1	VIRGINIA TOBACCO REGION REVITALIZATION COMMISSION
2	2023 SPRING FULL COMMISSION TWO-DAY MEETING SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA HIGHER EDUCATION CENTER
3	ABINGDON, VA
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA COMMITTEE MAY 18, 2023
12	10:45 A.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	MARSHA SELF, CERT Appalachian Court Reporting
21	P.O. Box 833 Abingdon, Virginia 24212
22	ID 54-1495140 (276) 676-2244
23	
24	
25	

Appearances: 1 2 Honorable Delegate James "Will" Morefield, Chair Julie Hensley, Vice Chair 3 Edward Blevins Amanda Cox 5 Honorable Delegate Terry Kilgore 6 Honorable Deputy Secretary Beth Green Jay Jennings (remotely) 7 Honorable Delegate Danny Marshall Honorable Deputy Secretary Beth Green Honorable William Pace 10 Sandy Ratliff Honorable Delegate William "Will" Wampler 11 Sarah Wilson 12 13 Absent: 14 Honorable Senator John Edwards 15 Staff: 16 Honorable James Campos, Acting Executive Director and Deputy Secretary of Commerce and Trade 17 Andrew Sorrell, Deputy Director 18 Stephanie Kim, Finance Director 19 Vicki Humphreys, Grants Director Sarah Capps, Southern Regional Director 20 Sara Williams, Southwest Regional Director 21 Jordan Butler, Public Relations Director & TROF Program Manager 22 Emily Van Pelt, Grants Assistant 23 Joyce Knight, Meeting Coordinator Hannah Franke-Fuller, Special Assistant 24

25

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Good morning. We'll call the Southwest 1 Virginia Committee to order. We'll have a roll call. 2 MR. CAMPOS: Thank you, Chairman. Acting Executive 3 Director James Campos. Roll Call. 4 (IN ATTENDANCE.) 5 Delegate Will Morefield. Julie Hensley. Edward Blevins. 6 7 Gretchen Clark. Amanda Cox. Delegate Terry Kilgore. Deputy Secretary Beth Green. Honorable William Pace. Sandy Ratliff. 8 9 Delegate William Wampler. Sarah Wilson. 10 MR. CAMPOS: Chair, we have a quorum. CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Thank you. Now, we will 11 12 approve the minutes from January the 4^{th} . Do I have a motion? DELEGATE KILGORE: I so move, Mr. Chairman. Delegate Terry 13 14 Kilgore. 15 UNKNOWN: Second. CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: We have a motion and a second to 16 approve the minutes from January 4th. All in favor say aye. 17 (ALL AFFIRM.) 18 CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All opposed? 19 (NO RESPONSE.) 20 21 CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Now, on to public comment. 22 we have anyone from the public who would like to make a comment? 23 (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. There is no public comment. 24 25 Sarah Wilson -- Williams. Sorry. Not Wilson.

MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Good morning. We have two projects that were tabled at the January meeting. And so, we are here to revisit those today.

The first is 4110. That was Blue Ridge Public Television for PBS Appalachia Virginia. This is to consider an additional \$130,000 award to the grant that was made in January. You may remember that the January recommendation reflected a \$130,000 reduction. The original request amount was \$530,000. During our review we identified a position that we felt was not appropriate to be supported with the Commission funds and so we recommended a \$400,000 award.

Just prior to the Southwest meeting they sent us some information and requested that an additional \$130,000 support two positions, a senior producer and a digital marketing position. Staff really did not have time to vet that and as you probably remember that eventually was tabled. So, in a recent update they sent us, and they now would like to use that \$130,000 to support three positions. That's still a senior producer, another corporate support position as well as a digital marketing position.

So, we took a little bit of extra time to review that. We wanted to make sure we understood why it had changed from two positions to three and that we really understood how these positions fit within the overall staffing plan and organizational structure of the station. And once we reviewed

it, we were comfortable with that. We thought it was an 1 appropriate use of Commission funds. The additional positions 2 will allow the station to immediately produce quality content. 3 4 The corporate support and additional marketing positions are essential to pursuing multiple revenue streams that will help 5 the station become self-sustaining, which is really the goal. 6 So, the staff recommends an award of \$130,000 to be added 7 8 to the January award. 9 CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Thank you. We do not have a long agenda so, if everyone agrees, I recommend that we go ahead 10 and vote on this item. First, do we have any questions from the 11 12 Committee members? (NO RESPONSE.) 13 CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: We do have a representative from PBS 14 15 here today as well if you have any questions. DELEGATE KILGORE: I would recommend that we adopt staff 16 recommendation. 17 DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second. 18 19 CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. We have a motion to adopt the recommendation and a second. All in favor say aye. 20 21 (ALL AFFIRM.) CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All opposed? 22 23 (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Sarah, I'm sorry. Go ahead. 24

MS. WILLIAMS: The next project that was tabled in January

25

was 4117. That was the Mendota Community Association for Revitalization of Former Mendota School Building. They requested \$38,485.

This was to pursue some additional planning work to renovate the former school building that's been used as a community center for several years. You may remember that we tabled it because we felt that the scope of that work was a little unclear and there were some issues with matching funds.

So, since that award, I reached out to Washington County -the County does own that building -- to try to help figure out
how to guide this project forward a little bit more. And
through those discussions and some other discussions with
Virginia DEQ we learned that Washington County is pursuing some
funding through DEQ, for an environmental study, which seems to
be a very reasonable path forward for that project.

But more importantly at this point since this report was published, we learned that the Washington County Board of Supervisors recently voted to end their lease with the Community Association so with that update it basically, you know, this project really isn't viable at this point. Although we may hear from the County in the future should they wish to pursue some additional work. So, staff recommends no award.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Any questions? (NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All right. No questions. We'll

```
MR. PACE: Mr. Chair, I have a motion.
2
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: We have a motion.
3
        MR. PACE: Move to postpone indefinitely Project 4117.
5
   Postpone indefinitely.
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. We have a motion to postpone it
6
7
   indefinitely. Do we have a second? Do we have a second?
8
        (NO RESPONSE.)
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. That motion fails.
9
10
        DELEGATE KILGORE: Second. Second.
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: We do have a second?
11
12
        DELEGATE KILGORE: To postpone it?
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Yes, postpone it.
13
        DELEGATE KILGORE: Yes. Second.
14
15
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. We have a motion and a second.
   All in favor say aye.
16
        (ALL AFFIRM.)
17
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All opposed?
18
        (NO RESPONSE.)
19
        CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay.
20
        Okay, Sarah, I believe now we'll move on to Extensions and
21
22
   Modifications.
        MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. We have two grants to present to you
23
   that are requesting extensions.
24
        The first is the grant 3377 for Carroll, Grayson, Galax
25
```

entertain a motion.

1

Regional Industrial Facility Authority, and The Wired Road Connector Project. This was approved for \$300,000 in March of 2018 and the full balance remains available.

The grant was approved for the construction of a wireless internet system in Carroll and Grayson counties. It connects to other broadband projects that are under development in those counties. Since the approval they have encountered delays, as many of our broadband projects have, with supply chain issues for materials as well as labor shortage. The grantee though has assured staff that the project will be completed during this extension period. So, staff recommends a final extension until May 31, 2024.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Thank you.

Do we have any questions?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All right. I'll entertain a motion.

UNKNOWN: So, moved.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All right. Do we have a second?

DELEGATE KILGORE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: We have a motion and a second to --

MS. COX: I have an abstention. I probably should abstain from that project for the middle mile.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. We have a motion and a second, one abstention to adopt the staff recommendations. All in favor say aye.

(ALL AFFIRM.)

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All opposed?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay.

MS. WILLIAMS: So, the next project is an extension of --

DELEGATE MARSHALL: And one abstention.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: And one abstention. Apologize. One abstention.

MS. WILLIAMS: The next project also contains a request for a change in scope so there's a little more to explain on that one. It's 3530. It's Grayson County for Connect Grayson. They were approved for \$325,000 in June of 2019. Again, the full balance is available.

So, they requested a 5th year extension and a change in scope. This project was approved in 2019 and it was still a little bit under development at the time it was approved. This is the last mile component of Appalachian Power's Middle Mile Project. And at the time it was approved, and it was still subject to, I think, the Executive Director's approval of the final budget and outcomes. I don't know if we ever received those although it is an active grant.

So, what Grayson has learned since then is that the original internet provider, Giga beam, who is also completing work in another part of the County, that the technology that they are using is likely not appropriate for Western Grayson.

And that's the section of the County that our funds will cover.

So, they are requesting a change in scope to do a couple of things. They would like to bring in a second vendor and they're looking for someone who would do 5G technology. The Giga beam was not a party to the agreement as they were not a partner at the time that that project was approved so there really does not seem to be a reason, they cannot bring in the second vendor. What they have realized is that a 5G type of technology is more suitable. And we do have some representatives from Grayson here that can explain a little bit more about the reasons for that than the details I could probably give you.

In addition to that they have requested permission to transfer Commission purchased assets to the project vendor. And right now, it's a little premature to do that. The Executive Director has the authority to approve asset transfers. That usually happens at the end of the project once we know that the deliverables and outcomes have all been achieved. And so, right now it's a little premature because we do not know who the vendor is or a firm list of what those assets are. So, that is something that we can revisit administratively when it's appropriate.

