobacco Commission work with them. How can they communicate 5 better with our localities and leverage each other's resources. 6 I think those conversations are incredibly important to have. 7 8 Especially, when staff are more constrained.

9 || CI

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Dan.

10 DELEGATE MARSHALL: All right. So, in Danville-Pittsylvania County we have something called a EVRIFA, a 11 12 Regional Industrial Facility Center. And what that means is say, we have about four or five industrial parks that some of 13 them are in Danville, some of them are in Pittsylvania County. 14 If a company locates in one of those sites in Danville, they pay 15 the Danville tax rate, but 50 percent of that money goes to 16 17 Pittsylvania County and vice versa. So, when you talk about collaborative, you know, you got to have skin in the game. 18 MR. WATKINS: Yes. 19

20 DELEGATE MARSHALL: It's got to go both ways. And so, if 21 you're just asking people to do it, you know, philanthropically 22 I don't think they'll do that. I think they are going to have 23 to make sure that in our case that the -- no matter if it's 24 located in Pittsylvania County or Danville, both localities win. 25 MR. WATKINS: Yeah. And EVRIFAs are something we highlight

throughout the report. And the incredible thing that is 1 happening in the Southwest and Southside is we've seen a lot of 2 success and a lot of project wins that come out of sites that 3 are developed from the EVRIFAs, right. Because companies don't 4 know boundaries, right. They don't recruit from just one 5 county. They don't really -- they don't think about the space 6 in terms of those borders, right. Like, so the region is the 7 one that needs to come together, and the region is the one that 8 ultimately benefits, right. So, I think the EVRIFA is something 9 we kind of try to work with localities often is developing these 10 EVRIFAs to leverage resources. 11

12 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Stephanie, you look like you want to --13 MS. KIM: Yeah. I wanted to say that included in the FY24 proposed budget this exactly -- this issue that James had 14 mentioned also of providing the consultation to be able to work 15 with the federal government. And not just USDA but Department 16 of Energy and other federal agencies. And then working with the 17 state agencies. Specifically, to the Tobacco Region that we can 18 leverage the funds from federal, state and local, and help 19 advise localities on obtaining this funding. 20

So, we are planning to do that in FY24 with some funding to do some of the planning work and identify sources of funding. So, that is exactly -- you're correct. We have identified that as an issue.

25

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you.

MS. RATLIFF: Mr. Chairman, just one more question. CHAIRMAN RUFF: Yes.

1

2

MS. RATLIFF: And this goes to our local Southwest Virginia 3 4 delegation. You know, our 14 jurisdictions that's part of the Hard Rock deal are going to be getting, what, \$700,000 extra a 5 year in their coffers. Can funds for communities be encouraged 6 to use some of those? I know they can't be used for direct 7 8 economic development, but could that help fund a position to 9 help with economic development and to go after grant money? 10 DELEGATE WAMPLER: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Will, you want to respond to that? 11 I do. 12 DELEGATE WAMPLER: Trying not to toot my own horn 13 but the Delegation did put together a package of a budget in the last adopted budget in Richmond that provided \$400,000 over the 14 biennial to PDCs 1 and 2, so that's most of the Southwest 15 Virginia, LENOWISCO, Cumberland Plateau, and I think Mount 16 Rogers Planning District Commission may have been in there as 17 well. That was money for staff resources at the PDCs to draw 18 down Federal Infrastructure Act funds. 19

I raised it without saying that just a minute ago because that's great. If we have those resources that the PDCs that are laser focused on drawing down those funds and developing those projects with federal revenues, great. But I think the bigger opportunity for Tobacco, and we're all sitting here at the Commission meeting, is to adopt a similar model for the Tobacco

1 Commission where we can be enhancing the projects. Not just 2 passing, you know, yay or nay judgment on them of saying, Great 3 idea.

You know, our resources here, we can show that the federal government has a new application window for additional funding at this given time and help applicants more than just give them a thumbs up and thumbs down. I know they do that to a great extent already but if we can, you know, formalize it and put some more resources I think we'll see some good returns. Thank you.

11 ||

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. Will.

DELEGATE MOREFIELD: And I apologize for the second time. I won't be very long. Earlier there was a slide that identified or was pointing out identifying certain tax incentives for rural areas to compete with other states. So, a few years ago we adopted legislation to attract new companies to distressed areas. Specifically, double the stress, which is the majority or all of Southwest and Southern, Virginia.