They also requested an 18-month extension. Our policy allows for only a one-year extension at a time. So, it's likely you will see this grant before us in another year although my conversations with them this morning reveal they think it is

likely they may be able to complete it within the year. 1 So, staff has recommended the approval of the revised 2 project scope to include the addition of a second last mile 3 4 The grant's end date will be extended to May 31, 2024. CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Thank you. Do we have any 5 questions? 6 Mr. Chairman. MS. COX: 7 8 CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Yes, ma'am. MS. COX: Mr. Revels, I'd like to ask you a couple of 9 10 questions. MR. REVELS: Yes. 11 MS. COX: Is this specific to the Whitetop area? 12 13 MR. REVELS: Yes. MS. COX: The area that's been tough to serve? 14 MR. REVELS: Yes, it is. The current plan or the former 15 plan was to use 35-foot towers and transponders to try to serve 16 17 wirelessly people in the Whitetop community. CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Sir, I apologize. Could you please 18 19 state your name? MR. REVELS: I'm Tom Revels, Grayson County and I'm the 20 21 Project Manager for the County on that project. I apologize. CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Thank you. 22 23 MS. COX: Could you spell your last name?

MR. REVELS: Revels, R-E-V-E-L-S. And so, what we've

encountered in the central part of the County with these towers

24

25

is that foliage and topography is limiting the number of people that can be satisfactorily served by the smaller, lower towers. And so, they're looking at some of the new technology which has been demonstrated to us. The 5G wireless systems have taller towers and the broad-spectrum band of a 5G transponder shoots through foliage and like a radio wave can mold itself around a ridge. And so, in the most Western part of the County if we're going to have any success in getting wireless services in place it's going to take something different than what we initially thought would be appropriate. So, this is based on lessons learned already in other areas of the County.

MS. COX: Mr. Chairman, one more question.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Yes, ma'am.

MS. COX: Are you guys' pursuing VATI funds or the BEAD funds that hopefully would be coming down the pipe to the DHCD in the future to help you implement that?

MR. REVELS: No.

MS. COX: Okay.

MR. REVELS: Not in that part of the county.

MS. COX: Okay. Thank you.

21 delegate MARSHALL: A question.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Yes, sir.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: So, sir, I assume you're talking about putting up towers, this 5G. So, what kind of radius could that serve?

MR. REVELS: Well, first, I'm not totally the expert on this although I've listened to people who are. Depending on the elevation of the tower they can achieve broadband speeds, which match the federal definition up to about nine miles. Nine miles in radius. And they've already done some -- several vendors have done some preliminary work and the total project would call for three towers. One on the -- if you know anything about Whitetop, one in Whitetop. One on Fees Ridge, which is about halfway between Whitetop and Rugby. And then one in the Rugby area.

And with those three towers and this technology they can project to serve about 97 percent of the households that are in that region. Even with our four plan and smaller towers, even the engineering projections at that time were down around 60 percent.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Sir, is it weather related? I mean, like the storm we had a couple nights ago, does that affect the service?

MR. REVELS: Well, the good thing about the tall towers and the 5G is far less susceptible to weather issues. I will admit to you that, you know, A&P has done a remarkable job of putting fiber across our County and we're very thankful for that. But the last mile fiber we're having great difficulty because a hundred-foot tree does not respect a 40-foot electric utility right-of-way. And even before we could get it hung and

serviceable, we're beginning to see a drop because trees are falling and if you know anything about Western Grayson County. I live there. To the best of their ability, I still lose power one to two times a month. Now, they are Johnny on the spot to fix it but as I've learned from the technicians when the fiber cable breaks it's not like an electric line. It takes a lot more time and a lot more money and a lot more effort to put it back together.

And so, we believe that instead of using micro towers you do have to tie them into fiber lines because all the fiber in Western Grayson runs 58. We believe it will be far more durable in our environment because as you know we do have terrible windstorms in the wintertime and a lot of wet ground and trees that fall.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Any further questions?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. I'll entertain a motion to accept the staff recommendation.

MR. PACE: So, moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay.

MS. WILLIAMS: Second.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: We have a motion to approve the staff recommendations and a second. All in favor say aye.

(ALL AFFIRM.)

MS. COX: Mr. Chairman, one, abstention.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Yes. Okay. All opposed?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All right. With one abstention.

MR. REVELS: Mr. Chairman, thank you. We appreciate your support.

CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All right. Thank you. Okay. Next on to other business. Andy?

MR. SORRELL: I don't have any other business, per se.

Sarah, did you want to mention the current status of the meat processing grant round? That's getting ready to close soon, I believe.

MS. WILLIAMS: Sure. As you are probably aware the Southwest Committee is currently accepting applications for a very specialized funding round focused only on increasing meat processing capacity in the region. I want to tell you there has been a lot of interest in that program. Unfortunately, we've had several of the projects that have realized they are not going to be ready to submit on June 1. Although we do anticipate having a couple of applications.

I think what's been most beneficial about this special round is that a lot of the people we're talking to now were not previously aware that the Commission could support these types of projects. And so, we've really had a chance to talk to a lot of people we would not normally hear from, and I would not be

surprised if some don't apply in the future. The application requirements are strenuous with, you know, construction estimates, business plans. And I think a lot of these are just still working to try to get everything pulled together. But I do anticipate some applications and I look forward to presenting them in September. CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. Thank you. Any further questions? (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: All right. Now, we'll go back to public comment. Does anyone from the public want to make a comment? (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN MOREFIELD: Okay. No public comment. We have no further questions. Meeting adjourned. Thank you. (MEETING ADJOURNED.)

1	VIRGINIA TOBACCO REGION REVITALIZATION COMMISSION
2	2023 SPRING FULL COMMISSION TWO-DAY MEETING SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA HIGHER EDUCATION CENTER
3	ABINGDON, VA
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE MAY 18, 2023
13	11:30 A.M.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	MARSHA SELF, CERT Appalachian Court Reporting
23	P.O. Box 833 Abingdon, Virginia 24212
24	ID 54-1495140
25	(276) 676-2244

1	
2	Edward Blevins, Chair
3	Honorable Delegate Leslie Adams, Vice Chair
4	Gretchen Clark
5	June Hensley
6	Honorable Deputy Secretary Beth Green
7	T. Jordan Miles
8	Honorable Delegate William "Will" Wampler
9	Sarah Wilson
10	Sarah Wilson
11	
12	Staff:
13	Honorable James Campos, Acting Executive Director and Deputy Secretary of Commerce and Trade
14	Andrew Sorrell, Deputy Director
	Stephanie Kim, Finance Director
15	Vicki Humphreys, Grants Director
16	Sarah Capps, Southern Regional Director
17	Sara Williams, Southwest Regional Director
18	Jordan Butler, Public Relations Director & TROF Program Manager
	Emily Van Pelt, Grants Assistant
19	Joyce Knight, Meeting Coordinator
20	Hannah Franke-Fuller, Special Assistant
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
<i></i>	1

CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: I want to welcome everyone and call to order 1 2 the meeting of the Strategic Planning Commission. At this time 3 I'll ask for the call of the roll, please. 4 MR. CAMPOS: Acting Executive Director James Campos. 5 (IN ATTENDANCE.) 6 Edward Blevins. Delegate Kathy Bryon. Delegate Leslie 7 Adams. Gretchen Clark. Julie Hensley. Deputy Secretary Beth 8 9 Green. T. Jordan Miles. Delegate William Wampler. 10 MR. CAMPOS: Chair, we have a quorum. 11 CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Thank you. The minutes have been 12 published on the website. At this time we'll ask for a motion 13 to approve. 14 MR. MILES: So, moved, Mr. Chairman. 15 16 DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: I have a motion. All in favor say aye. 18 (ALL AFFIRM.) 19 CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Opposed? 20 (NONE.) 21 CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Is there any public comment for 22 23 this particular planning committee? 24 (NO RESPONSE.) 25

CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Hearing none we'll defer to Andy

for an update on our upcoming Strategic Plan.

MR. SORRELL: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to give you a brief update on where we stood with the Strategic Plan since we last talked about it in January. We don't have a revised draft for you to review it or anything like that today. But as you recall, we had a discussion at our January meeting. And, of course, that was James' first meeting. And since that time we've really been working with James to get him up to speed in the role, understanding the Commission's existing plan where a draft has been.

And then also how we can incorporate some of the ideas, as we'll talk about in Full Commission with our budget related to energy and other things. I think that the Governor's Economic Development Plan is also something that's working its way — it's now wrapping itself up to be completed. And so we'll be able to really show and tie our plan into what the Governor's pillars are for Economic Development. When I reviewed that with James I'd say five of the six aligned very closely with what the Commission does for Economic Development and Workforce Development and things of that nature. And so that's just sort of a longer way of saying that I expect that by our fall meeting in Southern Virginia we'll have a draft, hopefully, that —

well, it won't be me, but it'll be somebody. Somebody will provide you with a -- James will be providing you with a draft of the Strategic Plan that will pretty closely align with the Administration as well as the funding goals that James brings to the table and with interest in the Energy Program. But also a refocus on some of the projects as well with an innovation funding.

James, you might want to address some of those ideas, if you'd like.

MR. CAMPOS: Sure. Thank you, Andrew. Yeah, some of the things that we're going to be presenting and bringing forward, I think, we have discussed with several of you already. But we're looking forward to presenting these initiatives. We think it's going to be a means in which we can further make the Commission more viable in the years to come and also to provide opportunities of growth and opportunities. So we really do look forward to addressing those and talking with other Commission members and kind of gathering their thoughts from now until the fall.

I think it was mentioned by Delegate Marshall that I'm going to be doing a speaking tour going around and meeting different folks, meeting them and going to their communities and

briefing some of them about the Commission. But getting there and making the Commission a bit more retail in that sense. And really getting to know and understand so we can better fine tune the Strategic Plan going forward. So I'm looking forward to that and thought I would just drop those few words.

MR. SORRELL: Thank you, James. Mr. Chairman, I think that too by having a Strategic Plan ready for adoption this fall we'll be able to incorporate the feedback that James receives from these roundtable discussions from our partners that utilize the Plan. And want to be able to provide solid feedback to us if our Plan is not meeting the needs of our footprint then why do we have a plan? So it's important that that Plan closely aligns with not only programs and what we do but also what our partners are looking for us to do.

And so it'll also by having it ready to go this fall it'll be for two years, of course, also will align with the Governor's plan for his Economic Development Plan as well. And so that was really just a more general update. As we have talked in the past, you know, Delegate Marshall had some interest in ensuring that we had a Regional Committee that was similar to how we had a Special Projects Committee in the past. But I think there's ways that we can address some of those more regional projects

when they do arrive. They don't arrive all the time, but when they do, that needs to happen. There needs to be a place for them that we address in the Strategic Plan.

MR. CAMPOS: James Campos. I would be remiss by correcting myself. I mentioned a speaking tour. It's really going to be more of a listening tour. So I wanted to make that correction. And also, as Andrew mentioned, some of the new initiatives we're doing. One is an Agricultural Innovation Fund. Another one is an Energy Fund. And we'll go into that more in depth. But those are the two things that we're really looking forward along with the creation of a foundation.