19 If you go on VEDP's website and you look at the list of 20 incentives, it's specifically referring to the legislation I was 21 talking about. You have it listed as New Company Incentive 22 Program. And this is just the summary. So, remember for a 23 prospective business where an executive is scrolling, sitting in 24 the airport looking at a list of these they're probably going to 25 read two or three sentences before they click on it so, it's got

 $\|$ to catch their attention.

2	So, if you look at this New Company Incentive Program you
3	have listed it says, "Offers an exemption from corporate income
4	tax, and up to \$2,000 per new job, for companies with no
5	employment or property in the state prior to January 1, 2018,
6	and that meet statutory investment and employment requirements."
7	So, if I'm reading that I see that as up to \$2,000 per new
8	job. Well, what the bill does is if they meet certain
9	investment criteria it exempts the company from paying corporate
10	income tax. So, we've got Deputy Secretary Kennington here, he
11	knows this probably better than I do, but I think in Virginia
12	maybe two hundred and some C Corps only pay corporate income
13	tax.
14	DEPUTY SECRETARY KENNINGTON: That's probably correct.
15	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: No. It's the state.
16	DEPUTY SECRETARY KENNINGTON: Yeah. Some
17	DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Well, no. I know. But, I mean, for
18	as far as state income tax only about 200 and some C Corps pay
19	state income tax. But a tremendous amount of LLCs and S Corps
20	pay the bulk of the business income tax.
21	And so, what the bill does is it includes S Corps and LLCs.
22	It not only exempts them from paying income tax, but it also
23	provides a provision that would allow them to deduct their gross
24	sales from their income tax.
25	You know, anyone that's in business, if you can deduct your

1 gross sales that's tremendous. No other state in the country is 2 doing that. So, something that I would like to see is on the 3 list of, you know, your summaries and your incentives, if 4 there's something in that program that's extremely attractive to 5 a prospective business, I think we need to show it.

6 MR. WATKINS: To highlight that, yeah. No, I kind of took 7 that note down and I'll probably reach out to make sure to 8 clarify exactly what we're missing. I know our Incentives 9 Department knows those things very, very well and I can let them 10 know that this was a thing that you noted was missing that might 11 be attractive for companies that are looking. Especially, the S 12 Corps and the others that you mentioned, so thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Any other questions?

14 (NO RESPONSE.)

25

15 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Since you will all be receiving a copy of 16 this presentation if after you carefully review it and you have 17 any questions for Adam, I'm sure his e-mail address will be on 18 there.

19 MR. WATKINS: Yes, yes. Absolutely.

20 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you very much, Adam.

21 MR. WATKINS: Thank you so much.

22CHAIRMAN RUFF: I'm going to change the order a little bit23and skip the Executive Committee and go to the Education

24 Committee. Delegate Byron, that's you.

DELEGATE BYRON: Mr. Chairman, the Education Committee met

yesterday. I feel like it was 10 days ago, but it was just 1 yesterday. And we looked at a lot of financial aid applications 2 for workforce training in the region at our community colleges 3 and we have several motions from what the Committee's 4 recommendations are. 5 I move that the Commission accept the Education Committee's 6 7 recommendation to approve \$2,197,020 for the last-dollar Workforce Financial Aid grants for Tobacco Region residents in 8 the 2023-24 school year as described on pages 9-23 of the 9 Commission book and subject to the conditions listed on pages 10 10 and 11 of the Commission books. 11 12 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All right. Properly moved. Is there a second? 13 MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Is there any further discussion on that motion? 16 17 (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye. 18 (ALL AFFIRM.) 19 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed? 20 21 (NO RESPONSE.) DELEGATE BYRON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 We had additional work that dealt with Extensions and Modifications. 23 One was the Central Virginia Community College Educational 24 25 Foundation New Radiography Overhead X-Ray System Project 3629.

I move that the Commission accepts the Education Committee 1 recommendation for Project 3629 to approve an extension to May 2 31, 2025. 3 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded it. Any 5 further discussion? 6 7 (NO RESPOSNE.) 8 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye. 9 (ALL AFFIRM.) 10 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed? (NO RESPONSE.) 11 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Continue. 12 DELEGATE BYRON: Okay. And then we had the Radford 13 University Foundation Counselor Education Program in Southwest, 14 Virginia, Project 3514. 15 I move the Commission accept the Education Committee 16 recommendation for Project 3514 to approve a one-year extension 17 through June 20,2024. 18 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further 20 discussion? 21 (NO RESPONSE.) 22 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye. 23 (ALL AFFIRM.) 24 25 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed?