MR. SORRELL: Yes. A foundation will be something that will provide some flexibility to the Commission and something that will be brought towards to the Commission for a greater discussion at a later time. Probably, this fall. And, of course, that will be addressed in the Strategic Plan. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Thank you both. I couldn't agree more with the approach that we're taking on developing this Strategic Plan. I think it will be very beneficial to the Commission and to the people in the footprint. So, I think we're taking -- we're taking the right approach.

```
Is there any other business at this time?
1
2
        MR. SORRELL: No, sir.
3
        CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Hearing no other business, is there any
4
   public comment?
5
         (NO RESPONSE.)
6
        CHAIRMAN BLEVINS: Okay. Hearing none, we'll call the
7
   meeting adjourned.
8
9
        MR. SORRELL: Okay. And the Full Commission meeting starts
10
   back at 12:15. So enjoy your lunch.
11
         (MEETING ADJOURNED.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	VIRGINIA TOBACCO REGION REVITALIZATION COMMISSION 2023 SPRING FULL COMMISSION TWO-DAY MEETING
2	SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA HIGHER EDUCATION CENTER
3	ABINGDON, VA
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	FULL COMMISSION MAY 18, 2023
13	12:15 P.M.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	MARSHA SELF, CERT
22	Appalachian Court Reporting P.O. Box 833
23	Abingdon, Virginia 24212 ID 54-1495140
24	(276) 676-2244
25	

1	
2	Honorable Senator Frank Ruff, Chair
	Honorable Will Morefield, Vice Chair
3	Honorable Delegate Leslie Adams
	Edward Blevins
4	Honorable Delegate Kathy Byron
_	Gretchen Clark
5	Amanda Cox
6	Honorable Deputy Secretary Charles Kennington
	Joel Cunningham, Jr.
7	Coley Drinkwater
	Watt Foster, Jr.
8	Honorable Deputy Secretary Beth Green
	June Hensley Jay Jennings (remotely)
9	Honorable Delegate Terry Kilgore
10	Honorable Delegate Danny Marshall
10	T. Jordan Miles, III
11	Honorable William Pace
	Sandy Ratliff
12	Walter "Buddy" Shelton, Jr.
	Honorable Delegate William "Will" Wampler
13	Sarah Wilson
14	
15	Absent
	Honorable Senator John Edwards
16	Richard Hite
	Honorable Senator Louise Lucas
17	Honorable Joseph Morrissey
	Gary Walker
18	
19	Staff:
19	
20	Honorable James Campos, Acting Executive Director
	and Deputy Secretary of Commerce and Trade
21	Andrew Sorrell, Deputy Director Stephanie Kim, Finance Director
	Vicki Humphreys, Grants Director
22	Sarah Capps, Southern Regional Director
	Sara Williams, Southwest Regional Director
23	Jordan Butler, Public Relations Director & TROF Program Manager
24	Emily Van Pelt, Grants Assistant
~	Joyce Knight, Meeting Coordinator
25	Hannah Franke-Fuller Special Assistant

CHAIRMAN RUFF: James, would you call the roll, please?

MR. CAMPOS: Yes, Chairman. James Campos, Acting Executive

Director.

(IN ATTENDANCE.)

Senator Frank Ruff. Delegate Will Morefield. Honorable

Leslie Adams. Edward Blevins. Delegate Kathy Byron. Gretchen

Clark. Amanda Fox. Cox. (Laughs.) As I was saying. Deputy

Secretary Charles Kennington. Joel Cunningham. Coley

Drinkwater. Watt Foster. Julie Hensley. Jay Jennings.

Delegate Terry Kilgore. Deputy Secretary Green. Delegate Danny

Marshall. Secretary Caren Merrick. T. Jordan Miles. Honorable

William Pace. Sandy Ratliff. Walter "Buddy" Shelton. Delegate

Will Wampler. Sarah Wilson.

MR. CAMPOS: Chair, we have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: James, if you'd try Hite again and see if he will acknowledge he's there.

MR. CAMPOS: Mr. Hite, are you there, sir? One more time.
Mr. Hite, are you there, sir? And then Joel Cunningham just
walked in.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm here. Here.

MR. MOREFIELD: All right. Mr. Chairman, I have a motion.

Chairman Ruff, I move approval that Commissioners Merrick, Hite,

Walker, and Jennings, requested to participate remotely in this meeting in conformance with the Commission's adopted electronic policy. And the voices of the remotely participating members can be heard by all persons at the primary meeting location.

DELEGATE KILGORE: Second.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. All in favor say, aye.

(ALL AFFIRM.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. All right. Very good. All right, you all have read, I'm sure, word-for-word all the minutes from January the $5^{\rm th}$ and March $21^{\rm st}$.

MR. SORRELL: I'll say that March $21^{\rm st}$ ones aren't completed, so it'll just the January $5^{\rm th}$ ones.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Are there any additions or changes to those meetings? If not, will somebody make a motion?

DELEGATE KILGORE: So moved to be approved.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded that they be approved. All in favor say, aye.

(ALL AFFIRM.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Welcome back, public. You all were too quiet earlier, so we can give you one more shot at this for saying something. All right. Gearing up. Let's move forward.

56

7

8

9

1011

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

2021

23

22

25

24

Adam Watkins is here with the Economic Development for Southwest and Southside Virginia and with the VEDP. And, Adam, we're going to turn it over to you and give us some great wisdom.

MR. WATKINS: Sounds great. Thank you very much. It's really a pleasure to be here. So thank you for inviting me to come speak on this report that VEDP developed over the last year. I want to thank Delegate Kilgore for developing the bill that ended up tasking us with conducting this report. I'll kind of go through the slides but I'll introduce myself first. My name is Adam Watkins. I'm the Assistant Vice President at the Virginia Economic Development Partnership on the Economic Competitiveness Team. What my team really does is really look at what areas is Virginia competitive. We're trying to attract and grow our businesses in the state. And over the last year in a lot of different forms we've really taken a deep look at rural Virginia. In particular, in the Southwest and Southside, especially. My team also developed a study for the inland ports, which the results came out kind of in favor of exploring further developing an inland port in Mount Rogers region as well. But this study -- if you'd go to the next slide. Thank you.

So, the study asked us to look at barriers and opportunities for economic development and infrastructure development in Southwest and Southside Virginia. So it was a kind of broad idea of what are the different challenges because there are many topic areas that we could have taken this in to really understand economic development in ways that Southwest and Southside Virginia can really leverage some of the great things that are going on and really take a deeper look at some of the challenges and think through new ways to tackle them.

We took Southwest and Southside Virginia and focused on GO Virginia Regions One and Three, so predominately it covers the tobacco region. And that was kind of our area of focus. But something that we want to make clear in this study is that a lot of the findings really are more broadly applicable to rural Virginia. A lot of the challenges that we see in Southwest and Southside, we did a lot of data analysis comparing both this region of the study, rural Virginia, and then comparing it to what we're seeing in trans and metro. In urban Virginia there's a lot of parallels between Southwest and Southside Virginia and then the rest of the rural areas. So I just want to make that clear. There's a lot of broad applicability of these findings.

Something else I wanted to say is that this isn't really

just VEDP or that is coming up with these ideas or coming up with these priorities. One thing my team did and our External Affairs Team is we really engaged folks in Southwest and Southside Virginia. We came out to all of the regions. engaged with the delegation as well. We met with local economic developers, regional folks, the higher eds, many other partners in these reasons to really understand that -- and this is the report is really a reflection of the challenges that they've seen and the priorities that they see for economic developments over the next 10 to 20 years. What we ended up doing with that information is take a deep dive into the data and really justify and say, Okay, this is the challenge that communities are This is verifiable. It's true. We are seeing a expressing. housing shortage. We are seeing challenges with capacity building and that's impacting our ability in communities to win projects, right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, that's something that we try to do with this report is supplement all kinds of priorities and ideas that are coming out of the communities and the great work here and really leverage some data as well to wrap our heads around. Okay, this is why things are moving slowly or in some directions. But or this is why this is a priority and this is how we can tackle those

issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if you go to the next slide.

The report really hits on five key areas for this region. And, again, these are very broadly applicable to rural Virginia as a whole. But the first thing we wanted to highlight is Capacity Building. And something that we noted is there's a lot fewer local economic developers and regional economic developers in this region than we see in other parts of the state. This is true for rural Virginia, but will we see the stark difference when we get to kind of more of the metro areas where there's always like two, three, or more economic developers per county or per city. And there are communities in Southwest and Southside that don't have a dedicated economic developer. hat is being worn by the county commissioner or kind of the city manager. That they have a lot of other responsibilities as well. So capacity is a huge challenge. And the communities that we do see really strong dedicated people we see a lot of progress and a lot of great things are moving and happening.

The other area, the next area, is Workforce is a major one. And this kind of broadly encompasses, not only developing skills and attracting talent, but some of the other adjacent areas, so housing capacity. So where does that talent go if they want to

move here, right. Do you have workforce housing for any new projects that might be coming down the pipeline. Also, childcare and elderly care. Are there kind of wrap around services and support services so that people don't have to make the choice to sacrifice between going to a really good paying job that they might have to travel a little bit farther to and then figure out how to coordinate childcare and care for other family members, right. So, workforce, it's a broader category but there are a lot of different challenges in there that we wanted to touch on.

The next one is -- oh, let's go back another. The first line. Go farther up.

So Sites and Buildings. If you don't have a site for a project to land or for a company to expand then they won't be able to move here, right. So that's a challenge that we feel really acutely at VEDP and we really prioritized developing and expanding our business sites funding. I know the Tobacco Commission has done a lot to develop sites and buildings. And so this is something that we think we should continue to prioritize.

The fourth one is Infrastructure. So expanding access to our roadways. Really developing and strengthening kind of our

highway network and roadway network. And we also highlighted that complimentary study on the inland port as well.

And, finally, Incentives and Costs of Doing Business. This region is unique in Virginia because it's right on the border of Tennessee and North Carolina, right. And we are constantly competing with them for products. And this is very easy for a business to say, based on this cost calculation, I will move one county over and be in North Carolina versus Virginia. And they have other states are outcompeting us with incentives and taxes and speed of permitting. So we want to highlight those areas and there are ways that we don't necessarily need to always overhaul our tax system. Although that is a potential option. But there are other incentives that they are using that we could leverage as well to be more cost competitive. And the same with permitting as well.

So if you go on to the next slide.

I just want to highlight something in the study that we did, we looked at in terms of the capacity, and we looked at counties and cities that have different numbers of economic developers. So on the far left of that is your rural communities, broadly. And we grouped them all together in this particular one because the trends weren't really different

between Southwest and Southside in the general rural areas.

There's kind of a mix of folks that have -- or mix of counties and cities that have no economic developers or just one, two, and three. But what we really see is once you go from having no economic developer to even one economic developer you almost double your chances of getting a project within a two-year span. And by the time you have a pretty fully staffed organization, economic development organization, at the local level your chances of winning a project in a rural area is just as good as we're seeing it in metro areas. So I wanted to highlight that. The people that are on the ground that are doing this work it's really important for them to have the capacity.

And it's not just having staff, too. It's having that training and that expertise. The kind of funds to be able to do a strategic plan or to redevelop your marketing, right. There's a lot of things that go into it that makes a really strong kind of local economic development organization and regional as well.

If you'll go to the next slide.

The other area that we noted is capacity as an issue is getting federal grants. Federal grants is not money that we have to allocate in our state budget. It's money that's already

allocated by the federal government that we can compete for and we can win. But the people that have to apply for those, develop the grants, track and monitor those, are usually our locals, our PDCs, and folks like that, that really, again, are constrained with capacity.

And so if we can really bolster capacity or do things at the state level to really prioritize and support efforts to capture federal funding that can really make a difference and add more resources into our communities. And this is something that VEDP is starting to prioritize.

My team is really diving into understanding, hey, what are all the federal funding machines that are most important to our communities. We are developing a kind of list and resources to help guide communities on how to apply for these and how to prioritize those. So that's something that we're already taking from this study and doing ourselves.

The next slide.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Adam, before you go to the next slide.

MR. WATKINS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: My eyes aren't that good. Can you tell us what the colors are?

MR. WATKINS: Yes. So we broke it down by different

federal organizations. So, Appalachian Regional Commission is the dark blue at the bottom, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Economic Development Agency, and the Health and Human Services, Department of Transportation, Federal Communications Commission, that primarily does the broadband pieces, right. There's another -- a few others in there as well. And so what this is showing it's a per capita win of these grants. So Virginia, compared to Tennessee, is about \$400 per capita that we've seen over the past several years that have been awarded to rural Tennessee versus \$300 per capita in rural Virginia. So it's a pretty big difference. If we're thinking about population and size of our rural areas it makes a huge difference in the end.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah. So, Workforce, we tackled it and we broke it down into two chunks, so really it's kind of developing the skills of the people that are here. This was an area where we don't really want to do a lot of new things. We really want to enforce a lot of the great work that's being done, right. So GO TEC is a program that is really taking off and we wanted to highlight that. And that should expand, right.

We should prioritize getting our K-12 students involved in

the industries here and realizing that accessing those opportunities, right. That we have great internship programs that are being developed at the state level that we should continue to double down on and expand. G3 is another program to get folks getting credentials, right. So anything that the state can do to really kind of promote these programs to support their growth.

Another thing we wanted to highlight is stuff that the Tobacco Commission and others are doing is really funding our higher education centers, our community colleges for responsive workforce needs, right. We have -- and this is true across the state, but very much so in rural Virginia is we're seeing a shortage of workers, right. And what the companies really want is responsive training programs to fill kind of 10 spots or 30 spots as they grow their business and adapt to new technology, right.

So being very nimble with our funding and very responsive to funding needs is something that's important. VEDP already does this. We have that program but it's only for companies kind of new and coming in. It's not for companies that are not looking to take in tax incentives and a deal. So that's the gap that the Tobacco Commission and other organizations can fill.

And then on the town attraction side, right, and growing that town base, right. Because there's a lot of people in the region that needs to be unlocked as well. So housing is probably the number one priority there as making sure that we have affordable and workforce housing for people close to the areas where they work. Something that has been a challenge is that developers aren't coming here.

One thing we note is that housing values are lower and that is part of the reason that's driving that, right. If you're a developer you're going to be more inclined to build in Richmond where you can get more bang for your buck in the end than here. And so it's just a marketing deficiency that there have been some really good ideas about how to solve them for and fix that. A couple communities -- I know Wise is doing this. I know Danville and Pittsylvania are thinking about doing this and really developing and kind of doing what we do for site development for industry, but for housing. So kind of doing that free development infrastructure, laying, leveling the site, bringing in water and utilities for when that developer needs to come and they can build quickly, right. So that's one issue to solve for. And having additional funding for that that should be a huge priority. The same for childcare and healthcare.

It's the same issue where there's a marketing deficiency.

If you're going to build childcare and healthcare centers you're going to probably, as a capitalist, you're going to do it in a metro area where you can make more money where there's a center of gravity of more people. So we need to work with them and help funds and make a case for people to come to rural areas and expand that, right.

So there, for example, the difference that we saw is there's about 40 percent of seats available for pre-K to -- or, yeah, children from zero to 5, right. So about 40 percent of the population to be served in Southwest and Southside, and 60 percent of the population to be served in metro areas, right. It's a huge difference. It's still not great for metro areas, but the challenge is more acute here.

And then the same is community amenities. I think that the region is doing a great job of developing and selling it's community amenities. But really continuing to leverage opportunity zones, enterprise zones, and other tools to really attract investments and developing public and private partnerships to help enhancing our communities is important to continue to do.

Go to the next slide.

I won't touch on this too much because I think site development is very familiar to folks. But it's a huge priority and what we want to note is that like there are a couple of great sites in this area, but there few of them, right. We want to continue to grow the products that we have available. But it's not just always just the mega sites. As well we want to -- and this is one that VEDP doesn't have the funding to do -- but really invest in some of those smaller sites, 20 acres, 25 acres, right, that businesses will expand on.

And then invest in shell buildings as well. Something that we heard from conversations is that there's a lot of investment in shell buildings in the '80s and '90s. They kind of sat vacant for a while but then once the economy picked up in the past five years all those buildings have been swallowed up. And we don't really have a funding source to replenish that, right. And if you're a business you're thinking speed to market. Having a building ready for you is huge.

Go to the next slide.

This is piloting Infrastructure now. So site development is one piece of that. And then infrastructure we're thinking about kind of our roads, our rail networks, our airport networks, all those different things. This is an area where the

capacity issue comes in again, right. We are not winning as many federal grants for -- Department of Transportation grants for our infrastructure as we need. This is something that takes a lot of work to apply to. It takes a lot of effort to get folks on board and to work with consultants and experts to develop the plans for that. But it takes time and it takes people. So I wanted to bring that back and showcase this issue with an infrastructural lens as well.

The next slide.

So the reason why infrastructure is a priority and why -- I think, Delegate Kilgore, you specifically mentioned it in the bill itself -- is it's the number three reason companies site provided and want to locate at a place. They want to be really connected to road to rail infrastructure. They want to be close to suppliers. They want to have access to the export markets if they need those things. So it's a very important location factor for a company.

And so we did a quick analysis to understand how much is this actually affecting decisions, right. And places that are closer -- the counties that have kind of a major four-lane highway are two times as likely to get a project -- these are rural counties. Two times as more likely to get a project than

counties that are not -- that don't have access to a four-lane highway, all right. So we're seeing that, actually, in the data and how the companies are making decisions. And that's another reason why we wanted to bring back the point of, like, hey, if we have folks prioritizing this and developing this in communities it will help kind of unlock more funds for that as well.

The last piece to highlight here is the reason why we're recommending the inland port and hope kind of complimenting the other report that came out is that if you're, basically, in Martinsville on farther kind of towards the end of the state, if you're a trucker you're probably going to go to North Carolina or South Carolina to ship your goods out of the country because it's 10 to 40 minutes, depending on where you're at, closer for you or faster for you to drive there, right. Time is money. So having it in the port or further developing our infrastructure networks in the southern part of the state towards to connect those regions to the port should be a major priority as well.

Go to the next slide.

This is more about the cost of doing business side.

Something that I want is to note here, there's a lot of ways to reduce costs, right. But companies are mostly looking at their

bottom line. It's not necessarily whether it's taxes or tax cuts. There is some nuance to it, but like if they are saving money by locating in Virginia over North Carolina then they will locate in Virginia. And something that we see in that all of our other neighboring states do that we don't is really targeted tax credits for rural areas. And sometimes it's also targeted at specific industries like manufacturing that are real priorities.

So I wanted to highlight kind of all the states and what they're doing to do this. And this is something that Virginia doesn't have is, essentially, a job tax credit. So if you employee a certain number of people you'll get a tax credit based on a certain percentage of that of their wage for the companies. It's a huge windfall for them. Not a significant cost relative to the budget of the state. This is something that VEDP has prioritized and been kind of promoting with the General Assembly for a few years now. But they tier it. And this is what's important is that Georgia, for example, their tax credit it's, basically, like three times as much. If a company chooses to locate in a rural area versus kind of a major metro area they'll have a three times higher tax credit for doing so.

So, these are the things that we can do to really direct

investment into rural areas to really kind of fix that kind of market issue that they've made a company consider rural Virginia Southwest, Southside over kind of an area that is more in the metro areas. Because there's so many people and talent here that can be unlocked. And getting them to recognize that the opportunity is there and just nudging them in that direction is something that the state should be doing. That's kind of the overview.

The next few slides are just kind of a summary of those recommendations. The recommendations are we targeted them towards the General Assembly to think through. But a lot of these issues are ones that other organizations and VEDP, like I said earlier, we are trying to prioritize as well. And this is an effort that not only needs to be done at the city level, it's already being done in a lot of places at the regional and local levels in Southwest and Southside Virginia. And we want to continue that momentum, continue building on those opportunities and think through and be a good partner at VEDP with our communities in Southwest and Southside to really push our economic development opportunities forward.

So, I'll take any questions. Happy to. So this was a summary of the presentation. Obviously, we went to it broadly

1 | a 2 | a 3 | m 4 | t 5 | h 6 | 7 | a

and looked at a lot of areas. If folks want to dive deeper into any of those you can read the report. You can also reach out to me or Jason on who we are, our CEO at VEDP, or any other of us to kind of understand more and give more information. We'd be happy to present and kind of do any other detail work with you all and the communities that you serve. So, thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Adam, before you answer any questions. Are these slides available to the Tobacco Commission?

MR. BUTLER: Yes. Yeah, absolutely. And we'll email them to any -- I also brought paper copies, but not enough. So if folks want paper copies I can share that. But they also can be made available as well to share.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Andy, can I count on you to send out to every member that presentation?

MR. SORRELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.

MR. WATKINS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Daniel, you had some questions?

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Yeah. Sir, last year in the General Assembly, we passed House Bill 1842. It's a bill about the Virginia Business Ready Sites Acquisition Fund and Program. It

MR. WATKINS: That's all right.

creates Virginia Business Ready Sites Acquisition Fund and Program for the purpose of acquiring sites, for the purpose of creating and maintaining a portfolio of project-ready sites to promote economic development in the Commonwealth. So this is a state-wide bill. But I'm just home cooking here in my Commission. So I might be putting you on the spot.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: So how does this affect the Tobacco Commission? Because what I understand about this bill that we passed is that the state is going to use funds, state funds, to go out and find ready sites and acquire those. And then so let's just take that part first. Then we're go to step two and step three.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah. And that's where I think the primary target, depending on the amount of funds, there's only so many sites we can acquire. And they're trying to optimize and think through, okay, what is the sites that will yield kind of the biggest opportunity in kind of the medium term. Probably, like good sites sometimes are ready to go. Others need a lot of work. And so that's where the kind of thinking behind that will be. And I don't know -- from talks I've heard is we would acquire more than probably one or two sites depending on the

funding size.

But the other piece of that is we are looking across statewide, right? So while we might not acquire a site, particularly, in this ttobacco commission region, right. It might be rural Virginia, but it might not be in the tobacco commission region. We are still looking statewide in assessing the quality of sites that we don't know about, right. That communities might not know about but have potential that there might be a set of parcels that are just kind of need to be pieced together from different owners that would be really high potential.

And with that research, we want to go out and work with partners to say, hey, these are really good sites. We would want to invest in those. We have not acquired those, but we want to still partner with you with this new knowledge. And that would be kind of with the Tobacco Commission in leveraging funds that you all have and that you're willing to commit to developing these sites. So we are assessing and saying like this is a potentially good site for projects in the long run.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: A follow-up question then. Who actually will own the site? Will the State of Virginia own the site or will the locality own the site? And then part of that

is -- let's take that first. Who will actually control the site?

MR. WATKINS: Yeah. That might be putting me a bit more on the spot than I'm able to answer. But my assumption is I think that's still being -- because the funds haven't been committed and I think part of that will -- the language, correct me if I'm wrong, is we'll kind of determine how that site will be owned. Whether it'll be -- I don't believe it's going to completely be owned by VEDP, but it might be kind of in a collaborative fashion, being like reviewed by -- I think there's been discussions about having folks from the General Assembly on to be part of that.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Yeah. MEI.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah, MEI Commission. So that's kind of what I heard, but I don't know if that's kind of been finalized yet, correct? So you're closer to it than I am right now at this point.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: All right. And so I assume that the tax base will stay locally?

MR. BUTLER: I think that is the goal, right. Like, the tax base will stay locally. The site itself will eventually be sold to the company, right, that ends up occupying it or

multiple companies that end up occupying that site, right. And so we expect, especially, with partnerships with the localities as they develop, right. It's not going to be all state funds that do it. The locality will -- we want to have them task it in the gate, right, as well. And so we hope that that will be a good windfall for the locality when the project comes and when that's won.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Thank you.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah, absolutely.

MR. PACE: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Any other questions except not about Virginia Beach.

MR. PACE: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm going to go back to Page 3 of the slide.

MR. WATKINS: Sure.

MR. PACE: There's something I really noted there. Right there, "Rural localities at least two fulltime economic development staff." I remember at one presentation when Jason presented VEDP had a map of every locality of every county seat and how many staff were on their Economic Development Team, right. And there's some in the tobacco footprint that have none.

I think off the top of my head though, and that locality

I'm thinking of has an interstate highway system that goes

through it. So I guess my question is, is VEDP doing anything

to try and reach out to these localities and tell them that it's

probably a good idea to have an economic development team, not

like a regional one like Southwest Virginia Alliance, but a

local one based on this.

MR. WATKINS: I mean, our regionals do play a big part in trying to supplement. And we have regional organizations across the state, right. But I think that is something that we do need to communicate. And something that we've been talking about and would like to partner on and do a better job at communicating this with kind of local Board of Supervisors and other officials because that is a weakness. And I think there is a big disconnect in a lot of communities between the elected officials that decide where the funds go and how they're prioritized and what they actually need to do to be successful economic development.

But we work with all of our localities regardless of whether or not they're a dedicated economic developer or not. We have a point of contact. In that case it's probably the county administrator. And sometimes they have the assistant

1 st
2 hu
3 An
4 re
5 An
6 in
8 th
9 lo
10 wi
11 an

staff that are more focused on it. But, yeah, we figure it's a huge priority and something that we would like to do better at.

And we hired Abigail Wescott as the director of public relations recently, so we've created a whole dedicated position to that.

And she actually comes from the Southwest. She has experience in the region, so she's been a great partner and agrees with all these priorities and recognizes the need to do that. So we're looking forward to -- our team is looking forward to working with her to try to think through how we better communicate with our local elected officials on that front.

MR. PACE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: To follow up on that. And ask are you -- are you saying that she will have a responsibility to actually train people in localities? Because I think that is the issue.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: If the locality does not have it in their budget then they may put somebody in that position who has no skills at that particular job. And so how do you get from zero to one, two, to three?

MR. WATKINS: And so, she was on board a few months ago.

And this, again, is a new position so we're still trying to

define the role. But I think that's -- I don't know if

dedicated training will be part of that. But I think we would 1 2 like to see that in the long run depending on how we can devote 3 resources to that. That again, why we recommended -- it was 4 Recommendation A. It's the top one is that funds for that for 5 training for folks in any way that we want to do it, right. It 6 can live with the VEDP. It can live with the Tobacco 7 Commission. It can live with the Virginia Rural Center, right. 8 9 It can be in a lot of areas. But this is something that we need 10 -- an issue that we need to tackle. And Abigail is interested 11 in doing that. We haven't kind of come together and figured out 12 exactly what such an effort from the VEDP side will look like. 13 But that is something that we want to start exploring and 14 prioritizing, yes. 15

CHAIRMAN RUFF: I would encourage you to work with James towards that goal.

MR. BUTLER: Yeah.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DELEGATE WAMPLER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Will.

DELEGATE WAMPLER: Thank you. Adam, and staff, can we go down to the next slide, Page 4?

I was looking at this. My question to you would be, you know, VEDP was able to gather this data and put it together.

But do we take any step further and figure out or identify why

Virginia in comparison to some of our competitive states is at a

lower ratio of economic development funds? Do we drill down at

all and figure out why that is?

MR. WATKINS: Are you talking about EDA, specifically, or all these in general?

DELEGATE WAMPLER: Not necessarily. I mean, I understand VEDP may draw down the EDA funds for some of those others that are out there. But I was just asking, you know, what was the factor that resulted in us having less economic development funds, you know, that that chart indicates?

MR. WATKINS: And this is something that we're still exploring. It's not something that's always like readily available when we do the research. And something that we want to continue doing is diving into other states, maybe and talking to folks. What we noted, especially, about Tennessee and North Carolina and this is why we kind of landed on capacity building is the hypothesis for that. And capacity at the local and regional level.

First of all, people did touch on that. They're like, yes, we want to apply for grants but we don't always have the ability to do so or the ability to even manage them if we win the grant,

right. So that's one piece of that is it was expressed as a priority challenge from the communities here. What we've seen in North Carolina and Tennessee, the reason why we think that they are doing better is that they are prioritizing. They have programs set up to fund training and marketing. And, I think, it's North Carolina, they also have their economic development agency has a dedicated position to focus on federal funding. So that to us is kind of the rationale and likely reason for why that is. So that's why our team, in particular, has dedicated a couple of people to start exploring this issue further. Really we're starting and trying to make sure we understand the universal grants that are most important and get that information out to people. But we want to continue diving into 15 this analysis and understand a bit more of like why aren't we getting there? Why aren't we unlocking this funding? How do we motivate our partners in the states and focus on these things? DELEGATE WAMPLER: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can I make a