1 (NO RESPONSE.)

3

5

2 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.

MS. BYRON: That completes our report.

4 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you.

INCENTIVES AND LOANS

6 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Danny, do you want to go ahead with 7 Incentives and Loans?

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Yes. Incentives and Loans met this 8 9 morning also. So, the first one is 3506 for the Smyth County 10 Economic Development Authority and I move that the Commission accept the Incentives and Loans Committee's recommendation that 11 12 the performance agreement for Project 3506 be modified to permit the acceptance of reported costs of Machinery & Tools and 13 Tangible Personal Property towards the Company's taxable asset 14 obligation as verified in writing by the local Commissioner of 15 Revenue and that a performance extension be approved through 16 December 31, 2023. 17

18 DELEGATE KILGORE: Second:

19 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further
20 discussion?

21 (NO RESPONSE.)

22 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye.

23 ALL AFFIRM.)

24 || CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed?

25 (NO RESPONSE.)

1 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.

1	ominimum norr. Okay.
2	DELEGATE MARSHALL: All right. The second one is the Smyth
3	County Economic Development Authority and Project 3451. I move
4	that the Commission accepts the Incentives and Loans Committee
5	recommendation of approval of a 5^{th} year performance extension
6	through June 30, 2023, for Project 3451.
7	DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further
9	discussion?
10	(NO RESPONSE.)
11	CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye.
12	(ALL AFFIRM.)
13	CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed.
14	(NO RESPONSE.)
15	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.
16	DELEGATE MARSHALL: Next, is for Russell County, and I move
17	that the Commission accept Incentives and Loan Committee
18	recommendations that the performance agreements for Project 3507
19	be modified to permit the acceptance of reported costs of
20	Machinery & Tools and Tangible Personal Property towards the
21	Company's taxable asset obligation as verified in writing by the
22	local Commissioner of Revenue.
23	DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further
25	discussion?

(NO RESPONSE.) 1 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye. 2 (ALL AFFIRM.) 3 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed? 4 (NO RESPONSE.) 5 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. 6 DELEATE MARSHALL: All right. So, number four is Tazewell 7 County Industrial Development Authority. I move that the 8 9 Commission accept the Incentives and Loan Committee 10 recommendations that Project 3101 permit the inclusion of real estate purchase on September 23, 2015, in the amount of 11 12 \$1,476,700 be counted toward the taxable capital investment obligation for the project. 13 MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman. 14 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Sorry, I got an and. 15 MR. MILES: Excuse me. 16 DELEGATE MARSHALL: And that the --17 MR. SORRELL: My handwriting can sometimes be bad. 18 And that the under portion of this project related to the 19 employment performance which was \$8,333.35 be repaid as a 20 21 condition of this project. MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman. 22 23 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further discussion? 24 (NO RESPONSE.) 25

1	CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye.
2	(ALL AFFIRM.)
3	CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed.
4	(NO RESPONSE.)
5	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.
6	DELEGATE MARSHALL: And so, number five is the Joint
7	Industrial Development Authority of Wythe County. I move that
8	the Commission accept the Incentives and Loan Committee
9	recommendations of Project 3892 be modified as it follows:
10	award approval would be extended to July 20, 2023; revised
11	performance agreement prepared including award amended to post-
12	performance disbursement; and with a revised award date of May
13	18, 2023, permitting a revised performance period for three
14	years from this date May 18, 2026.
15	DELEGATE KILGORE: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further
17	discussion?
18	(NO RESPONSE.)
19	CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye.
20	(ALL AFFIRM.)
21	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed?
22	(NO RESPONSE.)
23	DELEGATE MARSHALL: So, that concludes my report, sir.
24	CHAIRMAN RUFF: All right. Ed, are you ready?
25	MR. BLEVINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE
2	MR. BLEVINS: The Strategic Planning Committee met this
3	morning. There were no actions required. We did have some
4	discussion on the development of our Strategic Plan for
5	presentation in the fall meeting.
6	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you for your report. Buddy, are you
7	ready?
8	MR. SHELTON: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
9	
10	SOUTHERN VIRGINIA COMMITTEE
11	MR. SHELTON: The Southern Virginia Committee met this
12	morning with a brief meeting. Our only order of business was an
13	extension request from Mecklenburg Electric Cooperative in
14	Pittsylvania County for their Backbone Fiber Project 3533. And
15	therefore, I moved that the Commission accept the Southern
16	Virginia Committee recommendation for approval of an extension
17	for Project 3533 to June 30, 2024.
18	DELEGATE ADAMS: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further
20	discussion?
21	(NO REPONSE.)
22	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye.
23	(ALL AFFIRM.)
24	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed?
25	(ONE OPPOSED.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. Thank you. 1 MR. SHELTON: That concludes our business, Mr. Chairman. 2 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Will, Southwest Virginia. 3 4 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First, we have Blue Ridge Public Television 4110. I'll entertain a motion 5 to accept the Committee's recommendation. 6 7 DELEGATE WAMPLER: So, moved. DELEGATE KILGORE: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Any further discussion? 10 (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye. 11 12 (ALL AFFIRM.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed. 13 (ONE OPPOSED.) 14 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Okay. Next, we have Mendota Community 15 Association, 4117. The Committee recommended postponing it 16 indefinitely. 17 CHAIRMAN RUFF: We don't need a motion there. Okay. Any 18 other business? 19 (NONE.) 20 EXTENSIONS AND MODIFICATIONS 21 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Okay. Next, on to Extensions and 22 Modifications. 23 24 Carroll, Grayson, Galax Regional Industrial Facility 25 Project 3377. I'll entertain a motion to accept the Committee's 57