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comment?

DELEGATE WAMPLER: You know, this is one of those areas where we look at a chart, we can see it, and it doesn't look that drastic. But if you take Tennessee where, a hundred

million down from what they're receiving every year for, you know, economic development and I don't think it takes, an extensive study by the Commission or by VEDP and figure out that if you don't put resources behind these grants being developed and grant writing and, specifically, seeking out the opportunities that have come from the federal government we're never going to get there.

So, it's the conditions looking at a strategic plan going forward. If we can put together conditioned resources to focus in the tobacco footprint on the maximization of these grant sources.

We have ARC and EDA through the Office of Surface Mining.

We have a tremendous amount of money for any coal communities in assistance of coal communities. And so, I think, you know, as we're looking forward we have commissioned staff that reviews grants and helps us make, educated decisions on the grant proposals that come before us. But maybe we're missing an opportunity by putting together a team of professionals within the Commission that can help build these projects.

So, a county with one economic developer comes and says, I think we've got some action happening, but we need to maximize this project. Right now the -- I guess the fact of the

situation is, well, go figure it out and I hope you can get some federal funds. Where maybe we should make it, okay, we've got an idea identified or a prospect identified. How can the Commission put resources on top of the local resources that are already available to build out the project?

And I think, you know, while we have a portfolio of great projects in the Tobacco Commission we can see a much greater return and a much more thoughtful project, you know, development process if we put some resources to it. So maybe we can think about that coming forward because there's a lot of money out there to go get. Particularly, in the Infrastructure Act we just passed a year-and-a-half ago. So that's my two cents. Thank you.

MS. GREEN: I just have a quick question. Do you have a breakdown of USDA grants that have been applied for and with what programs?

MR. WATKINS: We can probably get that for you. We don't have it readily available. But, yeah, we can get that.

MS. GREEN: I was curious about that. You know, with community facilities we could help tons, you know, of these counties. And also with single family housing. All of those different reconnects, broadbands.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah. They're one of -- I would say one of the bigger pieces of this, this puzzle.

MS. GREEN: Right. They could do a lot for them.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah, absolutely. Yeah. We could follow up with -- I can talk to my team and we can follow up with that information.

MS. GREEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: While you're on that slide just to put it in perspective. If you look at North Carolina, what is their total investment -- what is the state investment in economic development?

MR. BUTLER: That I don't know off the top of my head. I know that they're -- they find at least their EDO kind of roughly on par as us. But there's obviously the funds there -- they have like dedicated funding for rural development. And I know it's in the tune of, I think, five million. As well they fund also their version of the rural center to the tune of about five million as well. It's a mix of like public and private funds. But I know that, in fact, their budget is about five million. So they're putting dedicated funds and resources into rural North Carolina in ways that we currently are not.

I mean, there's VEDP programs and there is the Tobacco

this or how can we do this even better?

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you.

MS. RATLIFF: Question. To go back to what Will was talking about. Is one of the issues that the PDCs do not have a staff or resources to go after more of these funds or B, there's not a collaboration with DHCD, PDCs. And if we were to do something working with the Tobacco Commission, we ought to collaborate with all of them just to see that we're all singing from the same hymn book.

Commission and there are others that benefit rural Virginia.

But North Carolina is definitely innovating in ways that we

should look to as an opportunity to say, okay, how can we do

MR. WATKINS: Yeah. We did hear that, like, obviously the PDCS are supposed to be the kind of main player in developing these funds. But there are capacity issues with them as well. That was a theme that we heard. But I agree with that collaboration piece.

And I think the reason for this report, it's not to say, "Hey, these are some new ideas and things that we need to be doing." The hope that we get out of this is that everyone kind of takes the things that we know as important to Southwest and Southside, Virginia and starts rowing our boats in the same direction. And continue these conversations around collaboration, right. We've shared this information with DHE, with NOVA. This is something that we hope to continue and these

talking points is to continue to put focus on them. And I think that collaboration, not duplicating efforts, is right. Figuring out, okay, what are our strengths and how do we, like, how can GO Virginia support our PDCs better and vice versa. And how can the Tobacco Commission work with them. How can they communicate better with our localities and leverage each other's resources. I think those conversations are incredibly important to have. Especially, when staff are more constrained.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Dan.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: All right. So, in DanvillePittsylvania County we have something called a EVRIFA, a
Regional Industrial Facility Center. And what that means is
say, we have about four or five industrial parks that some of
them are in Danville, some of them are in Pittsylvania County.

If a company locates in one of those sites in Danville, they pay
the Danville tax rate, but 50 percent of that money goes to
Pittsylvania County and vice versa. So, when you talk about
collaborative, you know, you got to have skin in the game.

MR. WATKINS: Yes.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: It's got to go both ways. And so, if you're just asking people to do it, you know, philanthropically I don't think they'll do that. I think they are going to have to make sure that in our case that the -- no matter if it's located in Pittsylvania County or Danville, both localities win.

MR. WATKINS: Yeah. And EVRIFAs are something we highlight

throughout the report. And the incredible thing that is happening in the Southwest and Southside is we've seen a lot of success and a lot of project wins that come out of sites that are developed from the EVRIFAS, right. Because companies don't know boundaries, right. They don't recruit from just one county. They don't really — they don't think about the space in terms of those borders, right. Like, so the region is the one that needs to come together, and the region is the one that ultimately benefits, right. So, I think the EVRIFA is something we kind of try to work with localities often is developing these EVRIFAs to leverage resources.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Stephanie, you look like you want to -MS. KIM: Yeah. I wanted to say that included in the FY24
proposed budget this exactly -- this issue that James had
mentioned also of providing the consultation to be able to work
with the federal government. And not just USDA but Department
of Energy and other federal agencies. And then working with the
state agencies. Specifically, to the Tobacco Region that we can
leverage the funds from federal, state and local, and help
advise localities on obtaining this funding.

So, we are planning to do that in FY24 with some funding to do some of the planning work and identify sources of funding.

So, that is exactly -- you're correct. We have identified that as an issue.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you.

MS. RATLIFF: Mr. Chairman, just one more question.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Yes.

MS. RATLIFF: And this goes to our local Southwest Virginia delegation. You know, our 14 jurisdictions that's part of the Hard Rock deal are going to be getting, what, \$700,000 extra a year in their coffers. Can funds for communities be encouraged to use some of those? I know they can't be used for direct economic development, but could that help fund a position to help with economic development and to go after grant money?

DELEGATE WAMPLER: Mr. Chair?

down Federal Infrastructure Act funds.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Will, you want to respond to that?

DELEGATE WAMPLER: I do. Trying not to toot my own horn

but the Delegation did put together a package of a budget in the last adopted budget in Richmond that provided \$400,000 over the biennial to PDCs 1 and 2, so that's most of the Southwest

Virginia, LENOWISCO, Cumberland Plateau, and I think Mount

Rogers Planning District Commission may have been in there as well. That was money for staff resources at the PDCs to draw

I raised it without saying that just a minute ago because that's great. If we have those resources that the PDCs that are laser focused on drawing down those funds and developing those projects with federal revenues, great. But I think the bigger opportunity for Tobacco, and we're all sitting here at the Commission meeting, is to adopt a similar model for the Tobacco

Commission where we can be enhancing the projects. Not just passing, you know, yay or nay judgment on them of saying, Great idea.

You know, our resources here, we can show that the federal government has a new application window for additional funding at this given time and help applicants more than just give them a thumbs up and thumbs down. I know they do that to a great extent already but if we can, you know, formalize it and put some more resources I think we'll see some good returns. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. Will.

DELEGATE MOREFIELD: And I apologize for the second time. I won't be very long. Earlier there was a slide that identified or was pointing out identifying certain tax incentives for rural areas to compete with other states. So, a few years ago we adopted legislation to attract new companies to distressed areas. Specifically, double the stress, which is the majority or all of Southwest and Southern, Virginia.

If you go on VEDP's website and you look at the list of incentives, it's specifically referring to the legislation I was talking about. You have it listed as New Company Incentive Program. And this is just the summary. So, remember for a prospective business where an executive is scrolling, sitting in the airport looking at a list of these they're probably going to read two or three sentences before they click on it so, it's got

to catch their attention.

So, if you look at this New Company Incentive Program you have listed it says, "Offers an exemption from corporate income tax, and up to \$2,000 per new job, for companies with no employment or property in the state prior to January 1, 2018, and that meet statutory investment and employment requirements."

So, if I'm reading that I see that as up to \$2,000 per new job. Well, what the bill does is if they meet certain investment criteria it exempts the company from paying corporate income tax. So, we've got Deputy Secretary Kennington here, he knows this probably better than I do, but I think in Virginia maybe two hundred and some C Corps only pay corporate income tax.

DEPUTY SECRETARY KENNINGTON: That's probably correct.

DELEGATE MOREFIELD: No. It's the state.

DEPUTY SECRETARY KENNINGTON: Yeah. Some --

DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Well, no. I know. But, I mean, for as far as state income tax only about 200 and some C Corps pay state income tax. But a tremendous amount of LLCs and S Corps pay the bulk of the business income tax.

And so, what the bill does is it includes S Corps and LLCs. It not only exempts them from paying income tax, but it also provides a provision that would allow them to deduct their gross sales from their income tax.

You know, anyone that's in business, if you can deduct your

gross sales that's tremendous. No other state in the country is doing that. So, something that I would like to see is on the list of, you know, your summaries and your incentives, if there's something in that program that's extremely attractive to a prospective business, I think we need to show it.

MR. WATKINS: To highlight that, yeah. No, I kind of took that note down and I'll probably reach out to make sure to clarify exactly what we're missing. I know our Incentives Department knows those things very, very well and I can let them know that this was a thing that you noted was missing that might be attractive for companies that are looking. Especially, the S Corps and the others that you mentioned, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Any other questions?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Since you will all be receiving a copy of this presentation if after you carefully review it and you have any questions for Adam, I'm sure his e-mail address will be on there.

MR. WATKINS: Yes, yes. Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you very much, Adam.

MR. WATKINS: Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: I'm going to change the order a little bit and skip the Executive Committee and go to the Education Committee. Delegate Byron, that's you.

DELEGATE BYRON: Mr. Chairman, the Education Committee met

yesterday. I feel like it was 10 days ago, but it was just yesterday. And we looked at a lot of financial aid applications for workforce training in the region at our community colleges and we have several motions from what the Committee's recommendations are.

I move that the Commission accept the Education Committee's recommendation to approve \$2,197,020 for the last-dollar Workforce Financial Aid grants for Tobacco Region residents in the 2023-24 school year as described on pages 9-23 of the Commission book and subject to the conditions listed on pages 10 and 11 of the Commission books.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: All right. Properly moved. Is there a second?

MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Is there any further discussion on that motion?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye.

(ALL AFFIRM.)

20 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed?

21 (NO RESPONSE.)

DELEGATE BYRON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We had additional work that dealt with Extensions and Modifications.

One was the Central Virginia Community College Educational Foundation New Radiography Overhead X-Ray System Project 3629.

I move that the Commission accepts the Education Committee 1 recommendation for Project 3629 to approve an extension to May 2 31, 2025. 3 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded it. Any 5 further discussion? 6 7 (NO RESPOSNE.) 8 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye. 9 (ALL AFFIRM.) 10 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed? (NO RESPONSE.) 11 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Continue. 12 DELEGATE BYRON: Okay. And then we had the Radford 13 University Foundation Counselor Education Program in Southwest, 14 Virginia, Project 3514. 15 I move the Commission accept the Education Committee 16 recommendation for Project 3514 to approve a one-year extension 17 through June 20,2024. 18 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further 20 discussion? 21 (NO RESPONSE.) 22 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye. 23 (ALL AFFIRM.) 24 25 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed?

(NO RESPONSE.) 1 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. 2 MS. BYRON: That completes our report. 3 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. 4 INCENTIVES AND LOANS 5 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Danny, do you want to go ahead with 6 Incentives and Loans? 7 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Yes. Incentives and Loans met this 8 9 morning also. So, the first one is 3506 for the Smyth County 10 Economic Development Authority and I move that the Commission accept the Incentives and Loans Committee's recommendation that 11 the performance agreement for Project 3506 be modified to permit 12 the acceptance of reported costs of Machinery & Tools and 13 Tangible Personal Property towards the Company's taxable asset 14 obligation as verified in writing by the local Commissioner of 15 Revenue and that a performance extension be approved through 16 December 31, 2023. 17 DELEGATE KILGORE: Second: 18 19 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further discussion? 20 21 (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye. 22 23 (ALL AFFIRM.) CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed? 24 25 (NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: All right. The second one is the Smyth County Economic Development Authority and Project 3451. I move that the Commission accepts the Incentives and Loans Committee recommendation of approval of a 5th year performance extension through June 30, 2023, for Project 3451.

DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further discussion?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye.

12 (ALL AFFIRM.)

13 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed.

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.

DELEGATE MARSHALL: Next, is for Russell County, and I move that the Commission accept Incentives and Loan Committee recommendations that the performance agreements for Project 3507 be modified to permit the acceptance of reported costs of Machinery & Tools and Tangible Personal Property towards the Company's taxable asset obligation as verified in writing by the local Commissioner of Revenue.

DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further discussion?

(NO RESPONSE.) 1 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye. 2 (ALL AFFIRM.) 3 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed? 4 (NO RESPONSE.) 5 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. 6 DELEATE MARSHALL: All right. So, number four is Tazewell 7 County Industrial Development Authority. I move that the 8 9 Commission accept the Incentives and Loan Committee 10 recommendations that Project 3101 permit the inclusion of real estate purchase on September 23, 2015, in the amount of 11 12 \$1,476,700 be counted toward the taxable capital investment obligation for the project. 13 MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman. 14 DELEGATE MARSHALL: Sorry, I got an and. 15 MR. MILES: Excuse me. 16 DELEGATE MARSHALL: And that the --17 MR. SORRELL: My handwriting can sometimes be bad. 18 And that the under portion of this project related to the 19 employment performance which was \$8,333.35 be repaid as a 20 condition of this project. 21 MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman. 22 23 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further discussion? 24 (NO RESPONSE.) 25

```
CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye.
1
         (ALL AFFIRM.)
2
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed.
3
         (NO RESPONSE.)
4
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay.
5
        DELEGATE MARSHALL: And so, number five is the Joint
6
   Industrial Development Authority of Wythe County. I move that
7
   the Commission accept the Incentives and Loan Committee
8
   recommendations of Project 3892 be modified as it follows:
9
10
   award approval would be extended to July 20, 2023; revised
   performance agreement prepared including award amended to post-
11
   performance disbursement; and with a revised award date of May
12
   18, 2023, permitting a revised performance period for three
13
   years from this date -- May 18, 2026.
14
        DELEGATE KILGORE: Second.
15
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further
16
   discussion?
17
         (NO RESPONSE.)
18
19
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: All in favor say aye.
         (ALL AFFIRM.)
20
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed?
21
         (NO RESPONSE.)
22
23
        DELEGATE MARSHALL: So, that concludes my report, sir.
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: All right. Ed, are you ready?
24
25
        MR. BLEVINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
```

STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE

MR. BLEVINS: The Strategic Planning Committee met this morning. There were no actions required. We did have some discussion on the development of our Strategic Plan for presentation in the fall meeting.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you for your report. Buddy, are you ready?

MR. SHELTON: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

SOUTHERN VIRGINIA COMMITTEE

MR. SHELTON: The Southern Virginia Committee met this morning with a brief meeting. Our only order of business was an extension request from Mecklenburg Electric Cooperative in Pittsylvania County for their Backbone Fiber Project 3533. And therefore, I moved that the Commission accept the Southern Virginia Committee recommendation for approval of an extension for Project 3533 to June 30, 2024.

DELEGATE ADAMS: Second.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Any further discussion?

(NO REPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye.

(ALL AFFIRM.)

24 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Opposed?

25 | (ONE OPPOSED.)