recommendation. 1 MR. MILES: So, moved. 2 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. All in favor 3 4 say aye. 5 (ALL AFFIRM.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed. 6 MS. COX: Abstention. 7 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Is there --8 9 MS. COX: Abstention, yes. 10 CHAIRMAN RUFF: One abstention on that, okay. DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Grayson County, Project 3530. I'll entertain a motion to 12 accept the Committee's --13 14 CHAIRMAN RUFF: I think that was a motion. Is there a 15 second? DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN RUFF: You kind of wandered off there. Any 17 further discussion? 18 19 (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye. 20 21 (ALL AFFIRM.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed. 22 MS. COX: One abstention. 23 24 CHAIRMAN RUFF: One abstention. Okay. All right. We will return to the Executive Committee and Delegate Morefield will 25

1 make the report, please.

DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I move to approve FY2023 2 budget totaling \$27,936,400 as shown on page 65 of the 3 Commission Book. 4 MS. KIM: FY2024. 5 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: I'm sorry. 6 7 MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman. 8 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Anyone have 9 any conversations? Stephanie, do you want to make a presentation? You don't want to make a presentation? 10 MR. MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, just as a point of order, I think 11 this is the first time that we have ever had to debate our 12 corpus in 20 plus years. 13 MS. KIM: Right. We are recommending no corpus invasion 14 and to use available balances in the loans and incentive -- I 15 think it's loan fund, to fund next year's budget and as well as 16 interest. 17 CHAIRMAN RUFF: I assume that everybody has looked through 18 their book and they have no questions. Is that correct? 19 (ALL AFFIRM.) 20 21 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All right. Are you ready to vote? All in 22 favor? 23 (ALL AFFIRM.) 24 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed? 25 (NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. That is dealt with. All right.
 Andy is going to bring us up to date on some TROF and loans.
 MR. SORRELL: Who seconded the motion for the budget?
 MR. MILES: Me.

5 MR. SORRELL: Thank you. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 Well, I guess this might be my last TROF report for you, but I 7 can tell you that right now we have four active TROFs that have 8 been approved this fiscal year and I expect it to stay that 9 amount by the end of June, probably.

As you can see, that's going to be on page 68 of -- excuse me, 69 of your packets. This is why we need to look and review and revise the TROF policy to make sure it's fitting right for our communities that we're serving.

If you look back at prior years, you know, we were approving 11 or 20 or 15 TROFs per fiscal year, you know, the last several years, which they related to the pandemic as well. We are significantly down. So, we need to just check it and make sure that this is the right -- that our policy is still accurately reflecting the goals of our communities and what they're looking to attract.

As you can see, we have those four that should all be sort of familiar to you or names that you've heard before and, of course, the ones from 2022. Blue Star was one we acted upon earlier as well.

25

I'll note that we still have, back on page 68, we have our

Community Business Lending Program that in speaking with Commission leadership we have paused. But we still have, you know, a few projects that we're submitting prior to that pause including the one I believe the Commission approved at our last meeting that they're still working on the term sheet in that project. That was the project in Scott County.