```
CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. Thank you.
1
        MR. SHELTON: That concludes our business, Mr. Chairman.
2
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Will, Southwest Virginia.
3
        DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First, we
   have Blue Ridge Public Television 4110. I'll entertain a motion
5
   to accept the Committee's recommendation.
6
7
        DELEGATE WAMPLER: So, moved.
        DELEGATE KILGORE: Second.
8
9
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Any further discussion?
10
        (NO RESPONSE.)
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye.
11
12
        (ALL AFFIRM.)
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed.
13
        (ONE OPPOSED.)
14
        DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Okay. Next, we have Mendota Community
15
   Association, 4117. The Committee recommended postponing it
16
   indefinitely.
17
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: We don't need a motion there. Okay. Any
18
   other business?
19
         (NONE.)
20
                      EXTENSIONS AND MODIFICATIONS
21
        DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Okay. Next, on to Extensions and
22
   Modifications.
23
24
        Carroll, Grayson, Galax Regional Industrial Facility
25
   Project 3377. I'll entertain a motion to accept the Committee's
```

```
recommendation.
1
        MR. MILES: So, moved.
2
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. All in favor
3
4
   say aye.
5
         (ALL AFFIRM.)
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed.
6
        MS. COX: Abstention.
7
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Is there --
8
9
        MS. COX: Abstention, yes.
10
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: One abstention on that, okay.
        DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11
        Grayson County, Project 3530. I'll entertain a motion to
12
   accept the Committee's --
13
14
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: I think that was a motion. Is there a
15
   second?
        DELEGATE WAMPLER: Second.
16
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: You kind of wandered off there. Any
17
   further discussion?
18
         (NO RESPONSE.)
19
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: Hearing none. All in favor say aye.
20
         (ALL AFFIRM.)
21
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed.
22
        MS. COX: One abstention.
23
24
        CHAIRMAN RUFF: One abstention. Okay. All right. We will
   return to the Executive Committee and Delegate Morefield will
25
```

make the report, please. 1 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I move to approve FY2023 2 budget totaling \$27,936,400 as shown on page 65 of the 3 Commission Book. 4 MS. KIM: FY2024. 5 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: I'm sorry. 6 7 MR. MILES: Second, Mr. Chairman. 8 CHAIRMAN RUFF: Properly moved and seconded. Anyone have 9 any conversations? Stephanie, do you want to make a presentation? You don't want to make a presentation? 10 MR. MARSHALL: Mr. Chair, just as a point of order, I think 11 12 this is the first time that we have ever had to debate our corpus in 20 plus years. 13 MS. KIM: Right. We are recommending no corpus invasion 14 and to use available balances in the loans and incentive -- I 15 think it's loan fund, to fund next year's budget and as well as 16 interest. 17 CHAIRMAN RUFF: I assume that everybody has looked through 18 their book and they have no questions. Is that correct? 19 (ALL AFFIRM.) 20 21 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All right. Are you ready to vote? All in 22 favor? 23 (ALL AFFIRM.) 24 CHAIRMAN RUFF: All opposed? 25 (NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Okay. That is dealt with. All right. Andy is going to bring us up to date on some TROF and loans.

MR. SORRELL: Who seconded the motion for the budget?
MR. MILES: Me.

MR. SORRELL: Thank you. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Well, I guess this might be my last TROF report for you, but I can tell you that right now we have four active TROFs that have been approved this fiscal year and I expect it to stay that amount by the end of June, probably.

As you can see, that's going to be on page 68 of -- excuse me, 69 of your packets. This is why we need to look and review and revise the TROF policy to make sure it's fitting right for our communities that we're serving.

If you look back at prior years, you know, we were approving 11 or 20 or 15 TROFs per fiscal year, you know, the last several years, which they related to the pandemic as well. We are significantly down. So, we need to just check it and make sure that this is the right -- that our policy is still accurately reflecting the goals of our communities and what they're looking to attract.

As you can see, we have those four that should all be sort of familiar to you or names that you've heard before and, of course, the ones from 2022. Blue Star was one we acted upon earlier as well.

I'll note that we still have, back on page 68, we have our

Community Business Lending Program that in speaking with Commission leadership we have paused. But we still have, you know, a few projects that we're submitting prior to that pause including the one I believe the Commission approved at our last meeting that they're still working on the term sheet in that project. That was the project in Scott County.

And then on page 70, you'll note the number of TROF loans. These are the loans that folks have been — that are zero interest. That they would pay based upon the same performance parameters that they have for a TROF grant. Also, as you can see, the last one of these was approved back in July of 2021. So not many people are taking us up on these loans and, you know, that is, again, something that we want to continue to offer if not many folks are taking us up on it. So, a policy discussion that I think is important on that one as well.

And then finally on page 72 you'll see the active TROF awards that have concluded performance and that's about 25, 28 active TROFs. And that's basically Jordan and I are the ones that manage those performances. You know, reviewing, making sure that the repayment agreements are developed for payments that need to occur, making sure that their performance is being managed for capital investment, for jobs. And so, we do -- I think staff are doing a great job making sure that if there's something that is unearned that Commission gets those funds back and reapply them to other projects moving forward.

And so, that's sort of the rundown of our TROF and Incentives and Loan Program at this point.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. Under other business, did you have anything, or did James want to have anything?

MR. SORRELL: The only other business that I would say is I just wanted to make a very quick note and say it's been a pleasure serving the Tobacco Commission.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: No. Any other business?

MR. SORRELL: I just wanted to say that. But no other business, sir.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: We would very much like to thank and appreciate you for your service on the staff as Acting Director, as acting or Interim Director, as Deputy Director or whatever title you happen to be particular of. We appreciate the hard work you've put in and we wish you well in the future. Now, if you want to say anything you can.

(APPLAUSE.)

MR. CAMPOS: Chairman, I would be remiss if I didn't say anything. James Campos. So, I want to also -- and I thanked Andy, or Andrew as I call him, several times in the past few days. But I just wanted to make it for the record on the amazing job that Andrew has done in allowing me to walk into this position and help me stay clear of certain issues that were important to stay away from. But also allowing me to learn and do other activities that are assigned to me in this

Administration.

And I know that we all appreciate it -- the staff at Tobacco appreciates it. But especially myself appreciate the hard work, dedication, and true professionalism that Andrew has shown me in the past four months but for sure will continue right next door to us. So, Andrew, a great heartfelt gratitude toward you. You always have a home in Tobacco, and we will surely be calling you on many occasions. So, thank you, Andrew.

(APPLAUSE.)

MR. SORRELL: I will only say thank you and that was a very humbling experience. Thank you very much. It's been a pleasure working at the Commission for the last five years. I've absolutely loved it.

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. You all get one more chance to get up and say something.

MS. NEWMAN: I'm Julie Newman. I'm the General Manager of the new PBS Appalachia Station. And I'm just here to say thank you to the Commission for supporting our project and thank you to the staff for your hard work in evaluating it.

A very quick update for you. We are set to launch June $10^{\rm th}$. If you go to our website at pbsavirginia.org you can find a countdown there. And we've got agreements with all the major cable companies serving Southwest Virginia to carry us. And we also have a signal -- a free linear signal over the air -- I mean, on the web and on our app.

And I'll give you one last piece of good news before I sit down. Our producers, who are from Southwest Virginia, who we hired thanks to you for helping our funding to retain and keep it in Southwest Virginia. It has been nominated for an Emmy award and tonight we will find out of the 11 that we submitted how many were nominated. So, very, very talented people.

(APPLAUSE.)

CHAIRMAN RUFF: Thank you. I'm glad you gave us something positive to end with. If there's no further business, then you all have a safe trip home.

(MEETING ADJOURNED.)

CERTIFICATE

1	
2	
4	

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

COUNTY OF WASHINGTON

I, Marsha Self, Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia, at large, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the Day 2 of the VIRGINIA TOBACCO REGION REVITALIZATION COMMITTEE held on May 17-18, 2023, was taken before me at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am not a relative, counsel, or attorney for either party or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

Marsha Self, CERT

Given under my hand this the 25th day of July 2023.

My Commission Expires: February 28, 2027.

22 | State Notary Registered Number: 174126