And then on page 70, you'll note the number of TROF loans. 7 These are the loans that folks have been -- that are zero 8 interest. That they would pay based upon the same performance 9 parameters that they have for a TROF grant. Also, as you can 10 see, the last one of these was approved back in July of 2021. 11 So not many people are taking us up on these loans and, you 12 13 know, that is, again, something that we want to continue to offer if not many folks are taking us up on it. So, a policy 14 discussion that I think is important on that one as well. 15 And then finally on page 72 you'll see the active TROF 16 17 awards that have concluded performance and that's about 25, 28 active TROFs. And that's basically Jordan and I are the ones 18 19 that manage those performances. You know, reviewing, making sure that the repayment agreements are developed for payments 20 21 that need to occur, making sure that their performance is being managed for capital investment, for jobs. And so, we do -- I 22 23 think staff are doing a great job making sure that if there's

24 something that is unearned that Commission gets those funds back 25 and reapply them to other projects moving forward.

And so, that's sort of the rundown of our TROF and 1 Incentives and Loan Program at this point. 2 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. Under other business, did you 3 4 have anything, or did James want to have anything? MR. SORRELL: The only other business that I would say is I 5 just wanted to make a very quick note and say it's been a 6 pleasure serving the Tobacco Commission. 7 8 CHAIRMAN RUFF: No. Any other business? MR. SORRELL: I just wanted to say that. But no other 9 business, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN RUFF: We would very much like to thank and 11 12 appreciate you for your service on the staff as Acting Director, 13 as acting or Interim Director, as Deputy Director or whatever title you happen to be particular of. We appreciate the hard 14 15 work you've put in and we wish you well in the future. Now, if you want to say anything you can. 16 (APPLAUSE.) 17 MR. CAMPOS: Chairman, I would be remiss if I didn't say 18 19 anything. James Campos. So, I want to also -- and I thanked Andy, or Andrew as I call him, several times in the past few 20 21 days. But I just wanted to make it for the record on the amazing job that Andrew has done in allowing me to walk into 22 23 this position and help me stay clear of certain issues that were important to stay away from. But also allowing me to learn and 24 25 do other activities that are assigned to me in this

1 Administration.

2	And I know that we all appreciate it the staff at
3	Tobacco appreciates it. But especially myself appreciate the
4	hard work, dedication, and true professionalism that Andrew has
5	shown me in the past four months but for sure will continue
6	right next door to us. So, Andrew, a great heartfelt gratitude
7	toward you. You always have a home in Tobacco, and we will
8	surely be calling you on many occasions. So, thank you, Andrew.
9	(APPLAUSE.)
10	MR. SORRELL: I will only say thank you and that was a very
11	humbling experience. Thank you very much. It's been a pleasure
12	working at the Commission for the last five years. I've
13	absolutely loved it.
14	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. You all get one more chance to
15	get up and say something.
16	MS. NEWMAN: I'm Julie Newman. I'm the General Manager of
17	the new PBS Appalachia Station. And I'm just here to say thank
18	you to the Commission for supporting our project and thank you
19	to the staff for your hard work in evaluating it.
20	A very quick update for you. We are set to launch June
21	10 th . If you go to our website at pbsavirginia.org you can find
22	a countdown there. And we've got agreements with all the major
23	cable companies serving Southwest Virginia to carry us. And we
24	also have a signal a free linear signal over the air I
25	mean, on the web and on our app.

1	And I'll give you one last piece of good news before I sit
2	down. Our producers, who are from Southwest Virginia, who we
3	hired thanks to you for helping our funding to retain and keep
4	it in Southwest Virginia. It has been nominated for an Emmy
5	award and tonight we will find out of the 11 that we submitted
6	how many were nominated. So, very, very talented people.
7	(APPLAUSE.)
8	CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. I'm glad you gave us something
9	positive to end with. If there's no further business, then you
10	all have a safe trip home.
11	(MEETING ADJOURNED.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	64

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
4	COUNTY OF WASHINGTON
5	
6	I, Marsha Self, Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth
7	of Virginia, at large, do hereby certify that the foregoing
8	transcript of the Day 2 of the VIRGINIA TOBACCO REGION
9	REVITALIZATION COMMITTEE held on May 17-18, 2023, was taken
10	before me at the time and place in the foregoing caption
11	specified, and that the foregoing is a true and correct
12	transcript of the same to the best of my ability.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative, counsel, or
14	attorney for either party or otherwise interested in the outcome
15	of this action.
16	Given under my hand this the 25th day of July 2023.
17	
18	
19	Marsha Self, CERT
20	
21	My Commission Expires: February 28, 2027.
22	State Notary Registered Number: 174126
23	
24	
25	
	65